includes the name of the importer or exporter and broker, the scientific and common name of the fish or wildlife, permit numbers (if permits are required), a description of the fish or wildlife, quantity and value of the fish or wildlife, and natural country of origin of the fish or wildlife. In addition, certain information, such as the airway bill or bill of lading number, the location of the fish or wildlife for inspection, and the number of cartons containing fish or wildlife, assists our wildlife inspectors if a physical examination of the shipment is

required. This information collection is part of a system of records covered by the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552(a)).

*Title:* Declaration for Importation or Exportation of Fish or Wildlife, 50 CFR 14.61–14.64.

OMB Control Number: 1018–0012. Service Form Number: 3–177 and 3– 177a

Frequency of Collection: On occasion, whenever clearance is requested for an importation or exportation of fish, wildlife, or wildlife products.

Description of Respondents: Businesses or individuals that import or export fish, wildlife, or wildlife products; scientific institutions that import or export fish or wildlife scientific specimens; government agencies that import or export fish or wildlife specimens for various purposes.

Number of Respondents: 25,000.

Total Annual Responses: 168,920. We estimate that 94,595 (56 percent) will be electronic submissions and 74,325 (44 percent) will be hard copy submissions.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 28,379 hours. We estimate the reporting burden to average 14 minutes per response when completed by hand and 7 minutes per response for electronic submissions.

Type of submission	Number of responses	Average time/ response (minutes)	Total burden hours
Electronic	94,595 74,325	7 14	11,036 17,343
Total	168,920		28,379

We invite comments concerning this information collection on: (1) Whether or not the collection of information is useful and necessary for us to do our job, (2) the accuracy of our estimate of the burden on the public to complete the form; (3) ways to enhance the quality and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection on respondents. Comments submitted in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include and/or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to renew approval for this information collection.

Dated: June 8, 2006.

## Hope Grey,

Information Collection Clearance Officer, Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. E6–9672 Filed 6–19–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### Fish and Wildlife Service

Chickasaw, Hatchie, Lower Hatchie, Reelfoot, and Lake Isom National Wildlife Refuges

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability of the Final Comprehensive Conservation Plans and Findings of No Significant Impact for Chickasaw National Wildlife Refuge in Lauderdale County, Tennessee; Hatchie National Wildlife Refuge in Haywood County, Tennessee; Lower Hatchie Nainotal Wildlife Refuge in Lauderdale and Tipton Counties,

Tennessee; and Reelfoot and Lake Isom National Wildlife Refuges in Obion and Lake Counties, Tennessee, and Fulton County, Kentucky.

SUMMARY: The Fish and Wildlife Service announces that Final Comprehensive Conservation Plans and Findings of No Significant Impact for the above referenced refuges are available for distribution. The plans were prepared pursuant to the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, and in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and describe how the refuges will be managed over the next 15 years.

ADDRESSES: Copies of these plans may be obtained by writing to: Randy Cook, West Tennessee Refuges, 301 N. Church, Room 201, Dyersburg, Tennessee 38024; Telephone 731/287–0650. The plans may also be accessed and downloaded from the Service's Internet Web site http://southeast.fws.gov/planning/.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The availability of the draft comprehensive conservation plans and environmental assessments for a 45-day review period was announced in the Federal Register on January 4, 2006 (71 FR 353). The Service evaluated four alternatives for managing the refuges and selected Alternative D as the preferred alternative. This alternative was developed based on public input and the best professional judgment of the planning team. Under Alternative D, refuge lands will be more intensely managed than at present to provide high-quality habitat for wildlife, which will work toward fulfilling the habitat objectives outlined in the Mississippi

Alluvial Valley Migratory Bird Initiative, and will include significant benefits for waterfowl, shorebirds, and neotropical migratory birds. This alternative will significantly benefit wetland-dependent migratory bird species by increasing and enhancing breeding, wintering, and migration habitats. This alternative contributes directly to the objectives of the Lower Mississippi Joint Venture of the North American Waterfowl Management Plan, the partners in Flight—Mississippi Alluvial Valley Habitat Conservation Plan, the United States Shorebird Conservation Plan, West Tennessee Wildlife Resources Conservation Plan, and the North American Woodcock Plan. It provides integrated migratory bird management objectives in a landscape-level, biologically driven framework, which includes creating and maintaining additional moist-soil units and restoring bottomland hardwood forest habitats.

Fisheries management will be emphasized and, where appropriate, restored for native diversity within the floodplain. Refuge habitats will be managed and restored for natural diversity in support of national and regional plans. Forest management will address the need to restore and enhance the red oak component for migratory waterfowl and develop vertical structure to provide habitat for a diversity of species, particularly priority migratory birds. Any future reforestation efforts will incorporate greater native tree species diversity.

This alternative will encourage more public recreational and educational uses, where feasible, while intensifying current habitat management. Hunting and fishing will continue with greater emphasis on increasing opportunities and enhancing the quality of the experience, including those for youth and disabled hunters/anglers. Education and interpretation will be promoted while providing programs and partnerships with local schools. Wildlife observation and photography opportunities will be expanded. Information guides and signage that highlight management programs, as well as unique wildlife habitats, will also be developed. Efforts will be undertaken to improve road maintenance in order to provide better visitor access.

The Service intends to construct a visitor center and headquarters office on Reelfoot, Chicksaw, and Lower Hatchie Refuges, with space for interpretation, environmental education, and staff.

Research studies will continue to be fostered and partnerships developed with other agencies and universities, with the refuges providing needed resources and study sites. Research will also provide benefits to conservation efforts throughout the Lower Mississippi River Valley to conserve, enhance, restore, and manage bottomland hardwood habitat. Inventorying and monitoring of birds, freshwater mussels, reptiles, and amphibians will be continued and expanded in order to assess population trends, correlate with environmental pressures, and provide baseline data to be used in development of appropriate management strategies. Providing additional staff (e.g., wildlife biologist, outdoor recreation planner, maintenance workers, and an additional full-time law enforcement officer) will enable the Service to fully develop and manage fish and wildlife resources and habitats, provide opportunities and facilities for wildlife observation and photography, provide environmental educational programs that promote a greater understanding of natural resources, and protect natural and cultural resources.

Under this alternative, the refuges will continue to acquire lands within the present acquisition boundaries for the use of compatible wildlifedependent public recreation and environmental education opportunities. Tracts that provide better-quality habitat and connectivity to existing refuge lands will receive higher priority for acquisition. The refuges will also use other important acquisition tools, including partnerships with conservation organizations, conservation easements with adjacent landowners, and leases/cooperative agreements.

Public comments were requested, considered, and incorporated throughout the planning process in numerous ways. Pubic outreach included open houses, public meetings, technical workgroups, planning update mailings, and Federal Register notices. During the draft comprehensive conservation plan/environmental assessment comment period for each refuge, the Service received several comments, which were incorporated, when appropriate, and responded to in the final comprehensive conservation plan.

**Authority:** This notice is published under the authority of the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, Public Law 105–57.

Dated: April 4, 2006.

#### Cynthia K. Dohner,

Acting Regional Director. [FR Doc. 06–5534 Filed 6–19–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–55–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### Fish and Wildlife Service

### **Draft Study Plan**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior. **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), on behalf of the Department of the Interior (DOI) as a natural resource trustee, announces the release for public review of the Draft Study Plan for a mink injury study for the Hudson River Natural Resource Damage Assessment (NRDA). The Draft Study Plan describes the Trustees' proposed approach to conducting this investigation, and seeks public feedback.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before July 15, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Requests for copies of the Draft Study Plan may be made in person or by mail to: Ms. Kathryn Jahn, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, New York Field Office, 3817 Luker Road, Cortland, New York 13045. Written comments or materials regarding the Draft Study Plan should be sent to the same address.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathryn Jahn, Environmental Contaminants Branch, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, New York Field Office, 3817 Luker Road, Cortland, New York 13045.

Interested parties may also call 607–753–9334, send electronic mail to kathryn\_jahn@fws.gov, or visit the FWS Hudson River NRDA Web site (http://

www.fws.gov/contaminants/ restorationplans/HudsonRiver/ HudsonRiver.cfm) where the Draft Study Plan is posted.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Natural resources of the Hudson River have been contaminated though past and ongoing discharges of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). The Hudson River Natural Resource Trustees—New York State, the U.S. Department of Commerce, and the U.S. Department of the Interior, are conducting a NRDA to assess those natural resources injured by PCBs. The Draft Study Plan is being released for public review and comment in accordance with the Trustees' NRDA Plan for the Hudson River issued in September 2002. That NRDA Plan was released in accordance with the Natural Resource Damage Assessment regulations found at Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 11.

Pursuant to the Hudson River NRDA plan, the Trustees have developed this Study Plan for a mink injury determination effort. Interested members of the public are invited to review and comment on the Draft Study Plan (see ADDRESSES, FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT**). All comments received on the Draft Study Plan will be considered and a response provided either through incorporation into the Final Study Plan or by letter to the commenter. The Trustees will also prepare a Responsiveness Summary, responding to public comments, that will be released to the public.

Author: The primary author of this notice is Ms. Kathryn Jahn, New York Field Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 3817 Luker Road, Cortland, NY 13045.

**Authority:** The authority for this action is the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9601 *et seq.* 

Dated: June 14, 2006.

# Richard O. Bennett,

Acting Regional Director, Region 5, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. E6–9652 Filed 6–19–06; 8:45 am]

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

## **National Park Service**

# National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before June 3, 2006.