

preferred alternative would result in the reduction of overall residential density within the permit area.

All avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures for ABM protection provided in the ITP (as described in Alternative 1) would be maintained under this alternative. In addition, the permittee proposes other modifications to the current amended HCP that would reduce habitat impacts for ABM, as well as additional measures to protect sea turtles. The permittee would evaluate the escarpment prior to construction and retain the greatest amount of escarpment possible in the construction of the condominium building. The number of dune walkovers would be reduced from four to two. One of the two remaining dune walkovers would have to be larger than originally proposed under the Amendment to the ITP, based on more recent communication from the Fort Morgan Volunteer Fire Department requesting that additional beach access be provided for life safety issues. The impacts of the dune walkovers on critical habitat would be reduced from approximately 8000 square feet to approximately 5000 square feet. Lighting restrictions and other measures required by the Service would also be incorporated to address protected species that were not included in the original ITP.

**Authority:** This notice is provided pursuant to section 10 of the Act and National Environmental Policy Act regulations at 40 CFR 1506.6.

Dated: May 24, 2006.

**Cynthia K. Dohner,**

*Acting Regional Director.*

[FR Doc. E6-9170 Filed 6-12-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-55-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Geological Survey

#### Scientific Earthquake Studies Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** U.S. Geological Survey.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Public Law 106-503, the Scientific Earthquake Studies Advisory Committee (SESAC) will hold its thirteenth meeting. The meeting location is the Colorado School of Mines campus at the Green Center in Golden Colorado. The Green Center is located between 15th & 16th on Arapahoe (925 16th Street). The Committee is comprised of members from academia, industry, and State government. The Committee shall advise the Director of the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) on

matters relating to the USGS's participation in the National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program.

The Committee will provide guidance on how to move from hazard assessment into risk-based products developed with partners.

Meetings of the Scientific Earthquake Studies Advisory Committee are open to the public.

**DATES:** July 6, 2006, commencing at 9 a.m. and adjourning at Noon on July 7, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. David Applegate, U.S. Geological Survey, MS 905, 12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, Reston, Virginia 20192. (703) 648-6714. [applegate@usgs.gov](mailto:applegate@usgs.gov).

Dated: June 6, 2006.

**Frances Pierce,**

*Acting Associate Director for Geology.*

[FR Doc. 06-5329 Filed 6-12-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4311-AM-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### 60-Day Notice of Intention To Request Clearance of Collection Information; Opportunity for Public Comment

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C., chapter 3507) and 5 CFR part 1320, Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements, the National Park Service (NPS) invites public comments on an extension of a currently approved information collection (OMB #1024-0064).

**DATES:** Comments on this notice must be received by August 14, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to: Edward O. Kassman, Jr., Regulatory Specialist, Planning, Evaluation & Permits Branch, Geologic Resources Division, National Park Service, P.O. Box 25287, Lakewood, Colorado 80225. E-mail: [Edward\\_Kassman@nps.gov](mailto:Edward_Kassman@nps.gov). To request copies of the regulations contact: Edward O. Kassman, Jr. at the above address. The information collection may be viewed on-line at: [http://www2.nature.nps.gov/geology/mining/9a\\_text.htm](http://www2.nature.nps.gov/geology/mining/9a_text.htm) and [http://www2.nature.nps.gov/geology/oil\\_and\\_gas/9b\\_text.htm](http://www2.nature.nps.gov/geology/oil_and_gas/9b_text.htm).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Edward O. Kassman, Jr., at 303-969-2146.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Title:** NPS/Minerals Management Program/Mining Claims and Non-Federal Oil and Gas Rights.

**OMB Number:** 1024-0064.

**Expiration Date:** August 31, 2006.

**Type of Request:** Revision of a currently approved information collection.

**Description of Need:** The NPS regulates mineral development activities inside park boundaries on mining claims and on non-Federal oil and gas rights under regulations codified at 36 CFR part 9, subpart A ("9A regulations"), and 36 CFR part 9, subpart B ("9B Regulations"), respectively. The NPS promulgated both sets of regulations in the late 1970's. In the case of mining claims, the NPS promulgated the 9A regulations pursuant to congressional authority granted under the Mining in the Parks Act of 1976, 16 U.S.C. 1901 *et seq.*, and individual park enabling statutes. For non-Federal oil and gas rights, the NPS regulates development activities pursuant to authority under the NPS Organic Act of 1916, 16 U.S.C. 1 *et seq.*, and individual enabling statutes. As directed by Congress, the NPS developed the regulations in order to protect park resources and visitor values from the adverse impacts associated with mineral development in park boundaries. NPS specifically requests comments on: (1) The need for information including whether the information has practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the reporting burden hour estimates; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of information collection on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

It is the practice of the NPS to make all comments, including names and addresses of respondents who provide that information, available for public review following the conclusion of the NEPA process. Individuals may request that the NPS withhold their name and/or address from public disclosure. If you wish to do this, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comments. Commentators using the Web site can make such a request by checking the box "keep my information private." NPS will honor such requests to the extent allowable by law, but you should be aware that NPS may still be required to disclose your name and address pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act.

**Description of Respondents:** ¼ medium to large publicly owned companies and ¾ private entities.

*Estimated Annual Reporting Burden:* 4224 hours.

*Estimated Average Burden Hours Per Response:* 176 Hours.

*Estimated Average Number of Respondents:* 24 annually.

*Estimated Frequency of Response:* 24 annually.

Dated: June 8, 2006.

**Leonard E. Stowe,**

*NPS, Information and Collection Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 06-5341 Filed 6-12-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-70-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### Denali National Park and Preserve, Alaska; Final South Denali Implementation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability of the Final South Denali Implementation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

**SUMMARY:** The National Park Service (NPS) announces the availability of the Final South Denali Implementation Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Denali National Park & Preserve. The document describes and analyzes the environmental impacts of a preferred alternative and one action alternative for providing increased access and recreational opportunities in the South Denali region. A no action alternative is also evaluated.

**DATES:** A Record of Decision will be made no sooner than 30 days after the date the Environmental Protection Agency's Notice of Availability for the final EIS appears in the **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** The final plan and EIS may be viewed online at <http://www.southdenaliplanning.com> or <http://parkplanning.nps.gov>. Hard copies or CDs of the Final South Denali Implementation Plan and EIS are available on request from the address below.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mike Tranel, Chief of Planning, Denali National Park and Preserve, 240 West 5th Avenue, Anchorage, Alaska 99501. Telephone: (907) 644-3611.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-190, as amended), the NPS, in cooperation with the State of Alaska and Matanuska-Susitna Borough, has prepared a final EIS that considers three

alternatives for providing increased access and recreational opportunities in the South Denali region. The purpose of the plan and EIS is to address the needs of a growing visitor population in the south Denali region for the next two decades. The south Denali region is defined to include the southern portions of Denali National Park and Preserve, Denali State Park in its entirety, and adjoining lands owned and managed by the State of Alaska and the Matanuska-Susitna Borough. The implementation plan and EIS was initiated to address the rapidly growing level of visitation, resource management concerns, and anticipated demand for future uses of public lands in the south Denali region.

The final plan and EIS includes a range of alternatives based on planning objective's environmental resources, and public input. Each alternative represents a development concept that addresses the needs and concerns of the land managers, local communities, and visitors. The three alternatives evaluated in this EIS include two action alternatives and a no-action alternative.

**Alternative A (No Action):** Under Alternative A, no new actions would be implemented to support the 1997 Record of Decision for the *South Side Denali Development Concept Plan* except for those projects already approved and initiated. This alternative represents no change from current management direction and therefore represents the existing condition in the South Denali region. However, it does not ensure a similar future condition, which could be affected by factors unrelated to this planning effort.

**Alternative B (Peters Hills Alternative):** Under this alternative a new nature center would be constructed on approximately 2.5 acres in the Peters Hills inside the southern boundary of Denali State Park. The total building requirement would be approximately 7,500 square feet. A paved parking area would be constructed near the junction of Petersville Road and the proposed access road (MP 28 of Petersville Road) to accommodate private vehicles. An access road approximately 7 miles in length would be constructed from MP 28 of Petersville Road to the nature center. Upgrading and widening Petersville Road between MP 9.3 and 28 is a connected action that would be necessary to implement this alternative. Approximately 31 miles of trails would be constructed in the vicinity of the new nature center.

**Alternative C (Parks Highway, Preferred Alternative):** Under this alternative a new visitor complex would be constructed on approximately 4.1 acres near Curry Ridge in Denali State

Park. The total building requirement would be approximately 16,000 square feet. A paved parking area would be constructed on the natural bench across from the Denali View South Wayside near Parks Highway MP 134.6. An access road approximately 3.5 miles in length would be constructed from the parking area to the visitor center. Approximately 13 miles of trails would be constructed in the vicinity of the new visitor center.

The Notice of Availability of the Draft South Denali Implementation Plan and EIS was published in the **Federal Register** on September 9, 2005. The 60-day public comment period ended on November 15, 2005. Five public hearings (Anchorage, Wasilla, upper Susitna Valley, Denali Park, and Fairbanks) were held in the fall of 2005. Comments were received from 72 agencies, organizations, and individuals. In response to public comment, the preferred alternative (Alternative C, Parks Highway) was modified to include additional land use controls along the Parks Highway and Petersville Road corridors, mitigation measures for alleviating conflicts between motorized and non-motorized use, and the addition of wildlife monitoring in Denali State Park.

The responsible official for a Record of Decision on the proposed action is the NPS Regional Director in Alaska.

Dated: April 20, 2006.

**Marcia Blaszk,**

*Regional Director, Alaska.*

[FR Doc. 06-5344 Filed 6-12-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-BF-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### Notice of Intent To Prepare a General Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (GMP/EIS)

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-109 section 102(2)(C)), the National Park Service (NPS) is preparing a General Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Fire Island National Seashore, located in the towns of Islip and Brookhaven, Suffolk County, New York. Established by Act of Congress in 1964, much of Fire Island National Seashore is composed of a barrier island encompassing approximately 19,500 acres of both upland and tidal land. Seventeen villages and hamlets are