

arguments, as they may desire. Commenters should identify AC 120–YY and send comments in duplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered before the final AC is issued. The proposed AC can be found and downloaded from the Internet at the AIR Web site, http://www.faa.gov/aircraft/draft_docs. A paper copy of the proposed AC may be obtained by contacting the person named above under the caption **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Background

On May 12, 2006, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) posted proposed AC 120–YY on the AIR Web site and requested comments. On May 22, 2006, we published a “Notice of Availability of Proposed Advisory Circular (AC) 120–YY, and request for comments,” in the **Federal Register** (71 FR 29377). This AC provides guidance on compliance with the proposed rule entitled “Widespread Fatigue Damage,” which was published on April 18, 2006, (71 FR 19928). Comments on proposed AC 120–YY were to be received by July 17, 2006.

Five petitioners, the Air Transport Association of America, Inc. (ATA), Airbus, the Cargo Airline Association (CAA), Boeing Commercial Airplanes, and National Air Carrier Association, Inc. (NACA), have asked the FAA to extend the comment period for the proposed AC. Each petitioner requested a 90-day extension to provide enough time to evaluate the proposed AC and related rulemaking and prepare comments to send to the FAA.

The FAA concurs with the petitioners’ requests for an extension of the comment period on proposed AC 120–YY, but we believe that an extension of 90 days would be excessive. The FAA concludes that an additional 60 days would be adequate for the petitioners to review and comment on proposed AC 120–YY and, accordingly, extends the comment period to September 18, 2006. That date corresponds to the comment period extension date, announced in a separate **Federal Register** notice to be published today for the associated proposed rule, “Widespread Fatigue Damage.”

Extension of Comment Period

For the reasons provided in this notice, the FAA believes that good cause exists for extending the comment period for proposed AC 120–YY to September 18, 2006. Absent unusual circumstances, the FAA does not

anticipate any further extension of the comment period for this proposed AC.

Issued in Washington, DC, June 29, 2006.

John M. Allen,

Acting Director, Flight Standards Service, Aviation Safety.

Dorenda D. Baker,

Acting Director, Aircraft Certification Service, Aviation Safety.

[FR Doc. E6–10600 Filed 7–6–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Docket No. 4910–13–M]

Notice of Opportunity for Public Comment on Grant Acquired Property Release at Concord Regional Airport, Concord, NC

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of Title 49, U.S.C. Section 47153(c), notice is being given that the FAA is considering a request from the City of Concord to waive the requirement that approximately 7.30 acres of airport property, located at the Concord Regional Airport, be used for aeronautical purposes.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 7, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this notice may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Atlanta Airports District Office, Attn: Rusty Nealis, Program Manager, 1701 Columbia Ave., Suite 2–260, Atlanta, GA 30337–2747.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to W. Brian Hiatt, City Manager of the City of Concord at the following address: City of Concord, Post Office Box 308, Concord, NC 28026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rusty Nealis, Program Manager, Atlanta Airports District Office, 1701 Columbia Ave., Suite 2–260, Atlanta, GA 30337–2747, (404) 305–7142. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA is reviewing a request by the City of Concord to release approximately 7.30 acres of airport property at the Concord Regional Airport. The property consists of one parcel roughly located on the Western edge of Ivey Cline Road approximately 600 ft. South of Popular

Tent Road. This property is currently shown on the approved Airport Layout Plan as aeronautical use land; however the property is currently not being used for aeronautical purposes and the proposed use of this property is compatible with airport operations. The City will ultimately sell the property for future industrial use with proceeds of the sale providing funding for future airport development.

Any person may inspect the request in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the request, notice and other documents germane to the request in person at the Concord Regional Airport.

Issued in Atlanta, Georgia on June 29, 2006.

Scott L. Seritt,

Manager, Atlanta Airports District Office, Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 06–6056 Filed 7–6–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

[Docket No. FHWA–2006–25264]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Request for Comments for New Information Collection

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The FHWA has forwarded the information collection request described in this notice to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval of a new information collection. We published a **Federal Register** Notice with a 60-day public comment period on this information collection on November 21st, 2005. We are required to publish this notice in the **Federal Register** by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Please submit comments by August 7, 2006.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, within 30 days, to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention DOT Desk Officer. You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including: (1) Whether the proposed collection is necessary for the FHWA’s performance; (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (3) ways for the FHWA to