

Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0405 or (202) 482-8029, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On January 25, 2006, the Department initiated new shipper reviews of honey from the People's Republic of China in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). See *Honey from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Review*, 71 FR 5051 (January 31, 2006). The reviews were initiated based on timely requests received from Inner Mongolia Altin Bee-Keeping Co., Ltd., Dongtai Peak Honey Industry Co., Ltd., Qinhuangdao Municipal Dafeng Industrial Co., Ltd., and Tianjin Eulia Honey Co. Ltd, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(c). The preliminary results are currently due no later than July 24, 2006.

**Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results**

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review within 180 days after the date on which the new shipper review was initiated. The Department may, however, extend the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of a new shipper review to 300 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated. See section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2).

The Department has determined that it is not practicable to complete this review within the current time limit due to complex issues in the case and the late verification schedule. Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for the completion of the preliminary results until November 21, 2006, which is the first business day 300 days from the date on which this new shipper review was initiated, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2). The final results, in turn, will be due 90 days after the date of issuance of the preliminary results, unless extended.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 21, 2006.

**Stephen J. Claey's,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-10376 Filed 6-30-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

(A-533-810)

**Notice of Final Results and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Bar from India**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On March 7, 2006, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India. The period of review is February 1, 2004, through January 31, 2005. This review covers sales of stainless steel bar from India with respect to Chandan Steel, Ltd. We provided interested parties with an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results of this review, but received no comments. The final results do not differ from the preliminary results of this review. We will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assess antidumping duties on the subject merchandise exported by this company.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 3, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Scott Holland, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1279.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

Since the publication of the preliminary results of this review (see *Stainless Steel Bar from India: Notice of Preliminary Results and Preliminary Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 11390 (March 7, 2006) ("Preliminary Results")), the following events have occurred: the Department of Commerce ("the Department") invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results of this review. No comments were received.

**Scope of the Order**

Merchandise covered by the order is shipments of stainless steel bar ("SSB"). SSB means articles of stainless steel in straight lengths that have been either hot-rolled, forged, turned, cold-drawn, cold-rolled or otherwise cold-finished, or ground, having a uniform solid cross section along their whole length in the shape of circles, segments of circles,

ovals, rectangles (including squares), triangles, hexagons, octagons, or other convex polygons. SSB includes cold-finished SSBs that are turned or ground in straight lengths, whether produced from hot-rolled bar or from straightened and cut rod or wire, and reinforcing bars that have indentations, ribs, grooves, or other deformations produced during the rolling process.

Except as specified above, the term does not include stainless steel semi-finished products, cut-to-length flat-rolled products (i.e., cut-to-length rolled products which if less than 4.75 mm in thickness have a width measuring at least 10 times the thickness, or if 4.75 mm or more in thickness having a width which exceeds 150 mm and measures at least twice the thickness), wire (i.e., cold-formed products in coils, of any uniform solid cross section along their whole length, which do not conform to the definition of flat-rolled products), and angles, shapes, and sections.

The SSB subject to these reviews is currently classifiable under subheadings 7222.11.00.05, 7222.11.00.50, 7222.19.00.05, 7222.19.00.50, 7222.20.00.05, 7222.20.00.45, 7222.20.00.75, and 7222.30.00.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

On May 23, 2005, the Department issued a final scope ruling that SSB manufactured in the United Arab Emirates out of stainless steel wire rod from India is not subject to the scope of this proceeding. See Memorandum to Barbara E. Tillman, *Antidumping Duty Orders on Stainless Steel Bar from India and Stainless Steel Wire Rod from India: Final Scope Ruling* (May 23, 2005). The ruling is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the main Department building ("CRU").

**Period of Review**

The period of review ("POR") is February 1, 2004, through January 31, 2005.

**Partial Rescission of Review**

According to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), the Department will rescind an administrative review in whole or only with respect to a particular exporter or producer, if we conclude that, during the POR, there were no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise, as the case may be. In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department preliminarily found that Ferro Alloys Corporation, Ltd. ("Facor") reported no entries of

subject merchandise to the United States during the POR, a fact which the Department confirmed by conducting an inquiry with U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP"). Therefore, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), and consistent with the *Preliminary Results*, we are rescinding this review with respect to Facor.

#### Final Results of the Review

These final results remain unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*. We provided an opportunity for parties to comment on our preliminary results and received no comments. Therefore, we find that the following percentage margin exists for the period February 1, 2004, through January 31, 2005:

Exporter/Manufacturer	Margin
Chandan Steel, Ltd. ....	21.02

#### Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For Chandan, we will instruct CBP to liquidate entries at the rate indicated above. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to the CBP within 15 days of publication of these final results of review.

In accordance with the Department's clarification of its assessment policy (see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003)), in the event any entries were made during the period of review through intermediaries under the CBP case number for Facor, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the all-others rate in effect on the date of entry.

#### Cash Deposit Rates

The following antidumping duty deposits will be required on all shipments of SSB from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, effective on or after the publication date of these final results of administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for the reviewed company will be the rate listed above (except no cash deposit will be required if a company's weighted-average margin is *de minimis*, i.e., less than 0.5 percent); (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, the previous review, or the original investigation, but the

manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous reviews, the cash deposit rate will be 12.45 percent, the "all others" rate established in the less than fair value investigation. See *Stainless Steel Bar from India; Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 59 FR 66915 (December 28, 1994). These cash deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

#### Notification Regarding APOs

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APOs") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 27, 2006.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-10386 Filed 6-30-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

(A-580-834)

#### Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from the Republic of Korea

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On May 12, 2006, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation and preliminary results of its changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils (SSSSC) from the Republic of Korea (Korea). See *Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Review: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from the Republic of Korea*, 71 FR 27680 (May 12, 2006) (*Preliminary Results*). We have now completed that review. For these final results, as in the *Preliminary Results*, we determine that: 1) Hyundai Steel Company (Hyundai) is the successor-in-interest to INI Steel Company (INI), formerly Incheon Iron and Steel Co., Ltd. (Inchon), a respondent in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation; and 2) SSSSC produced and exported by Hyundai should be excluded from the antidumping duty order.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 10, 2006

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Irina Itkin or Brianne Riker, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0656 and (202) 482-0629, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On July 27, 1999, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 40555) the antidumping duty order on SSSSC from Korea. Incheon was excluded from the order because its dumping margin was de minimis in the LTFV investigation. In 2001, INI requested that the Department conduct a changed circumstances review to confirm that INI was the successor-in-interest to Incheon. On June 28, 2002, the Department found that INI was the successor-in-interest to Incheon and that INI should be excluded from the antidumping duty order on SSSSC from