display a valid OMB control number on the forms in this information collection. A **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on this information collection was published in the **Federal Register** on March 6, 2006 (71 FR 11225, Mar. 6, 2006). Reclamation did not receive any comments on this information collection during the comment period.

OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove this information collection, but may respond after 30 days; therefore, public comment should be submitted to OMB within 30 days in order to assure maximum consideration.

Department of the Interior practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Roseann Gonzales,

Director, Office of Program and Policy Services, Denver Office.

[FR Doc. E6-10659 Filed 7-6-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Settlement Agreements in In re EaglePicher Holdings, Inc., Under The Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA)

Notice is hereby given that on June 30, 2006, four proposed Settlement Agreements were filed with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Ohio in *In re EaglePicher Holdings, Inc.*, No. 05–12601 (Bankr. S.D. Ohio.). The Settlement Agreements among the United States on behalf of U.S. EPA, the States of Michigan, Oklahoma, Illinois, and Kansas, and Debtor EaglePicher Holdings, Inc., and its affiliated Debtors resolve CERCLA claims as provided in the Settlement Agreements for the following facilities: Miami, Oklahoma;

Hockerville, Oklahoma; Galena, Kansas; Baxter Springs, Kansas; Columbus, Kansas; Galena, Illinois; 215 and 221 Industrial Drive, Hillsdale, Michigan; South Street, Hillsdale, Michigan; Inkster, Michigan; and River Rouge, Michigan.

Under each of the Settlement Agreements, a custodial trust will be created to fund the clean up of the properties listed above. Under the Oklahoma Settlement Agreement, the custodial trust will be funded in the amount of \$705,000 for the Miami and Hockerville Sites. Under the Michigan Settlement Agreement, the custodial trust will be funded in the amount of \$2,400,000 for the cleanup of the facilities located in the Hillsdale and in the amount of \$2,200,000 for the cleanup of the Inkster and River Rouge sites. Under the Kansas Settlement Agreement, funding in the amounts of \$6,560,000, \$349,000 and \$282,000 are to be placed in the custodial trust for the Galena, Baxter Springs and Columbus Sites respectively. Under the Illinois Settlement, funding in the amount of \$1,150,000 is to be placed in the custodial trust for the Galena, Illinois facility.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of fourteen (14) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Settlement Agreement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resource Division, Department of Justice, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *In re EaglePicher Holdings, Inc.*, DJ No. 90–11–3–747/2.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Ohio; and at U.S. EPA, Ariel Rios Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460. During the public comment period, the consent decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ open.html. Copies of the consent decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or emailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy, please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$26.25 for the Kansas Settlement Agreement; \$24.75 for the Oklahoma Settlement Agreement; \$26.75 for the Michigan Settlement Agreement; and \$24.75 for the Illinois Settlement Agreement, (25 cents per page

reproduction costs) payable to the U.S. Treasury for the consent decree in *In re EaglePicher Holdings, Inc.*, DJ No. 90–11–3–747/2.

Bruce S. Gelber,

Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 06–6049 Filed 7–6–06; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4410–15–M**

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of the Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

Notice is hereby given that on June 22, 2006, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States* v. *Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority* ("PRASA"), Civil action No. 06–1624 (SEC) was lodged with the United States court for the District of Puerto Rico.

The proposed Consent Decree resolves PRASA's Clean Water Act (CWA) violations involving discharges in violation of CWA permits; failure to operate and properly maintain all 61 wastewater treatment plants; and discharges of raw sewage from seven collection systems. Under the terms of the Consent Decree, PRASA will pay a \$1 million penalty, undertake a Supplemental Environment Project valued at \$3 million, and implement injunctive relief valued at approximately \$1.7 billion. PRASA agrees to complete 145 short-term, midterm and/or long-term capital improvement projects at its wastewater treatment plants over the next 15 years. PRASA will also implement a Spill Response and Cleanup Plan and an Integrated Maintenance Program to promote proper operation and maintenance of its wastewater treatment plants.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree.

Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General,
Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S.

Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United States* v. *PRASA*.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Federal Office Building, Rm. 10, Carlos E. Chardón Avenue, San Juan, Puerto Rico, and at U.S. EPA Region II, 290 Broadway, New York, New York. During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may also be examined on the following Department of Justice

Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ open.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood (tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov), fax no. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514-1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$39.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Ronald G. Gluck,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resource Division.

[FR Doc. 06–6048 Filed 7–6–06; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4410–15–M**

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

June 27, 2006.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting Darrin King on 202–693–4129 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mail: king.darrin@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, 202–395–7316 (this is not a toll-free number), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the Federal Register.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information,

including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected: and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Âgency: Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection.

Title: Process Safety Management of Highly Hazardous Chemicals (PSM) (29 CFR 1910.119).

OMB Number: 1218–0200. Frequency: On occasion; Annually; Every 3 years; and Every 5 years.

Type of Response: Recordkeeping and Third party disclosure.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit; Federal Government; and State, local, or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 37,970. Number of Annual Responses: 8,134,631.

Estimated Time per Response: Varies by task.

Total Burden Hours: 47,852,750.
Total Annualized capital/startup

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0.

Description: The Clean Air Act Amendments ("CAAA") of 1990 required the Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA" or "the Agency") to develop a standard on Process Safety Management of Highly Hazardous Chemicals ("the PSM Standard" or "the Standard") containing certain minimum requirements to prevent accidental releases of chemicals that could pose a threat to employees. Under the authority granted by the Act, OSHA published the PSM Standard at 29 CFR 1910.119. The Standard, rather than setting specific engineering requirements, emphasizes the application of documented management controls; using the controls, companies address the risk associated with handling or working near highly hazardous chemicals. The Standard contains a number of paperwork requirements such as developing written process safety information, procedures and management practices, to update operating procedures and safe work practices, to evaluate safety history and policies of contractors, to conduct

periodic evaluations, and to document employee training.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. E6–10632 Filed 7–6–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–26–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

June 30, 2006.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of each ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting Darrin King on 202–693–4129 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mail: king.darrin@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, 202–395–7316 (this is not a toll-free number), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected: and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Employee Benefits Security Administration.

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved collection.