

TSA employees) and a 45-minute burden per interview.

Finally, in order for TSA to further develop the Registered Traveler Pilot Program, it is seeking to expand the information collection to include two additional categories of respondents: (1) Companies wishing to serve as Service Providers (*i.e.*, companies procured by the Sponsoring Entities to implement RT services); and (2) Airport authorities and aircraft operators wishing to participate in Registered Traveler.

#### *Companies Wishing To Serve as Service Providers*

If a company wishes to serve as a Service Provider for the Registered Traveler Pilot Program, it will have to undergo a process to confirm that it is a legitimate business that does not pose or is suspected of posing a threat to transportation or national security.<sup>1</sup> TSA has determined that the most effective way to pre-qualify companies seeking participation in Registered Traveler is to collect basic financial information about the company and to conduct security threat assessments (including fingerprint-based criminal history records check) on the company's (including its subcontractors) key personnel.<sup>2</sup> TSA estimates that up to 12 companies will wish to serve as an enrollment and/or verification provider and will need to provide information for the process. These 12 companies will have to submit general information (organization, legal, and ownership) about themselves so that TSA may conduct a security threat assessment to confirm that they do not pose, or are not suspected of posing, a threat to transportation or national security. TSA estimates that each company will take up to 12 hours to provide TSA with this information. Therefore, TSA estimates that the total hour burden for providing this general company information to be 144 hours [12 companies × 12 hours per company].

TSA will also collect personally identifying information about company key personnel (such as name, contact information, and date of birth) in order to conduct security threat assessments,

<sup>1</sup> An RT Service Provider can be: (1) An Enrollment Provider (EP) that collects the biographic and biometric information from RT applicants, collects user fees from RT applicants, and issues RT cards to RT participants; (2) a Verification Provider (VP) that verifies the identity of the RT participant in the airport in accordance with TSA-issued RT standards; or (3) a combined Enrollment and Verification Provider. The term "Service Provider" is used in this document as a term of collective reference to RT vendors of all three categories.

<sup>2</sup> Key personnel are defined as: (1) Officers, principals, and program managers responsible for RT operations; and (2) all employees that collect, handle or use RT applicant or participant data.

including a fingerprint-based criminal history records checks. TSA estimates that this information will be collected for a maximum of 25 individuals per company and that providing this information will take about three hours per person. Therefore, TSA estimates that the maximum total hour burden for providing information on company officers and key personnel to be 900 hours [300 individuals (12 companies × 25 individuals per company) × 3 hours per individual].

Thus, TSA estimates the total hour burden for the company re-qualification process to be 1,044 hours [144 hours for general company information + 900 hours for information on company officers and key personnel].

#### *Airport and Air Carrier Participation Approval*

If an airport authority or aircraft operator wishes to participate in the Registered Traveler Pilot Program, TSA will require it to submit a Statement of Interest. TSA estimates that up to 50 entities will apply to participate and that it will take each airport one hour to prepare and submit its Statement of Interest. Therefore, TSA estimates the total burden hour for each entity seeking to participate in Registered Traveler to be 50 hours [50 airports × 1 hour per airport/air carrier].

TSA is currently proceeding with RT pilots at approximately 10–20 airports. TSA requires potential Sponsoring Entities seeking to participate in Registered Traveler to submit a Plan of Operations, including a Validation and Verification Report, which demonstrates how the potential Sponsoring Entities' operations comply with TSA-issued Registered Traveler standards. TSA estimates that approximately 20 potential Sponsoring Entities will submit a Plan of Operations and that it will take each entity 40 hours to prepare the Plan. Therefore, TSA estimates the total hour burden for entities submitting a Plan of Operations to be 800 hours [20 entities × 40 hours per airport].

Thus, TSA estimates the total hour burden for the participation approval process to be approximately 850 hours [50 hours for preparation and submittal of a Statement of Interest (50 airports/air carriers × 1 hour per airport/air carrier) + 800 hours for preparation and submittal of a Plan of Operations (20 airports/air carriers × 40 hours per airport/air carrier)].

TSA estimates that expanding the Registered Traveler Pilot Program's information collection to include companies wishing to serve as service providers and airports wishing to participate will add a maximum of

\$2,400,000 to the cost burden. In order to prepare the Plan of Operations, airports will likely require the services of a certified public accountant to complete the Validation and Verification Report for their vendors. TSA estimates that it will cost about \$200,000 per company and that between 6 and 12 vendor companies will participate in Registered Traveler. Built into this \$200,000 figure is the cost per company to conduct a CHRC, which TSA estimates to be \$750.00 (\$30.00 per individual CHRC × 12 individuals per company). Therefore, TSA estimates a total burden cost ranging between \$1,200,000 [for 6 companies (\$200,000 per company × 6 companies)] and \$2,400,000 [for 12 companies (\$200,000 × 12 companies)].

Issued in Arlington, Virginia, on July 12, 2006.

**Peter Pietra,**

*Director of Privacy Policy and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. E6–11346 Filed 7–17–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–05–P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–5037–N–48]

### Multifamily Housing Mortgage and Housing Assistance Restructuring Program (Mark to Market)

**AGENCY:** Office of the Chief Information Officer, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The proposed information collection requirement described below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

Information to analyze and reduce rents to market and restructure mortgages on multifamily properties with FHA insurance and Section 8 project-based assistance whose Section 8 rents exceed market rents. The program reduces Section 8 rents to market and restructures debt as necessary.

**DATES:** *Comments Due Date:* August 17, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB approval Number (2502–0533) and should be sent to: HUD Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202–395–6974.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lillian Deitzer, Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20410; e-mail Lillian Deitzer at [Lillian\\_L\\_Deitzer@HUD.gov](mailto:Lillian_L_Deitzer@HUD.gov) or telephone (202) 708-2374. This is not a toll free number. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Deitzer or from HUD's Web site at <http://www5.hud.gov:63001/po/i/icbts/collectionsearch.cfm>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice informs the public that the Department of Housing and Urban Development has submitted to OMB a request for approval of the Information collection described below. This notice

is soliciting comments from members of the public and affecting agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

This notice also lists the following information:  
*Title of Proposal:* Multifamily Housing Mortgage and Housing Assistance Restructuring Program (Mark to Market).  
*OMB Approval Number:* 2502-0533.  
*Form Numbers:* HUD-9624, HUD-9625.  
*Description of the Need for the Information and its Proposed Use:* Information to analyze and reduce rents to market and restructure mortgages on multifamily properties with FHA insurance and Section 8 project-based assistance whose Section 8 rents exceed market rents. The program reduces Section 8 rents to market and restructures debt as necessary.  
*Frequency of Submission:* On occasion, Annually.

	Number of respondents	Annual responses	×	Hours per response	=	Burden hours
Reporting Burden .....	104	6		1.06		663

*Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 663.  
*Status:* Extension of a currently approved collection.  
**Authority:** Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 35, as amended.

Dated: July 12, 2006.  
**Lillian L. Deitzer,**  
*Departmental Paperwork Reduction Act Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*  
 [FR Doc. E6-11361 Filed 7-17-06; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Inv. No. 337-TA-533]

**In the Matter of Certain Rubber Antidegradants, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Final Commission Determination Regarding Violation; Issuance of Limited Exclusion Order; Termination of Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to terminate the above-captioned investigation with a finding of violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337") by two respondents and issuance of a limited exclusion order.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Wayne Herrington, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3090. Copies of the public version of the Commission's opinion and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/edis.htm>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this section 337 investigation on March 29, 2005, based on a complaint filed by Flexsys America LP ("Flexsys"). 70 FR 15885 (March 29, 2005). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain rubber antidegradants, components thereof, and products

containing same that infringe claims 30 and 61 of U.S. Patent No. 5,117,063 ("the '063 patent"), claims 7 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 5,608,111 ("the '111 patent"), and claims 1, 32, and 40 of U.S. Patent No. 6,140,538 ("the '538 patent"). The complaint and notice of investigation named five respondents. The investigation was subsequently terminated as to two respondents and as to the '538 patent.  
 On February 17, 2006, the ALJ issued his final ID finding a violation of section 337 by respondents Sinorgchem Co., Shandong, ("Sinorgchem") and Sovereign Chemical Company ("Sovereign"), but finding no violation of section 337 by respondent Korea Kumho Petrochemical Co., Ltd. ("KKPC"). The ALJ recommended that the Commission issue limited exclusion orders, but did not recommend that any bond be imposed for importations during the Presidential review period. All parties petitioned for review of various parts of the final ID.  
 On April 13, 2006, the Commission issued notice that it had determined to review the final ID in its entirety and received review submissions from all the parties, including submissions on remedy, public interest, and bonding. The Commission also received submissions from three non-parties. Respondent KKPC moved to strike these three submissions as well as Attachment 1 to Flexsys' initial review submission. KKPC also moved for leave to file a reply to Flexsys' response to its motion to strike.