DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No.FR-5044-N-12]

Notice of Submission of Proposed Information Collection to OMB: Contract for Inspection Services-Turnkey

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal. Information is used by the PHA to obtain professional architectural services to assist in the administration of a construction contract and to inspect the installation of the work.

DATES: Comments Due Date: August 28, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Lillian Deitzer, Reports Management Officer, AYO, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, Southwest, Washington, DC 20410; e-mail Lillian_Deitzer@HUD.gov; telephone (202) 708–2374. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of the proposed forms and other available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Mr. Deitzer.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Aneita Waites, (202) 708–0713, extension 4114, for copies of the proposed forms and other available documents. (This is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department has submitted the proposal for the collection of information, as described below, to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The Notice lists the following information: (1) The title of the information collection proposal; (2) the office of the agency to collect the information; (3) the OMB approval number, if applicable; (4) the description of the need for the information and its proposed use; (5) the agency form number, if applicable; (6) what members of the public will be affected by the proposal; (7) how

frequently information submissions will be required; (8) an estimate of the total number of hours needed to prepare the information submission including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response; (9) whether the proposal is new, an extension, reinstatement, or revision of an information collection requirement; and (10) the name and telephone number of an agency official familiar with the proposal and of the OMB Desk Officer for the Department.

This Notice also lists the following information:

Title of Proposal: Contract for Inspection Services-Turnkey.

OMB Approval Number: 2577–0007. *Form Numbers:* HUD–5084.

Description of the Need for the Information and Its Proposed Use: Information is used by the PHA to obtain professional architectural services to assist in the administration of a construction contract and to inspect the installation of the work.

Respondents: Not-for-profit institutions, State, Local or Tribal Government.

Frequency of Submission: Other per applicant.

	Number of respondents	Annual responses	×	Hours per response	=	Burden hours
Reporting Burden	76	76		2.00		152

Total Estimated Burden Hours: 152. Status: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Authority: Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 35, as amended.

Dated: June 23, 2006.

Sherry Fobear-McCown,

Program Analyst.

[FR Doc. 06-5819 Filed 6-28-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4800-FA-24]

Announcement of Funding Award—FY 2003; Healthy Homes Demonstration Program

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control, HUD.

ACTION: Announcement of funding awards.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 102(a)(4)(C) of the Department of Housing and Urban Development

Reform Act of 1989, this announcement notifies the public of a funding decision made by the Department in a competition for funding under the Healthy Homes Demonstration Program Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA). This announcement contains the name and address of the award recipients and the amounts of award.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jonnette Hawkins, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control, Room 8236, 451 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, 20410, telephone (202) 755–1785, ext. 7593. Hearing- and speech-impaired persons may access the number above via TTY by calling the toll free Federal Information Relay Service at 1–800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The 2003 awards were announced in the HUD News Release on October 2, 2003. These awards were the result of a competition announced in a Federal Register notice published on April 25, 2003 (68 FR 21363) for the Healthy Homes Demonstration Program. The purpose of the competition was to award grant funding for grants and cooperative

agreements under this program. Applications were scored and selected on the basis of selection criteria contained in that Notice.

In accordance with section 102(a)(4)(C) of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989 (103 Stat. 1987, 42 U.S.C. 3545), the Department is publishing the names, addresses, and amounts of these awards as follows:

A total of \$5,916,355 was awarded to seven grantees for the Healthy Homes Demonstration Program: Cuyahoga County Board of Health, 1375 Euclid Avenue, 5th Floor, Cleveland, OH 44115, \$950,000; Department of Health, County of Erie, 95 Franklin Street, Room 910, Buffalo, NY 14202, \$950,000; Board of Mahoning County Commissioners, 21 West Boardman Street, Suite 300, Youngstown, OH 44503, \$900,000; Neighborhood House, Inc., 905 Spruce Street, Seattle, WA 98104, \$850,000; City of Minneapolis, 250 S. Fourth Street, Room 414, Minneapolis, MN 55415, \$650,000; NY Indoor Environmental Quality Center, Inc., 505 Irving Avenue, Syracuse, NY 13210, \$850,000; The Medical Foundation, NE

Asthma Regional Council, 95 Berkeley Street, Boston, MA 02116, \$766,355.

Dated: June 12, 2006.

Warren Friedman,

Deputy Director, Office of Healthy Homes and Lead Hazard Control.

[FR Doc. E6-10224 Filed 6-28-06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4914-N-08]

Mortgagee Review Board; Administrative Actions

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner, Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with Section 202(c) of the National Housing Act, this notice advises of the cause and description of administrative actions taken by HUD's Mortgagee Review Board against HUD-approved mortgagees.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David E. Hintz, Secretary to the Mortgagee Review Board, 451 Seventh Street, Room B–133 Portals 200, SW., Washington, DC 20410–8000, telephone: (202) 708–3856, extension 3594. A Telecommunications Device for Hearing- and Speech-Impaired Individuals (TTY) is available at (800) 877–8339 (Federal Information Relay Service).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 202(c)(5) of the National Housing Act (added by Section 142 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989, Pub. L. 101–235, approved December 15, 1989), requires that HUD "publish a description of and the cause for administrative action against a HUDapproved mortgagee" by the Department's Mortgagee Review Board (Board). In compliance with the requirements of Section 202(c)(5), this notice advises of administrative actions that have been taken by the Board from March 14, 2005 to May 16, 2006.

1. ABN Amro Mortgage Group, Inc., Ann Arbor, MI [Docket No. 04–4318– MR]

Action: Settlement Agreement signed December 30, 2005. Without admitting wrongdoing or fault, ABN Amro Mortgage Group, Inc. (ABN Amro) agreed to pay the United States of America the sum of \$16,850,000. ABN Amro also agreed not to submit claims or cause claims to be submitted to HUD for any of the 783 mortgage loans covered in the Settlement Agreement.

Cause: The Board took this action based on a violation of HUD/FHA requirements in the origination of HUD/ FHA-insured loans where ABN Amro made false certifications to HUD on 26,775 FHA-insured mortgages.

2. AMortgage Link, LLC, Memphis, TN [Docket No. 03–3170–MR]

Action: Settlement Agreement signed October 20, 2005. Without admitting liability or fault, AMortgage Link, LLC (AMortgage Link) agreed to pay HUD an administrative payment in the amount of \$33,500.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following violations of HUD/FHA requirements in the origination of HUD/FHA-insured loans where AMortgage Link: Failed to ensure that its employees worked exclusively for AMortgage Link; allowed prohibited payments to individuals who received other payments for services related to a loan transaction; failed to implement and maintain a Quality Control Plan in compliance with HUD/FHA requirements; submitted falsified and conflicting documentation to obtain FHA mortgage insurance; and failed to provide files that originating lenders are required to maintain.

3. Apreva, Inc., Bellevue, WA [Docket No. 06–6001–MR]

Action: Settlement Agreement signed March 3, 2006. Without admitting fault or liability, Apreva, Inc. (Apreva) and Apreva's President agreed: To an indefinite voluntary withdrawal of its FHA-approval until it has paid, or otherwise indemnified HUD for its losses on thirty-four mortgages; to pay HUD a civil money penalty in the amount of \$316,000; that Apreva's President will not have a controlling interest (defined as 51% or greater) in any other FHA-approved mortgage company during the time Apreva's withdrawal is in effect; and if Apreva fails to make any civil money penalty payment under the Settlement Agreement that Apreva's President will personally guarantee such payment.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following violations of HUD/FHA requirements in the origination of HUD/FHA-insured loans where Apreva: Failed to provide adequate compensating factors to justify the approval of mortgages with ratios exceeding HUD/FHA standards; failed to adequately document employment income in accordance with HUD/FHA requirements; failed to properly verify the source of funds used for the

downpayment and/or closing costs; failed to evaluate credit history and/or explain negative credit information to ensure compliance with HUD/FHA credit requirements; approved mortgages without establishing that the interest rate buy-down will not have an adverse effect on the borrower's ability to make mortgage payments in accordance with HUD/FHA requirements; failed to adequately explain and/or resolve important file discrepancies or irregularities; failed to obtain the borrower's original signature on the Uniform Residential Mortgage Application; improperly allowed the inclusion of gift funds in its calculation of cash reserves; falsely certified that mortgages were eligible for HUD/FHA mortgage insurance; allowed nonexclusive employees to originate HUD/ FHA-insured mortgages; and failed to implement and maintain a Quality Control Plan and review procedures in compliance with HUD/FHA requirements.

4. Budget Mortgage Bankers, Ltd., New Hyde Park, NY [Docket No. 05–5076– MR]

Action: Settlement Agreement signed March 6, 2006. Without admitting liability or fault, Budget Mortgage Bankers, Ltd. (Budget) agreed to indemnify HUD for any losses incurred on 15 HUD/FHA-insured loans and, pay HUD and administrative payment in the amount of \$238,500.

Cause: The Board took this action based on the following violations of HUD/FHA requirements in the origination of HUD/FHA-insured loans where Budget: Approved more than one HUD/FHA-insured loan for borrowers without adequate justification; made false certifications on the HUD Form 92900-A, Part II, Lender Certification; failed to ensure that loan amounts did not exceed the maximum loan-to-value limits: failed to underwrite the loan in accordance with HUD/FHA requirements because it permitted the use of an appraiser not approved by the Department; failed to originate and underwrite streamline refinance loans in accordance with HUD/FHA requirements; failed to establish the source and/or adequacy of funds for the down payment and/or closing costs; failed to ensure borrowers met the minimum credit requirements; failed to provide and/or verify significant compensating factors for loans with back-end ratios that exceeded HUD/ FHA standards; failed to properly verify and analyze the borrower's income and/ or stability of employment; failed to ensure that verifications and other supporting documents did not pass