neighborhoods, impacts to scenic views (e.g., impacts from water vapor plumes, power transmission lines, pipelines), internal and external perception of the community or locality;

• Historic and cultural resources: potential impacts from the site selection, design, construction and operation of the facilities;

• Water quality impacts: potential impacts from water utilization and consumption, plus potential impacts from wastewater discharges;

• Infrastructure and land use impacts: potential environmental and socioeconomic impacts of project site selection, construction, delivery of feed materials, and distribution of products (*e.g.*, power transmission lines, pipelines);

• Marketability of products and market access to feedstocks;

• Solid wastes: pollution prevention plans and waste management strategies, including the handling of ash, slag, water treatment sludge, and hazardous materials;

• Disproportionate impacts on minority and low-income populations;

• Connected actions: potential development of support facilities or supporting infrastructure;

• Ecological impacts: potential on-site and off-site impacts to vegetation, terrestrial wildlife, aquatic wildlife, threatened or endangered species, and ecologically sensitive habitats;

• Geologic impacts: potential impacts from the sequestration of CO<sub>2</sub> and other captured gases on underground resources such as potable water supplies, mineral resources, and fossil fuel resources;

• Ground surface impacts from CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration: potential impacts from leakage of injected CO<sub>2</sub>, potential impacts from induced flows of native fluids to the ground surface or near the ground surface, and the potential for induced ground heave and/or microseisms:

• Fate and stability of sequestered CO<sub>2</sub> and other captured gases;

 Health and safety issues associated with CO<sub>2</sub> capture and sequestration;

• Cumulative effects that result from the incremental impacts of the proposed project when added to other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects;

 Compliance with regulatory requirements and environmental permitting;

• Environmental monitoring plans associated with the power plant and with the CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration site;

• Mitigation of identified

environmental impacts; and
Ultimate closure plans for the CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration site and reservoirs.

# **Proposed EIS Schedule**

A tentative schedule has been developed for the EIS. The public scoping period will close on September 13, 2006. The Draft EIS is scheduled to be issued for public review and comment in March 2007, followed by a 45-day public comment period and public hearings. The Final EIS is scheduled to be issued in June 2007, followed by the ROD in August 2007.

# **Public Scoping Process**

To ensure that all issues related to this proposed action are addressed, DOE seeks public input to define the scope of the EIS. The public scoping period will begin with publication of the NOI and end on September 13, 2006. Interested government agencies, privatesector organizations and the general public are encouraged to submit comments or suggestions concerning the content of the EIS, issues and impacts to be addressed in the EIS, and alternatives that should be considered. Scoping comments should clearly describe specific issues or topics that the EIS should address to assist DOE in identifying significant issues. Written, emailed, faxed, or telephoned comments should be received by September 13, 2006 (see ADDRESSES).

DOE will conduct public scoping meetings at locations, dates and times specified in a future **Federal Register** notice and in notices published in local newspapers. These notices are scheduled to be published within the next two weeks and will provide the public with at least two weeks notice. Generally, one scoping meeting will be held near each proposed power plant site.

An informal session of the public scoping meetings will begin at approximately 4 p.m., followed by a formal session beginning at approximately 7 p.m. Members of the public who wish to speak at a public scoping meeting should contact Mr. Mark L. McKoy, either by phone, fax, e-mail, or in writing (see ADDRESSES in this Notice). Those who do not arrange in advance to speak may register at a meeting (preferably at the beginning of the meeting) and may speak after previously scheduled speakers. Speakers will be given approximately five minutes to present their comments. Those speakers who want more than five minutes should indicate the length of time desired in their request. Depending on the number of speakers, DOE may need to limit all speakers to five minutes initially and provide second opportunities as time permits. Speakers may also provide written

materials to supplement their presentations. Oral and written comments will be given equal consideration. State and local elected officials and tribal leaders may be given priority in the order of those making oral comments.

DOE will begin the meeting with an overview of the proposed FutureGen Project. The meeting will not be conducted as an evidentiary hearing, and speakers will not be crossexamined. However, speakers may be asked questions to help ensure that DOE fully understands the comments or suggestions. A presiding officer will establish the order of speakers and provide any additional procedures necessary to conduct the meeting.

Issued in Washington, DC, this 25th day of July, 2006.

#### Andrew Lawrence,

Acting Assistant Secretary, Environment, Safety and Health. [FR Doc. E6–12118 Filed 7–27–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6677-7]

#### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 7, 2006 (71 FR 17845).

#### Draft EISs

EIS No. 20060093, ERP No. D-AFS-K61164-CA, Commercial Pack Station and Pack Stock Outfitter/Guide Permit Issuance, Implementation, Special-Use-Permit to Twelve Pack Station and Two Outfitter/Guides, Inyo National Forest, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about adverse impacts to water quality from specific campsites, grazing, and trail use, and recommended implementation of protective measures described in Alternative 3 and the inclusion of a detailed monitoring and enforcement plan in the final EIS. Rating EC2. EIS No. 20060105, ERP No. D–COE– D01003–WV, Spruce No. 1 Mine, Construction and Operation, Mining for 2.73 Million Ton of Bituminous Coal, NPDES Permit and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Logan County, WV.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns about cumulative impacts from mountaintop mining activities in the Little Coal Watershed, and recommended that the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Federal and State agencies, the applicant, public and other stakeholders agree to develop a Little Coal Watershed cumulative impact assessment and restoration plan. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060122, ERP No. D–BIA– L60108–WA, Cowlitz Indian Tribe Trust Acquisition and Casino Project, Take 151.87 Acres into Federal Trust and Issuing of Reservation Proclamation, and Approving the Gaming Development and Management Contract, Clack County, WA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections because project impacts have the potential to exceed water and air quality standards and requested additional information that demonstrates that water and air quality standards will be met and that wetland impacts will be adequately mitigated. Rating EO2.

EIS No. 20060136, ERP No. D-AFS-L65508-AK, Kenai Winter Access Project, Develop a Winter Access Management Plan for 2006/2007 Winter Season, Implementation, Seward Ranger District, Chugach National Forest, Located on the Kenai Peninsula in South-central, AK. Summary: EPA does not object to the

proposed project. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20060167, ERP No. D–FHW– F40435–IL, Illinois Route 29 (FAP 318) Corridor Study, Transportation Improvement from Illinois 6 to Interstate 180, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Peoria, Marshall, Putnam and Bureau Counties, IL.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential impacts to wetlands and 142 acres of woodland habitat. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20060171, ERP No. D–COE– E36185–KY, Levisa Fork Basin Project, Section 202 Flood Damage Reduction, Big Sandy River, Floyd County, KY.

*Summary*: EPA does not object to the proposed action. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20060182, ERP No. D–COE– K39098–CA, San Clemente Dam Seismic Safety Project, Increase Dam Safety to Meet Current Design Standards, Monterey County, CA. *Summary:* EPA expressed environmental concerns about impacts to the steelhead population, and requested additional information regarding the long-term benefits to the River from removal of the dam. Rating EC2.

- EIS No. 20060188, ERP No. D–NOA– K90031–CA, Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary Management Plan Review, Implementation, Santa Barbara and Ventura Counties, CA. Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action. Rating LO.
- EIS No. 20060222, ERP No. D–COE– H36111–00, Kansas Citys, Missouri and Kansas Flood Damage Reduction Study, Improvements to the Existing Line of Protection, Birmingham, Jackson, Clay Counties, MO and Wyandotte County, KS.

*Summary:* EPA does not object to the proposed action. Rating LO.

- EIS No. 20060223, ERP No. D–FRC– G03031–00, Carthage to Perryville Project, Construction and Operation of a Natural Gas Pipeline Facilities, Center Point Energy Gas Transmission, Located in various counties and parishes in eastern Texas and northern Louisiana. Summary: EPA does not object to the preferred alternative. Rating LO.
- EIS No. 20060227, ERP No. D-COE-G39047-00, White River Minimum Flood Study, Manages the Water and Land Areas at Five Reservoirs: Beaver, Table Rock, Bull Shoals, Norfork and Greers Ferry, Little Rock District, AR and MO.

*Summary:* EPA does not object to the preferred alternative. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20060041, ERP No. DS-COE-E34031-FL, South Florida Water Management District, (SFWMD), Proposes Construction and Operation Everglades Agricultural Area Reservoir A-1 Project, Lake Okeechobee, Palm Beach County, FL.

*Summary:* EPA's previous issues have been resolved; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action. Rating LO.

# **Final EISs**

EIS No. 20060118, ERP No. F–AFS– L65384–OR, Drew Creek Diamond Rock and Divide Cattle Allotments, Alternative 2 Preferred Alternative, Issuance of Term Grazing Permits on Livestock Allotments on Tiller Ranger District, Implementation, Umpqua National Forest, Douglas and Jackson Counties, OR. Summary: The Final EIS included data on the cattle management plans and addressed EPA's concerns about water quality; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed project. EIS No. 20060121, ERP No. F–CGD–

*E03013–00,* Compass Port and Deepwater Port License Application, To Construct a Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Receiving, Storage and Regasification Facility, Proposed Offshore Pipeline and Fabrication Site, NPDES Permit, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Mobile County, AL and San Patricio and Nueces County, TX.

*Summary:* EPA's previous concerns regarding water quality and marine ecosystem impacts will be addressed thru pollutant minimization and prevention measures.

EIS No. 20060144, ERP No. F-FHW-F40421-IN, US-31 Improvement from Plymouth to South Bend, Running from Southern Terminus at US-30 to Northern Terminus at US-20, Marshall and St. Joseph Counties, IN. Summary: EPA expressed

environmental concerns about impacts to upland forest habitat as well as water quality, and recommended additional voluntary upland forest mitigation and BMPs/mitigation measures that enhance water quality and stream integrity.

EIS No. 20060148, ERP No. F–NRC– E05101–NC, Generic—Brunswick Stream Electric Plant, Units 1 and 2 (TAC Nos. MC4641 and MC4642) License Renewal of Nuclear Plants, Supplement 25 to NUREG–1437, Brunswick County, NC.

*Summary:* EPA continues to have concerns about emergency preparedness.

EIS No. 20060168, ERP No. F–FRC– D03005–00, Cove Point Expansion Project, Construction and Operation of a Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) Import Terminal Expansion and Natural Gas Pipeline Facilities, US. Army COE Section 404 Permit Docket Nos. CPO5–130–000, CP05–131–000 and CP05–132–00, PA, VA, WV, NY and MD.

Summary: EPA continues to express environmental concerns about wetland impacts/mitigation and NO<sub>X</sub> conformity.

EIS No. 20060183, ERP No. F-FAA-J51012-UT, St. George Municipal Airport Replacement, Funding, City of St. George, Washington County, UT.

*Summary:* EPA continues to have environmental concerns about cumulative impacts.

EIS No. 20060198, ERP No. F–AFS– J65392–MT, Helena National Forest Noxious Weed Treatment Project, Implementation, Lewis and Clark, Broadwater, Powell, Jefferson and Meagher Counties, MT.

Summary: The Final EIS addressed EPA concerns with the integrated weed management program to control invasion of noxious weeds and protecting water quality; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed project.

EIS No. 20060214, ERP No. F–NRC– C06015–NY, Generic—License Renewal of Nuclear Plants for Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, Supplement 24 to NUREG 1437, Implementation, Lake Ontario, Oswego County, NY.

*Summary:* EPA continues to have environmental concerns about aquatic life impacts, pollution prevention, and waste minimization.

EIS No. 20060215, ERP No. F–AFS– L65501–AK, Whistle Stop Project, Provide Access to Backcountry Recreation Area on National Forest, System (NFS) Lands, on the Kenai Peninsula between Portage and Moose Pass, Chugach National Forest, Kenai Peninsula Borough, AK.

*Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20060216, ERP No. F–IBR– H39011–00, Programmatic—Platte River Recovery Implementation Program, Assessing Alternatives for the Implementation of a Basinwide, Cooperative, Endangered Species Recovery Program, Four Target Species: Whooping Crane, Interior Least Tern, Pipping Plover and Pallid Sturgeon, NE, WY, and CO.

*Summary:* EPA's previous issues have been resolved; therefore, EPA does not object to the proposed action.

EIS No. 20060219, ERP No. F–COE– D35061–VA, Craney Island Eastward Expansion, Construction of a 580-acre Eastward Expansion of the Existing Dredged Material Management Area, Port of Hampton Roads, Norfolk Harbor and Channels, VA.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns about wetland and oyster reef impacts and the success of the mitigation in compensating for those impacts.

EIS No. 20060234, ERP No. F–AFS– F65051–IL, Shawnee National Forest Proposed Land and Resource Management Plan Revision, Implementation, Alexander, Gallatin, Hardin, Jackson, Johnson, Massac, Pope, Union and Williamson Counties, IL.

*Summary:* EPA does not object to the preferred alternative.

EIS No. 20060217, ERP No. FA-COE-F36163-00, Upper Des Plaines River, Proposed Flood Damage Reduction (Site 37 on Upper Des Plaines River), Prospect Heights, Cook County, IL. Summary: EPA does not object to the

proposed project.

Dated: July 25, 2006.

# Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E6–12140 Filed 7–27–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

# [ER-FRL-6677-6]

# Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/ compliance/nepa/

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed July 17, 2006 Through July 21, 2006

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20060300, Draft EIS, AFS, CO, White River National Forest Travel Management Plan, To Accommodate and Balance Transportation Needs, Implementation, Eagle, Garfield, Gunnison, Mesa, Moffat, Pitkin, Rio Blanco, Routt and Summit Counties, CO

Comment Period Ends: October 25, 2006, Contact: Wendy Haskins 970–945–3303.

EIS No. 20060301, Final EIS, NPS, ID, Minidoka Internment National Monument (Former Minidoka Relocation Center), General Management Plan, Implementation, Jerome County, ID

Wait Period Ends: August 28, 2006, Contact: Anna Tamura 206–220–4157.

EIS No. 20060302, Final EIS, NPS, FL, Fort King National Historic Landmark, Special Resource Study, Implementation, Second Seminole War Site, City of Ocala, Marion County, FL

Wait Period Ends: August 28, 2006, Contact: Tim Bemisderfer 404–562– 3124 Ext. 693.

EIS No. 20060303, Final EIS, NOA, GA, Gray's Reef National Marine Sanctuary Draft Management Plan (DMP), Address Current Resource Conditions and Compatible Multiple Uses, Located 17.5 Nautical mile off Sapelo Island, GA Wait Period Ends: August 28, 2006, Contact: Elizabeth Moore 301–713–3125 Ext 270.

EIS No. 20060304, Final EIS, AFS, ID, Clear Prong Project, Timber Harvest, Temporary Road Construction, Road Maintenance, Road Decommissioning, Thinning of Sub-Merchantable Tree, and Prescribed Fire, Boise National Forest, Cascade Ranger District, Valley County, ID

Wait Period Ends: August 28, 2006, Contact: Keith Dimmett 208–382–7400.

EIS No. 20060305, Draft EIS, GSA, VT, New U.S. Border Station and Commercial Port of Entry Route I–91 Derby Line, Design and Construction, Vermont

Comment Period Ends: September 11, 2006, Contact: Glenn C. Rotondo 617–565–5694.

EIS No. 20060306, Final EIS, FHW, FL, Indian Street Bridge PD&E Study, New Bridge Crossing of the South Fork of the St. Lucie River County Road 714 (Martin Highway)/SW 36th Street/Indian Street from Florida's Turnpike to East of Willoughby Boulevard, Martin County, FL

Wait Period Ends: August 28, 2006, Contact: J. Chris Richter 850–942–9650 Ext 3022.

EIS No. 20060307, Draft Supplement, FHW, WA, WA–99 Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Project, Additional Information and Evaluation of Construction Plan, Provide Transportation Facility and Seawall with Improved Earthquake Resistence, U.S. Army COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Seattle, WA

Comment Period Ends: September 22, 2006, Contact: Margaret Kucharski 206–6382–6356.

EIS No. 20060308, Draft EIS, FTA, TX, Southeast Corridor Project, Proposed Fixed-Guideway Transit System, Funding, Metropolitan Transit Authority (METRO) of Harris County, Houston, Harris County, TX

Comment Period Ends: September 11, 2006, Contact: John Sweek 817–978–0550.

EIS No. 20060309, Draft EIS, NOA, 00, Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery Management Plan, Proposed Acceptable Biological Catch and Optimum Yield Specifications and Management Measures for the 2007– 2008 Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery and Amendment 16–4 Rebuilding Plans for Seven Depleted Pacific Coast Groundfish Species, WA, OR and CA

Comment Period Ends: September 11, 2006, Contact: Robert Lohn 206–625–6150.