DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-570-863

Honey from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 31, 2006 **SUMMARY:** In response to a request from Tianjin Eulia Honey Co., Ltd. (Tianjin Eulia), the Department of Commerce published in the **Federal Register**, on January 31, 2006, a notice announcing the initiation of a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the People's Republic of China (PRC) covering the period of December 1, 2004, through December 31, 2005. On July 12, 2006, Tianjin Eulia, withdrew its request for a new shipper review. Therefore, we are rescinding this review.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Helen Kramer or Judy Lao, Office 7, AD/ CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202–482–0405 or 202–482– 7924, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department of Commerce (the Department) published the antidumping duty order on honey from the PRC on December 10, 2001. Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order; Honey from the People's Republic of China, 66 FR 63670 (December 10, 2001). On December 29, 2005, we received a timely request for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the PRC from Tianjin Eulia. Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), we initiated a new shipper review on January 31, 2006, for shipments of honey from the PRC produced and exported by Tianjin Eulia. Honey from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Reviews, 71 FR 5051 (January 31, 2006). Tianjin Eulia submitted responses to the Department's questionnaires and supplemental questionnaires on February 27, 2006, March 13, 2006, March 30, 2006, May 5, 2006, and June 14, 2006. On June 19,

2006, petitioners submitted to the Department comments regarding Tianjin Eulia. On June 22, 2006, Tianjin Eulia submitted a letter of intent to no longer participate in the new shipper review. On July 12, 2006, Tianjin Eulia withdrew its request for the new shipper review.

Rescission of New Shipper Review

Section 351.214(f)(1) of the Department's regulations provides that the Department may rescind a new shipper review if the party that requested the review withdraws its request for review within sixty days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Although Tianjin Eulia withdrew its request after the 60-day deadline, we find it reasonable to extend the deadline because we have not yet committed significant resources to the Tianjin Eulia new shipper review. Specifically, we have not calculated a preliminary margin for Tianjin Eulia and we have not yet verified Tianjin Eulia's data. Further, Tianjin Eulia was the only party to request the review. Finally, we have not received any submissions opposing the withdrawal of the request for the review. See Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 from India: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review, 71 FR 26926 (May 9, 2006). For these reasons, we are rescinding the new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the PRC in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(f)(1).

Notification

As of the date of the publication of this rescission notice in the Federal **Register**, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) that importers will no longer have the option of posting a bond to fulfill security requirements for shipments of honey from the PRC produced and exported by Tianjin Eulia and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption in the United States. We will issue assessment instructions within 15 days of the date of the publication of this notice and, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c), we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties at the cash–deposit rate in effect at the time of entry for all shipments of honev from the PRC produced and exported by Tianjin Eulia and entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the period December 1, 2004, through December 31, 2005.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/ destruction of APO material or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanctions.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(f)(3).

Dated: July 24, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretaryfor Import Administration. [FR Doc. E6–12224 Filed 7–28–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE: 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administation

A-570-832

Notice of Extension of Final Results of the 2004–2005 Administrative Review of Pure Magnesium from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 31, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Bolling or Hua Lu, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3434 and (202) 482–6478, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 10, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). See Pure Magnesium from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 71 FR 18067 (April 10, 2006) ("Preliminary Results"). In the Preliminary Results, we stated that we would issue our final results of review no later than 120 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results (i.e., August 8, 2006).

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the final results in an administrative review within 120 days of publication date of the preliminary results. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, the Department may extend the time limit for the final results to 180 days. Completion of the final results within the 120-day period is not practicable because this review involves certain complex issues, such as valuation of various factors of production that both Petitioner and respondent addressed in their briefs.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for issuing these final results of review by 30 days until September 7, 2006.

Dated: July 24, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretaryfor Import Administration. [FR Doc. E6–12225 Filed 7–28–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE: 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(C-489-502)

Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Certain Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe from Turkey

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On April 6, 2006, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the Federal Register its preliminary results of administrative review of the countervailing duty ("CVD") order on certain welded carbon steel standard pipe from Turkey for the period January 1, 2004, through December 31, 2004. See Notice of Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review: Certain Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe from Turkey, 71 FR 17445 (April 6, 2006) ("Turkey Pipe 2004 Preliminary"). The Department has now completed the administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").

Based on our analysis of the comments received, the Department has not revised the net subsidy rate for the Borusan Group ("Borusan"), the producer/exporter of subject

merchandise covered by this review.¹ Further discussion of our analysis of the comments received is provided in the accompanying issues and decision memorandum. See Issues and Decision Memorandum from Stephen J. Claevs, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, concerning the Final Results of Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe from Turkey (July 25, 2006) ("Turkey Pipe 2004 Memorandum"). The final net subsidy rate for Borusan is listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 31, 2006. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kristen Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4793.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 6, 2006, the Department published the preliminary results in the **Federal Register** and invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results. *See Turkey Pipe 2004 Preliminary*. On May 5, 2006, we received a case brief from Borusan.²

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(b), this review covers only those producers or exporters of the subject merchandise for which a review was specifically requested. Accordingly, this review covers only Borusan. The review covers the period January 1, 2004, through December 31, 2004, and 14 programs.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain welded carbon steel pipe and tube with an outside diameter of 0.375 inch or more, but not over 16 inches, of any wall thickness (pipe and tube) from Turkey. These products are currently provided for under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") as item numbers 7306.30.10, 7306.30.50, and 7306.90.10. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in Borusan's case brief are addressed in the Turkey Pipe 2004 Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues contained in that decision memorandum is attached to this notice as Appendix I. Parties can find a complete discussion of the issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in that public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the Commerce Building. In addition, a complete copy of that memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn, under the heading "Federal Register Notices." The paper copy and electronic version of the decision memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

In accordance with section 705(c)(1)(B)(i) of the Act, we calculated an ad valorem subsidy rate for Borusan. For the review period, we determine the total net subsidy rate to be 0.27 percent *ad valorem*, which is *de minimis*, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c).

We will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP"), within 15 days of publication of the final results of this review, to liquidate shipments of subject merchandise by Borusan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 1, 2004, through December 31, 2004, without regard to countervailing duties. Moreover, the Department also will instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at zero percent ad valorem on all shipments of the subject merchandise by Borusan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review.

We will also instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits for nonreviewed companies at the most recent company-specific or country-wide rate applicable to the company. Accordingly, the cash deposit rate that will be applied to non-reviewed companies covered by this order will be the rate for that company established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding conducted under the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). If such a review has not been conducted, the rate established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding completed pursuant to the statutory provisions that were in effect prior to the URAA amendments is

¹ During the review period, Borusan was comprised of Borusan Birlesik Boru Fabrikalari A.S., Mannesmann Boru Endustrisi T.A.S., Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., and Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S.

² Neither petitioners (Allied Tube & Conduit Corporation and Wheatland Tube Company) nor the Government of the Republic of Turkey submitted either a case or rebuttal brief. In addition, none of the interested parties requested a hearing.