

Signed at Washington, DC, this 9th day of June, 2006.

**Lisa Harvey,**  
Grant Officer.

[FR Doc. E6-9572 Filed 6-16-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-28-P

## NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

### Sunshine Act; Notice of Meeting

**TIME AND DATE:** 10 a.m., Thursday, June 22, 2006.

**PLACE:** Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3428.

**STATUS:** Open.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Proposed Rule: Part 708a of NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Conversion of Insured Credit Unions to Mutual Savings Banks.
2. Proposed Rule: Section 748.1(c) of NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Suspicious Activity Reports.
3. Proposed Rule: Part 740 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Accuracy of Advertising and Notice of Insured Status.
4. Final Rule: Section 701.21(h) of NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Third-Party Servicing of Indirect Vehicle Loans.
5. Final Rule: Interpretive Ruling and Policy Statement (IRPS) 06-1, Section 701.1 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Amendments to NCUA's Chartering and Field of Membership Policies.

**RECESS:** 11:15 a.m.

**TIME AND DATE:** 11:30 a.m., Thursday, June 22, 2006.

**PLACE:** Board Room, 7th Floor, Room 7047, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3428.

**STATUS:** Closed.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Part 703 of NCUA's Rules and Regulations, Pilot Program Request. Closed pursuant to Exemption (4).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary Rupp, Secretary of the Board, Telephone: 703-518-6304.

**Mary Rupp,**

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 06-5540 Filed 6-15-06; 3:11 pm]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-M

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science Foundation (NSF) has submitted the following information collection requirement to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. This is the second notice for public comment; the first was published in the *Federal Register* at 71 FR 4382, and no comments were received. NSF is forwarding the proposed renewal submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance simultaneously with the publication of this second notice. Comments regarding (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; or (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for National Science Foundation, 725-17th Street, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, and to Catherine Hines, Acting Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 295, Arlington, Virginia 22230 or send e-mail to [chines@nsf.gov](mailto:chines@nsf.gov). Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of this notification. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling 703-292-4414.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Catherine Hines at (703) 292-4414 or send e-mail to [chines@nsf.gov](mailto:chines@nsf.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

NSF may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it

displays a currently valid OMB control number.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title of Collection:* "Biological Sciences Proposal Classification Form."  
*OBM Approval Number:* 3145-NEW.

*Type of Request:* Intent to seek approval to establish an information collection for three years.

*Proposed Project:* Three divisions within the Directorate of Biological Sciences of the National Science Foundation will use the Biological Sciences Proposal Classification Form. They are the Division of Biological Infrastructure, the Division of Evolutionary Biology, and the Division of Molecular and Cellular Biosciences. All scientists submitting proposals to these divisions will be asked to complete an electronic version of the Proposal Classification Form. The form consists of brief questions about the substance of the research and the investigator's previous federal support. Each division will have a slightly different version of the form. In this way, submitters will only confront response choices that are relevant to their discipline.

*Use of the Information:* The information gathered with the Biological Sciences Proposal Classification Form serves two main purposes. The first is facilitation of the proposal review process. Since peer review is a key component of NSF's grant-making process, it is imperative that proposals are reviewed by scientists with appropriate expertise. The information collected with the Proposal Classification Form helps ensure that the proposals are evaluated by specialists who are well versed in appropriate subject matter. This helps maintain a fair and equitable review process.

The second use of the information is program evaluation. The Directorate is committed to investing in a range of substantive areas. With data from this collection, the Directorate can calculate submission rates and funding rates in specific areas of research. Similarly, the information can be used to identify emerging areas of research, evaluate changing infrastructure needs in the research community, and track the amount of international research. As the National Science Foundation is committed to funding cutting-edge science, these factors all have implications for program management.

The Directorate of Biological Sciences has a continuing commitment to monitor its information collection in order to preserve its applicability and necessity. Through periodic updates

and revisions, the Directorate ensures that only useful, non-redundant information is collected. These efforts will reduce excessive reporting burdens.

**Burden on the Public:** The Directorate estimates that an average of five minutes is expended for each proposal submitted. An estimated 6,000 responses are expected during the course of one year for a total of 500 public burden hours annually.

**Expected Respondents:** Individuals.

**Estimated Number of Responses:** 6,000.

**Estimated Number of Respondents:** 6,000.

**Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:** 500 hours.

**Frequency of Responses:** On occasion.

Dated: June 14, 2006.

**Catherine J. Hines,**

*Acting Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.*

[FR Doc. 06-5524 Filed 6-16-06; 8:45am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-M**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500-1]

### In the Matter of America's Sports Voice, Inc. (n/k/a Milagro Holdings, Inc.), Dawcin International Corp., and Trans Continental Entertainment Group, Inc.; Order of Suspension of Trading

June 15, 2006.

It appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of America's Sports Voice, Inc. (n/k/a Milagro Holdings, Inc.) because it has not filed a periodic report since the period ended June 30, 2001.

It also appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Dawcin International Corp. because it has not filed a periodic report since the period ended March 31, 1997.

It also appears to the Securities and Exchange Commission that there is a lack of current and accurate information concerning the securities of Trans Continental Entertainment Group, Inc. because it has not filed a periodic report since the period ended January 31, 2003.

The Commission is of the opinion that the public interest and the protection of investors require a suspension of trading in the securities of the above-listed companies.

Therefore, *it is ordered that*, pursuant to Section 12(k) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934, trading in the above-listed companies is suspended for the period from 9:30 a.m. e.d.t. on June 15, 2006, through 11:59 p.m. e.d.t. on June 28, 2006.

By the Commission.

**Nancy M. Morris,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 06-5531 Filed 6-15-06; 11:24 am]

**BILLING CODE 8010-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-53973; File No. SR-Amex-2006-34]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; American Stock Exchange LLC; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change Relating to Minor Rule Violations and the Bunching of Odd-Lot Orders

June 12, 2006.

On April 12, 2006, the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> a proposed rule change to include violations of its rule governing the bunching of odd-lot orders (Amex Rule 208) in Amex Rule 590, its Minor Rule Violation Plan ("Plan"). The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on May 10, 2006.<sup>3</sup> The Commission received no comments regarding the proposal.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.<sup>4</sup> In particular, the Commission believes that the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>5</sup> because handling violations of Amex Rule 208 pursuant to the Plan would enable prompt resolution of such violations in the interest of protecting investors and the public interest. The Commission also believes that the proposal is consistent with Sections 6(b)(1) and 6(b)(6) of the Act,<sup>6</sup> which require that the rules of an exchange enforce compliance with, and provide

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 53749 (May 2, 2006), 71 FR 27298.

<sup>4</sup> In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission notes that it has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>5</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>6</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(1) and 78f(b)(6).

appropriate discipline for, violations of Commission and Exchange rules. In addition, because existing Amex Rule 590 provides procedural rights to a person fined under the Plan to contest the fine and permits a hearing on the matter, the Commission believes the Plan, as amended by this proposal, provides a fair procedure for the disciplining of members and persons associated with members, consistent with Sections 6(b)(7) and 6(d)(1) of the Act.<sup>7</sup>

Finally, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with the public interest, the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as required by Rule 19d-1(c)(2) under the Act<sup>8</sup> which governs minor rule violation plans. The Commission believes that the change to the Plan will strengthen the Exchange's ability to carry out its oversight and enforcement responsibilities as a self-regulatory organization in cases where full disciplinary proceedings are unsuitable in view of the minor nature of the particular violation.

In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission in no way minimizes the importance of compliance with Amex rules and all other rules subject to the imposition of fines under the Plan. The Commission believes that the violation of any self-regulatory organization's rules, as well as Commission rules, is a serious matter. However, the Plan provides a reasonable means of addressing rule violations that do not rise to the level of requiring formal disciplinary proceedings, while providing greater flexibility in handling certain violations. The Commission expects that Amex will continue to conduct surveillance with due diligence and make determinations based on its findings, on a case-by-case basis, as to whether a fine of more or less than the recommended amount is appropriate for a violation of Amex Rule 208 under the Plan or whether such a violation requires formal disciplinary action.

*It is therefore ordered*, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act<sup>9</sup> and Rule 19d-1(c)(2) under the Act,<sup>10</sup> that the proposed rule change (SR-Amex-2006-34) be, and hereby is, approved and declared effective.

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(7) and 78f(d)(1).

<sup>8</sup> 17 CFR 240.19d-1(c)(2).

<sup>9</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>10</sup> 17 CFR 240.19d-1(c)(2).