water service contracts for supplemental irrigation use of up to 6,018 acre-feet of storage space in Lucky Peak Reservoir, a Corps of Engineers' project on the Boise River, Idaho.

Mid-Pacific Region: Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825–1898, telephone 916–978–5250.

New Contract Actions

- 42. Cawelo WD, CVP, California: Long-term Warren Act contract for conveying nonproject water for a non-CVP contractor.
- 43. Elk Creek Community Services District, CVP, California: Renewal of long-term water service contract for up to 100 acre-feet for a period of 25 years.

Completed Contract Action

23. Sacramento River Settlement Contracts, CVP, California: Five contracts remain to be executed out of a total of 145 contracts and one contract with Colusa Drain Mutual Water Company; water quantities for these contracts total 2.2M acre-feet. These contracts will be renewed for a period of 40 years. The contracts reflect agreements to settle disputes over water rights' claims on the Sacramento River and the Colusa Basin Drain. Contracts executed February 22, 2006; March 28, 2006; April 7, 2006; April 10, 2006; and May 8, 2006.

Lower Colorado Region: Bureau of Reclamation, PO Box 61470 (Nevada Highway and Park Street), Boulder City, Nevada 89006–1470, telephone 702– 293–8081.

New Contract Action

41. City of Needles and The Metropolitan WD of Southern California, Lower Colorado Water Supply Project, California: Contract for acquisition and delivery of Lower Colorado Water Supply Project water.

Completed Contract Actions

24. Tohono O'odham Nation, CAP, Arizona: Amend CAP water delivery contract pursuant to the Arizona Water Settlements Act, Pub. L. 108–451, enacted December 10, 2004. Contract executed May 5, 2006.

40. The Metropolitan WD of Southern California, BCP, California: Contract to implement a demonstration program to create intentionally created surplus through extraordinary conservation in

2006 and 2007 and store this water in Lake Mead. Contract executed May 26, 2006.

Upper Colorado Region: Bureau of Reclamation, 125 South State Street, Room 6107, Salt Lake City, Utah 84138– 1102, telephone 801–524–3864. New Contract Action

33. North Fork Water Conservancy District and Ragged Mountain Water Users Association, Paonia Project, Colorado: North Fork and Ragged Mountain have requested a contract for supplemental water from the Paonia Project. This contract is for municipal

Completed Contract Action

1.(f) GW Spore Family Minor Subdivision, Aspinall Storage Unit, CRSP: GW Spore has requested a 40year water service contract for 1 acrefoot of water out of Blue Mesa Reservoir. They have submitted their augmentation plan to Water District 4, case No. 05 CW 220. Contract executed March 22, 2006.

Great Plains Region: Bureau of Reclamation, PO Box 36900, Federal Building, 316 North 26th Street, Billings, Montana 59107–6900, telephone 406–247–7752.

New Contract Actions

47. City of Grand Junction, City of Fruita, and Town of Palisade (Municipal Recreation Agreement); Colorado-Big Thompson Project; Colorado:
Negotiation of renewal of Municipal Recreation Agreement to provide historic users pool surplus water from Green Mountain Reservoir for nonconsumptive municipal recreation uses.

48. Colorado River Water
Conservation District, Ruedi Reservoir,
Fryingpan-Arkansas Project, Colorado:
Consideration of a request for a second
round water sales or repayment contract
from the regulatory capacity of Ruedi
Reservoir for up to 5,000 acre-feet
annually for M&I uses and also
providing water to the endangered fish
and supplementing in-stream flows.

Modified Contract Actions

19. Clark Canyon Water Supply Company, East Bench Unit, P–SMBP, Montana: Negotiating renewal of contract No. 14–06–600–3592 which was amended to expire December 31, 2006. Current contract may be amended again to extend the term not to exceed an additional 1 year pursuant to Section 208 of the 2005 Consolidated Appropriations Act if necessary and agreed to by both parties.

20. East Bench ID, East Bench Unit, P–SMBP, Montana: Negotiating renewal of contract No. 14–06–600–3593 which was amended to expire December 31, 2006. Current contract may be amended again to extend the term not to exceed an additional 1 year pursuant to Section 208 of the 2005 Consolidated Appropriations Act if necessary and agreed to by both parties.

Completed Contract Action

40. Mark H. Allredge, H.S. Properties LLC (Individual); Boysen Unit, P—SMBP; Wyoming: Renewal of long-term water service contract for up to 84 acrefeet of supplemental irrigation water to serve 84 acres. Contract executed March 24, 2006.

Dated: June 30, 2006.

Roseann Gonzales,

Director, Office of Program and Policy Services.

[FR Doc. E6–12418 Filed 8–1–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging Proposed Consent Decree

In accordance with Department Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in United States v. Michael P. Trinski and Arrow Marine, Inc., Case No. 05 C 0197, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on July 19, 2006. This proposed Consent Decree concerns a complaint filed by the United States against the Defendants pursuant to Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. 1311(a) and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Appropriation Act of 1899, 33 U.S.C. 403 ("RHA"), to obtain injunctive relief from and impose civil penalties against the Defendants for installing a boat ramp and associated structures in the Fox River Chain-O-Lakes without a

The proposed Consent Decree requires either removal of the ramp and associated structures or the purchase and abandonment of another ramp on Fox Lake or Pistakee Lake. The Consent Decree also requires payment of a civil penalty, and donation of real property to the Fox Waterway Agency.

The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to this proposed Consent Decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Please address comments to Kurt Lindland, Assistant United States Attorney's Office, 5th Floor, 219 S. Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois 60604 and refer to *United States* v. *Michael P. Trinski and Arrow Marine, Inc.*, Case No. 05 C 0197, including the USAO # 2004V00910.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, 219 S. Dearborn Street, Chicago, Illinois. In addition, the proposed Consent Decree may be

viewed on the World Wide Web at http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html.

Kurt N. Lindland,

Assistant United States Attorney. [FR Doc. 06–6647 Filed 8–1–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-Day Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Employee Possessor Questionnaire [OMB Number 1140–0072]

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register Volume 71, Number 104, pages 30959-30960 on May 31, 2006, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until September 1, 2006. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395–5806.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- —Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- —Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the

- proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- —Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

- (1) Type of Information Collection: Extension of a currently approved collection.
- (2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Employee Possessor Questionnaire.
- (3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Form Number: ATF F 5400.28. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Individuals or households. Other: Business or other for-profit. Abstract: Each employee possessor in the explosive business or operations required to ship, transport, receive, or possess (actual or constructive), explosive materials must submit this form. The form will be submitted to ATF to determine whether the person who provided the information is qualified to be an employee possessor in an explosive business.
- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: There will be an estimated 10,000 respondents, who will complete the form within approximately 20 minutes.
- (6) An estimate of the total burden (in hours) associated with the collection: There are an estimated 3,334 total burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Policy and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 1600, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 28, 2006.

Lynn Bryant,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice. [FR Doc. E6–12450 Filed 8–1–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-FY-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Proposed Termination of Judgments

Notice is hereby given that Defendant American Watch Association, Inc. ("AWA") and Defendant Foote, Cone & Belding, Inc. ("Foote") have filed a joint motion to terminate both the Final Judgment entered against the AWA ("the AWA Final Judgment") and the Final Judgment entered against Foote ("the Foote Final Judgment") on March 9, 1960 in United States v. The Watchmakers of Switzerland Information Center, Inc., Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) ¶69,655 (S.D.N.Y. Mar 9, 1960) (collectively "the AWA and Foote Final Judgments") and that the Department of Justice ("the Department"), Antitrust Division, in a stipulation also filed with the Court, has tentatively consented to termination of the AWA and Foote Final Judgments, but has reserved the right to withdraw its consent pending receipt of public comments.

The AWA and Foote Final Judgments, similar to the Final Judgment entered in United States v. The Watchmakers of Switzerland Information Center, Inc., Trade Reg. Rep. (CCH) $\P69,655$ (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 9, 1960) ("the Watchmakers Final Judgment"), arose out of a 1950s investigation of the anticompetitive practices of the Swiss watch industry, including Swiss watch manufacturers, Swiss trade associations, and their United States importers. The United States filed a complaint against more than 20 watch companies and associations in 1954, including the AWA and Foote. United States v. The Watchmakers of Switzerland Information Center, Inc., Civil Action No. 96-170 (S.D.N.Y. Complaint filed Oct. 19, 1954). The AWA is an association that promotes the growth and health of the U.S. watch industry and lobbies to influence regulatory policy. Its members include U.S. watch companies as well as U.S. subsidiaries of foreign watch manufacturers. Foote is an advertising agency that allegedly acted as an agent for some of the defendants.

The United States made serveral allegations in its complaint. It charged that certain Swiss and U.S.