A copy of the supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance packages are available at the NRC World Wide Web site: http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doccomment/omb/index.html. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer by August 7, 2006: John A. Asalone, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0014, 3150–0001, and 3150–xxxx), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be e-mailed to *John\_A.\_Asalone@omb.eop.gov* or submitted by telephone at (202) 395–4650.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301–415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 1st day of June 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Brenda Jo. Shelton**,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

[FR Doc. E6–8921 Filed 6–7–06; 8:45 am]

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362]

Southern California Edison Company; San Diego Gas and Electric Company; the Cities of Riverside and Anaheim, CA; San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3; Notice of Consideration of Approval of Transfer Facility Operating Licenses and Conforming Amendments and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering the issuance of an order under 10 CFR 50.80 approving the direct transfer of the Facility Operating Licenses, which are numbered NPF-10 and NPF-15, for the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3 (SONGS 2 and 3), currently held by Southern California Edison Company (SCE), San Diego Gas and Electric Company, the City of Riverside, California, and the City of Anaheim, California (Anaheim), as owners; and Southern California Edison Company as licensed operator of SONGS 2 and 3. The request is to transfer Anaheim's 3.16 percent undivided ownership

interest in SONGS 2 and 3 to SCE, excluding Anaheim's interest in its spent fuel and in the SONGS 2 and 3 independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI). The Commission is also considering amending the license for administrative purposes to reflect the proposed transfer.

According to the application for approval filed by SCE, acting on behalf of itself and Anaheim, SCE would acquire Anaheim's 3.16 percent ownership interest in the facility, excluding Anaheim's interest in its spent fuel and in the SONGS 2 and 3 ISFSI located on the SONGS site, following approval of the proposed license transfer. SCE would retain exclusive responsibility for the operation and maintenance of SONGS 2 and 3.

No physical changes to the SONGS 2 and 3 facility or operational changes are being proposed in the application.

The proposed amendments would state that the City of Anaheim has transferred its ownership interests in the facility, and entitlement to generating output, to Southern California Edison Company, except that it retains its ownership interests in its spent nuclear fuel and the facility's ISFSI located on the facility's site. In addition, the proposed amendments would state that the City of Anaheim retains financial responsibility for its spent fuel and for a portion of the facility's decommissioning costs, and it remains a licensee for the purposes of its retained interests and liabilities.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80, no license, or any right thereunder, shall be transferred, directly or indirectly, through transfer of control of the licenses, unless the Commission shall give its consent in writing. The Commission will approve an application for the direct transfer of the licenses, if the Commission determines that the proposed transferee is qualified to hold the licenses, and that the transfer is otherwise consistent with applicable provisions of law, regulations, and orders issued by the Commission pursuant thereto.

Before issuance of the proposed conforming license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

As provided in 10 CFR 2.1315, unless otherwise determined by the Commission with regard to a specific application, the Commission has determined that any amendment to the license of a utilization facility which does no more than conform the license to reflect the transfer action involves no

significant hazards consideration and no genuine issue as to whether the health and safety of the public will be significantly affected. No contrary determination has been made with respect to this specific license amendment application. In light of the generic determination reflected in 10 CFR 2.1315, no public comments with respect to significant hazards considerations are being solicited, notwithstanding the general comment procedures contained in 10 CFR 50.91.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene, and written comments with regard to the license transfer application, are discussed below.

Within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice, any person whose interest may be affected by the Commission's action on the application may request a hearing and, if not the applicant, may petition for leave to intervene in a hearing proceeding on the Commission's action. Requests for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene should be filed in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice set forth in Subpart C "Rules of General Applicability: Hearing Requests, Petitions to Intervene, Availability of Documents, Selection of Specific Hearing Procedures, Presiding Officer Powers, and General Hearing Management for NRC Adjudicatory Hearings," of 10 CFR part 2. In particular, such requests and petitions must comply with the requirements set forth in 10 CFR 2.309. Untimely requests and petitions may be denied, as provided in 10 CFR 2.309(c)(1), unless good cause for failure to file on time is established. In addition, an untimely request or petition should address the factors that the Commission will also consider, in reviewing untimely requests or petitions, set forth in 10 CFR 2.309(c)(1)(i)-(viii).

Requests for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene should be served upon Douglas K. Porter, 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue, Rosemead, CA 91770, telephone number: 626–302–3964; the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001 (e-mail address OGCLT@NRC.gov); and the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff, in accordance with 10 CFR 2.302 and 2.305.

The Commission will issue a notice or order granting or denying a hearing request or intervention petition, designating the issues for any hearing that will be held and designating the Presiding Officer. A notice granting a

hearing will be published in the **Federal Register** and served on the parties to the hearing.

As an alternative to requests for hearing and petitions to intervene, within 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, persons may submit written comments regarding the license transfer application, as provided for in 10 CFR 2.1305. The Commission will consider and, if appropriate, respond to these comments, but such comments will not otherwise constitute part of the decisional record. Comments should be submitted to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff. and should cite the publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application dated March 10, 2006, as supplemented by the electronic mail from the licensee dated May 16, 2006, available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System's (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/ adams.html. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, or 301-415-4737 or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of May 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### N. Kalyanam,

Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch IV, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. E6–8922 Filed 6–7–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–53930; File No. SR-CBOE–2006–56]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Extension of the Pilot Period Applicable to CBOE's Listing and Trading of Options on the iShares MSCI Emerging Markets Index Fund

June 1, 2006.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on May 31, 2006, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("Exchange" or "CBOE") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange filed this proposal as a "non-controversial" proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act 3 and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder,4 which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing with the commission.<sup>5</sup> The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or "Exchange") proposes to extend the pilot period applicable to CBOE's listing and trading of options on the iShares MSCI Emerging Markets Index Fund ("Fund Options"). CBOE is not proposing any textual changes to the rules of CBOE. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site (http://www.cboe.com), the Office of the Secretary, CBOE, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, CBOE included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The CBOE has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

### 1. Purpose

On April 10, 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") approved a CBOE proposal (SR-CBOE-2006-32) to list and trade Fund Options.6 SR-CBOE-2006-32 was approved for a sixty-day pilot period that is due to expire on June 9, 2006 ("Pilot"). The Fund Options will continue to meet substantially all of the listing and maintenance standards in CBOE Rules 5.3.06 and 5.4.08, respectively. For the requirements that are not met, the Exchange continues to represent that sufficient mechanisms exist that would provide the Exchange with adequate surveillance and regulatory information with respect to the Fund. Continuation of the Pilot would permit the Exchange to continue to work with the Bolsa Mexicana de Valores ("Bolsa") to develop a surveillance sharing agreement.7

Accordingly, the Exchange proposes to extend the Pilot for an additional ninety-days, until September 7, 2006.

#### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.<sup>8</sup> Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5) Act <sup>9</sup> requirements that the rules of an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3 15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

<sup>4 17</sup> CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Exchange requested the Commission to waive the five-day pre-filing notice requirement and the 30-day operative delay, as specified in Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii). 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6)(iii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 53621 (April 10, 2006), 71 FR 19568 (April 14, 2006) (SR–CBOE–2006–32).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Telephone conference between Patrick Sexton, Associate General Counsel, Exchange, Bill Speth, Director of Research, Exchange, and Geoffrey Pemble, Special Counsel, Division, Commission, on June 1, 2006.

<sup>8 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>9 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).