

larger chloride control project by the Flood Control Act of 1966, approved November 7, 1966, (Pub. L. 89-789), SD 110; as modified by the Flood Control Act approved December 31, 1970, (Pub. L. 91-611); as amended by the Water Resources Development Acts of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-251) and 1976 (Pub. L. 94-587). Section 1107 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 amended the above authorization to separate the overall project into the Arkansas River Basin and the Red River Basin and authorized the Red River Basin for construction subject to a favorable report by a review panel on the performance of Area VIII. The review panel submitted a favorable report to the Public Works Committee of the House and Senate in August 1988 indicating that Area VIII was performing as designed. The portion of the authorized project on the Elm Fork of the North Fork of the Red River in southwestern Oklahoma consists of Area VI. The authorized plan consisted of collection of brines emitted from three box canyons flowing to the Elm Fork of the North Fork of the Red River and transport of these brines via pipeline to a brine storage surface impoundment.

Reasonable alternatives to be considered include various combinations of plans for deep well injection, collection facilities, size and locations of brine storage surface impoundment(s), pipeline sizes and routes, and no action.

Significant issues to be addressed in the SFES include: (1) Hydrological, biological, and water quality issues concerning fish, aquatic invertebrates, algae, aquatic macrophytes, wetland/riparian ecosystem of the Elm Fork of the North Fork and North Fork of the Red River, and Red River above Lake Texoma to the confluence of the North Fork of the Red River; (2) a Lake Texoma component including chloride/turbidity relationships, chloride/fish reproduction issues, chloride/plankton community issues, chloride/nutrient dynamic issues, and associated impacts on lake sport fishes and recreation; (3) a selenium (Se) component addressing Se concentrations and impacts on biota; (4) cumulative effects related to portions of the Red River Chloride Control Project (RRCCP) already constructed and those approved for construction in the Wichita River Basin of Texas; (5) habitat mitigation issues; (6) Section 401 water quality issues; (7) impacts on the commercial bait fishery of the upper Red River; (8) Federally-listed threatened and endangered species; (9) cultural resources; and (10) unquantifiable/undefined impacts.

Scoping meetings for the project are anticipated to be conducted in late summer, 2006. News releases informing the public and local, state, and Federal agencies of the proposed action will be published in local newspapers. Comments received as a result of this notice and the news releases will be used to assist the Tulsa District in identifying potential impacts to the quality of the human or natural environment. Affected Federal, State, or local agencies, affected Indian tribes, and other interested private organizations and parties may participate in the scoping process by forwarding written comments to (see **ADDRESSES**) or attending the scoping meetings.

The draft SFES is expected to be available for public review and comment sometime in 2009. In order to be considered, any comments and suggestions should be forwarded to (see **ADDRESSES**) in accordance with dates specified upon release of the draft SFES.

Dated: May 30, 2006.

Miroslav P. Kurka,

Colonel, U.S. Army, District Engineer.

[FR Doc. 06-5336 Filed 6-12-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-39-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Inland Waterways Users Board

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the forthcoming meeting.

Name of Committee: Inland Waterways Users Board (Board).

Date: July 13, 2006.

Location: JR's Executive Inn, One Executive Blvd., Paducah, Kentucky 42001, (270-443-8000).

Time: Registration will begin at 8:30 a.m. and the meeting is scheduled to adjourn at 1 p.m.

Agenda: The Board will hear briefings on the status of both the funding for inland navigation projects and studies, and the Inland Waterways Trust Fund, and be provided updates of various inland waterways projects.

For Further Information Contact: Mr. Mark R. Poinon, Headquarters, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, CECW-MVD, 441 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20314-1000; Ph: 202-761-4258.

Supplementary Information: The meeting is open to the public. Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements

with the committee at the time and in the manner permitted by the committee.

Brenda S. Bowen,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 06-5337 Filed 6-12-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-92-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before August 14, 2006.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The IC Clearance Official, Regulatory Information Management Services, Office of Management, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this