

followed under this contract. The Commissioner of Reclamation has granted approval to negotiate and execute a long-term miscellaneous purposes contract, pursuant to authority provided by the Sale of Water for Miscellaneous Purposes Act of February 25, 1920, whereby the NMISC would be limited to using or leasing a maximum of 50,000 acre-feet of Project water per year.

Purpose and Need for Action

The purpose of Reclamation's proposed Federal action is to allow the NMISC to use Project water allotted to land located inside the boundaries of the CID that NMISC owns or leases from other members of the CID, or other Project water that NMISC leases, for purposes other than irrigation, specifically for delivery to Texas. As a member of the CID, the NMISC needs to use Project water for purposes other than irrigation to maintain long-term compliance with the Pecos River Compact and the United States Supreme Court Amended Decree in *Texas v. New Mexico*. The long-term miscellaneous purposes contract would replace a 1999 short-term contract that Reclamation currently has with the CID that allows the NMISC to use Project water for miscellaneous purposes.

Proposed Federal Action

Reclamation's preferred alternative is the execution of a long-term miscellaneous purposes contract and approval of any related third-party contracts. The FEIS assesses the potential effects that the alternative may have on biological, hydrologic, and cultural resources; social and economic settings; and Indian trust assets as well as any potential disproportionate effects on minority or low-income communities (environmental justice). The FEIS also evaluates the effects of the alternatives on the State of New Mexico's ability to meet annual state line delivery obligations associated with the Pecos River Compact and Amended Decree.

The Long-Term Miscellaneous Purposes Contract Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) was filed with the Environmental Protection Agency on January 12, 2006, and a Notice of Availability for the DEIS was published in the **Federal Register** on that same date. The 60-day review and comment period for the DEIS ended on March 13, 2006. During the comment period, one public meeting was held in Carlsbad, New Mexico. All comments received on the DEIS were carefully reviewed and considered in preparing the FEIS. Where appropriate, revisions were made to the document in response to specific

comments. The comments and responses, together with the final environmental impact statement, will be considered in determining whether or not to implement the proposed action.

No decision will be made on the proposed Federal action until 30 days after release of the FEIS. After the 30-day waiting period, Reclamation will complete a Record of Decision. The Record of Decision will state the action that will be implemented and discuss all factors leading to that decision.

Dated: June 9, 2006.

Dave Sabo,

Assistant Regional Director—UC Region,
Bureau of Reclamation.

[FR Doc. E6-11678 Filed 7-20-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Notice of Preparation of Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Supplemental Information Regarding Red River Valley Water Supply Project, North Dakota

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of preparation of a supplemental draft environmental impact statement (SDEIS) and notice of the current status and future activities related to the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) for the Red River Valley Water Supply Project (Project).

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) and State of North Dakota's designee, Garrison Diversion Conservancy District (Garrison Diversion), as joint lead agencies preparing the DEIS, are continuing work under the National Environmental Policy Act on the environmental impact statement (EIS) for the proposed Red River Valley Water Supply Project, located in North Dakota and Minnesota. This notice is being published to provide information related to the current status of the DEIS and preparation of a SDEIS.

DATES: The formal comment period on the DEIS remains open and we will continue to receive and consider relevant public comments as the SDEIS is prepared.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Signe Snortland, Red River Valley Water Supply Project, Bureau of Reclamation, Dakotas Area Office, P.O. Box 1017, Bismarck, ND 58502, or fax to (701) 250-4326. You may submit e-mail to ssnortland@gp.usbr.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Signe Snortland, telephone: (701) 250-4242 extension 3619. You may access the Red River Valley Water Supply Project Web site at <http://www.rrvwsp.com>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Reclamation and Garrison Diversion, as joint lead agencies preparing the EIS, believe that new information and/or additional analyses, relevant to environmental concerns and issues raised by (EPA) and the public, regarding potential effects of the Project, indicate a need to prepare a SDEIS consistent with the CEQ regulations (40 CFR 1502.9(a)). This may lead to development of alternatives that would need to be described and included in the SDEIS and be subject to public review and comment.

The notice of intent to prepare the DEIS was published in the **Federal Register** on October 8, 2002 (67 FR 195, 62813). The notice of availability of the DEIS, notice of public hearings, and additional information on the Red River Valley Water Supply Project was published in the **Federal Register** on December 30, 2005 (70 FR 250, 77425-77427). In response to requests by United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and others, Reclamation and Garrison Diversion extended the comment period, through March 30, 2006 (71 FR 34, 8873-8874), then through April 14, 2006 (71 FR 68, 18116), and then announced on April 13, 2006 that the formal comment period would remain open while the SDEIS is being prepared. Availability of the SDEIS will be announced in the **Federal Register** later in 2006. All comments received on the DEIS and the SDEIS will be fully considered and responded to in the final EIS (FEIS). The FEIS is scheduled for release by December 31, 2006.

Public Disclosure Statement

Our practice is to make comments, including names, home addresses, home phone numbers, and e-mail addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their names and/or home addresses, etc., but if you wish us to consider withholding this information you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. In addition, you must present a rationale for withholding this information. This rationale must demonstrate that disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. Unsupported assertions will not meet this burden. In the absence of exceptional,

documentable circumstances, this information will be released. We will always make submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives of or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

Dated: July 14, 2006.

Donald E. Moomaw,

Acting Regional Director, Great Plains Region.

[FR Doc. E6-11598 Filed 7-20-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-703 and 705 (Second Review)]

Furfuryl Alcohol From China and Thailand

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of expedited five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on furfuryl alcohol from China and Thailand.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on furfuryl alcohol from China and Thailand would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 7, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Olympia DeRosa Hand (202-205-3182), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the

Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background—On July 7, 2006, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (71 FR 16587, April 3, 2006) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

Staff report—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews will be placed in the nonpublic record on August 14, 2006, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written submissions—As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determinations the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before August 17, 2006, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by August 17, 2006. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce's final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not

¹ Commissioner Charlotte R. Lane concluded that circumstances warranted full reviews. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

² The Commission has found the response submitted by domestic producer Penn Specialty Chemicals, Inc. to be adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by § 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II(C) of the Commission's Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002).

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination—The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 17, 2006.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6-11563 Filed 7-20-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 332-350 and 332-351]

Monitoring of U.S. Imports of Tomatoes; Monitoring of U.S. Imports of Peppers

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of opportunity to submit information for 2006 monitoring reports.

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 12, 2006.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to statute (see below), the Commission monitors U.S. imports of fresh or chilled tomatoes and fresh or chilled peppers, other than chili peppers, for the purpose of expediting an investigation under certain U.S. safeguard laws, should an appropriate petition be filed. As part of that monitoring, the Commission compiles data on imports and the domestic industry, and has made its data series available electronically to the public on an annual basis since 1994. The Commission is in the process of preparing its data series for the period