The company plans to manufacture small quantities of the listed controlled substances for use in diagnostic products.

Any other such applicant and any person who is presently registered with DEA to manufacture such a substance may file comments or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.33(a).

Any such written comments or objections being sent via regular mail may be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, Washington, DC 20537, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative, Liaison and Policy Section (ODL); or any being sent via express mail should be sent to DEA Headquarters, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative/ODL, 2401 Jefferson-Davis Highway, Alexandria, Virginia 22301; and must be filed no later than September 29, 2006.

Dated: July 25, 2006.

Joseph T. Rannazzisi,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–12174 Filed 7–28–06; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,628]

Cadence Innovation, New Venture Industries, Grand Blanc, MI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on June 26, 2006 in response to a petition filed by the United Automobile, Aerospace & Agricultural Implement Workers of America International Union 1C and Local Union 524, on behalf of workers of Cadence Innovation, New Venture Industries, Grand Blanc, Michigan.

The petitioning worker group is covered by an active certification, TA–W–58,625 (amended July 6, 2006), which does not expire until February 23, 2008. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 7th day of July 2006.

Linda G. Poole.

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-12202 Filed 7-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,815]

Coating and Assembly, Inc., Mt.
Pleasant, MI; Affirmative
Determinations for Worker Adjustment
Assistance and Alternative Trade
Adjustment Assistance; Correction

This notice rescinds the notice of certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance applicable to TA–W–58,815, which was published in the **Federal Register** on April 13, 2006 (71 FR 19208–19210) in Document E–5518, Billing Code 4510–30–P.

This rescinds the certification of eligibility for workers of TA–W–58,815, to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance and confirms eligibility to apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance as identified on page 19209 in the first column, the seventh TA–W–number listed.

The Department appropriately published in the **Federal Register** April 13, 2006, page 19210, under the notice of Negative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance, the denial of eligibility applicable to workers of TA–W–58,815. The notice appears on page 19210 in the third column, the seventh TA–W–number listed.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 24th day of July 2006.

Erica R. Cantor,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6–12195 Filed 7–28–06; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4510–30–P**

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-56,258]

Collins And Aikman Products Company, Division 016, Roxboro, NC (Including Employees Working Out of Troy, MI); Notice of Revised Determination of Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on Reconsideration

On February 24, 2005, workers and former workers of Collins and Aikman Products Company, Division 016, Roxboro, North Carolina (subject firm) were certified eligible to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) but not Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA). The Notice of determination was published in the Federal Register on April 1, 2005 (70 FR 16847). An amendment was issued on June 6, 2006 to include employees working out of Troy, Michigan. The Notice of amendment was published in the Federal Register on June 22, 2006 (71 FR 35951).

Based on information produced on the initial investigation that workers the subject workers possess skills that are easily transferable, the workers were denied eligibility to apply for ATAA. Administrative reconsideration was not requested.

After the Notice of amendment was issued, the Department received new information indicating that the subject workers may possess skills that are not easily transferable. As such, the Department reopened the investigation.

Based on information obtain during the reconsideration investigation, the Department determines that the subject workers do not possess skills that are easily transferable.

At least five percent of the workforce at the subject from is at least fifty years of age. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that the requirements of Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, have been met for the workers of the subject firm. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Collins and Aikman Products Company, Division 016, Roxboro, North Carolina, including employees working out of Troy, Michigan, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 13, 2003 through February 24, 2007, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 24th day of July, 2006.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6–12198 Filed 7–28–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,925]

Eaton Corporation, Everett, WA; Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance; Correction

This notice rescinds the notice of certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance applicable to TA–W–58,925, which was published in the **Federal Register** on April 12, 2006 (71 FR 18771–18773) in FR Document E6–5369, Billing Code 4510–30–P.

This rescinds the certification of eligibility for workers of TA–W–58,925, to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance and confirms eligibility to apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance as identified on page 18772 in the first column, the fifth TA–W–number listed.

The Department appropriately published in the **Federal Register** April 12, 2006, page 18773, under the notice of Negative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance, the denial of eligibility applicable to workers of TA–W–58,925. The notice appears on page 18773 in the first column, the third TA–W–number listed.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 24th day of July 2006.

Erica R. Cantor,

 ${\it Director, Division~of~Trade~Adjustment}\\ Assistance.$

[FR Doc. E6–12191 Filed 7–28–06; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

TA-W-54,434

Gale Group, Inc., A Division of the Thompson Corporation, Belmont, CA; Notice of Revised Determination on Remand

On June 2, 2006, the United States Court of International Trade (USCIT) granted the Department of Labor's motion for voluntary remand for further investigation in Former Employees of Gale Group, Inc. v. U.S. Secretary of Labor, Court No. 04–00374. These workers created electronic documents and performed electronic indexing services and occasionally wrote abstracts of articles.

On May 20, 2004, the Department of Labor (Department) issued a negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) for workers of Gale Group, A Division of the Thompson Corporation, Belmont, California (Gale Group). The negative determination was based on the investigation's finding that the workers did not produce an article in accordance with Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 (Trade Act). The Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance for the subject firm was published in the Federal Register on June 17, 2004 (69 FR 33940).

In response to the petitioner's request for administrative reconsideration, the Department affirmed its finding that the subject workers did not produce an article within the meaning of the Trade Act. The Department's Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration was issued on July 16, 2004 and the Notice of Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration was published in the **Federal Register** on July 23, 2004 (69 FR 44064).

The petitioners appealed the denial to the USCIT. The Department made a motion for voluntary remand for further investigation. The CIT granted the Department's motion in an October 25, 2004 Order. In response to that Order, the Department conducted a further investigation to determine whether the petitioners were eligible to apply for TAA. On January 27, 2005, the Department affirmed its conclusion that the workers did not produce an article within the meaning of the Trade Act. The Department's Notice was published in the Federal Register on February 8, 2005 (70 FR 6732).

The CIT subsequently ruled that the Department's denial of TAA certification because the plaintiffs did not produce an "article" was reasonable and supported by substantial evidence. The petitioners appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

After the case reached the Court of Appeals the Department revised its policy to acknowledge that there are tangible and intangible articles. Products that would have been considered an article if embodied in a physical medium will now be considered an article for purposes of the Trade Act even if transmitted or stored electronically. Because it is the Department's practice to apply a new policy if doing so is in the best interest of the workers, the Department requested a voluntary remand from the Court of Appeals to determine whether, under the new policy, the petitioners are eligible to apply for TAA. The Court of Appeals remanded the case to the CIT which remanded it to the Department.

Upon review, the Department has determined that the subject workers produce an intangible article (electronic documents) and that, following the shift of production abroad, documents like or directly competitive with those produced at the subject firm were brought back into the United States.

Conclusion

After careful review of the facts generated through the remand investigation, I determine that a shift in production abroad of electronic documents like or directly competitive to that produced at the subject facility followed by increased imports contributed to the total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of workers at the subject facilities. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Gale Group, A Division of the Thompson Corporation, Belmont, California, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 23, 2003, through two years from the issuance of this revised determination, are eligible to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 19th day of July 2006.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. E6–12192 Filed 7–28–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P