# DEPARTMENT OF STATE

# [Public Notice 5403]

# Notice of Intent To Amend the Charter of the Secretary of State's Advisory Committee on Transformational Diplomacy

Summary: Pursuant to section 9(a) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463) and under the general authority of the Secretary and the Department of State, as derived from the President's constitutional authority and as set forth in sections 2656 and 2651a of Title 22 of the United States Code and other relevant statutes, this is a notice of intent to amend the charter established for the Secretary of State's Advisory Committee on Transformational Diplomacy.

The Advisory Committee is to provide private sector expertise related to transformational diplomacy and other institutional challenges facing the Department of State, in particular as they concern the effective structuring, leadership and management of a global diplomacy enterprise. Members of the Advisory Committee may include private sector individuals, including former U.S. Government officials. All meetings of this Committee will be published ahead of time in the **Federal Register**.

Additionally, the Secretary of State's Advisory Committee on Transformational Diplomacy is essential to the conduct of Department of State business, and is in the public interest. Further information regarding this committee may be obtained from Madelyn S. Marchessault, Office of Management Policy, U.S. Department of State, Washington, DC 20520, phone (202) 647–1068.

Dated: May 16, 2006.

### Marguerite Coffey,

Acting Director, Office of Management Policy, Department of State.

[FR Doc. E6–8957 Filed 6–7–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4710–35–P

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### **Federal Highway Administration**

### Environmental Impact Statement; Stark, Mahoning, Columbiana Counties, OH

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT, 200 North High Street, 3rd Floor, Columbus, Ohio, 43215.

ACTION: Notice of Intent.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement will be prepared for a proposed highway project in Stark, Mahoning, and Columbiana Counties, Ohio.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andy Blalock, Senior Transportation Engineer, Federal Highway Administration, 200 N. High Street, Room 328, Columbus, Ohio 43215, Telephone: (614) 280–6823.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the Ohio Department of Transportation, will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for a proposal to improve transportation in the United States Route 62/State Route 14 corridor that begins at the existing SR173/US62 interchange on the west side of the Citv of Alliance (western terminus) in Stark County to State Route 11 (eastern terminus) in Columbiana County. The purpose of the project is to support local economic development activities, address traffic congestion, and fix existing deficiencies in the US62/SR14 transportation corridor. Alternatives under consideration will include, at a minimum: taking no action; building a 4-lane controlled access facility on new alignment; building a 2-lane facility within 4-lane right-of-way on new location; building a 2-lane facility within 2-lane right-of-way on new location; and improving/widening the existing roadway.

The limits of the study are from the SR173/US62 interchange west of Alliance to SR11 between the cities of Salem and Columbiana, a distance of approximately 22 miles. The US62/ SR14 corridor passes through portions of Stark, Mahoning, and Columbiana counties. Through Alliance, the existing roadway consists of a five-lane section between the US62/SR173 interchange and Western Avenue, and a four-lane section from Western Avenue to a point near the eastern corporation limits. At this point, the existing roadway tapers to a two-lane section that continues out of Alliance and Stark County to follow the border between Mahoning and Columbiana counties and continuing toward Salem. The roadway then enters Columbiana County and continues through Salem, mostly as a two-lane roadway with auxiliary turn lanes at major intersections. A short section of the roadway on the eastern side of Salem is three lanes with two lanes running eastbound and one lane westbound.

The proposed improvement of US62/ SR14 would meet the goals of ACCESS OHIO which is to improve the mobility along the macro-corridor in order to encourage economic development. Completion of Ohio's Macro Highway Corridor System was recommended in the 1993 ACCESS OHIO Macro Phase as an important goal for Ohio. Completion for these corridors was also recommended in Governor Taft's 2003 Jobs and Progress Plan and again recommended by ACCESS OHIO 2004– 2030.

The existing US62/SR14 corridor has many deficiencies that contribute to a variety of transportation problems. These problems include poor levels of service at signals in both Alliance and Salem, high number of access points on US62 in both Alliance and Salem, high crash rates at existing intersections, and steep grades and tight curves, particularly between Salem and SR11. These inadequacies lead to safety problems, reduced levels of service, and transportation inefficiencies.

FHWA, ODOT, and other local agencies invite participation in defining the alternatives to be evaluated in the EIS, and any significant social, economic, or environmental issues related to the alternatives. Information describing the purpose of the project, the project area to be studied, the existing and future conditions of the project area, the public involvement plan, and the preliminary project schedule may be obtained from the FHWA at the address provided above.

Coordination with concerned federal, state, and local agencies will be conducted at five established concurrence points in ODOT's Project Development Process. Coordination will be continued throughout the study with Federal, State, and local agencies, and with private organizations and citizens who express or are known to have interest in this proposal. The draft EIS (DEIS) will be available for public and agency review and comment prior to the public hearing. The final EIS (FEIS) will be available for public and agency review and comment prior to the approval of the Record of Decision (ROD).

To ensure that the full range of issues relating to this proposed action are addressed, and all significant issues identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be sent to the FHWA at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program).

# Victoria Peters,

Director, Office of Engineering Operations, Federal Highway Administration, Columbus, Ohio.

[FR Doc. 06–5217 Filed 6–7–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### Federal Highway Administration

### Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on Proposed Highway in California

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of limitation on claims for judicial review of actions by FHWA and other Federal agencies.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces actions taken by the FHWA and other Federal agencies that are final within the meaning of 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). These actions relate to a proposed highway project, State Route 46 Corridor Improvement Project between Kilo Posts 51.8 to 90.6 (Post Miles 32.2 to 56.3) in San Luis Obispo County, State of California. These actions grant approvals for the project.

**DATES:** By this notice, the FHWA is advising the public of final agency actions subject to 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1). A claim seeking judicial review of the Federal agency actions on the highway project will be barred unless the claim is filed on or before December 5, 2006. If the Federal law that authorizes judicial review of a claim provides a time period of less than 180 days for filing such claim, then that shorter time period still applies.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dominic Hoang, Project Development Engineer, Federal Highway Administration, 650 Capitol Mall, #4– 100, Sacramento, CA 95814, weekdays between 7 a.m. and 4 p.m., telephone 916–498–5002,

dominic.hoang@fhwa.dot.gov. John Luchetta, Senior Environmental Planner, California Department of Transportation, 50 Higuera Street, San Luis Obispo, CA 93402, weekdays between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., (805) 549–3493, john\_Luchetta@dot.ca.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that the FHWA and other Federal agencies have taken final agency actions by issuing approvals for the following highway project in the State of California. This project would improve safety and provide congestion relief on State Route 46, San Luis Obispo County, California. This would

be accomplished by creating an additional travel lane in each direction (east and west), separating the east and west bound lanes by a median, improving inside and outside widths, and providing left-turn channelization at all public road intersections within the project limits. Safety would also be improved at the State Route 46/41 junction by replacing the at grade intersection with a new interchange for the connection. The FHWA project reference number is H240 00PE (006). The actions by the Federal agencies, and the laws under which such actions were taken, are described in the Environmental Assessment (EA)/ Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the project, approved on May 19th, 2006, and in other documents in the FHWA administrative record. The EA/FONSI, and other documents in the FHWA administrative record file are available by contacting the FHWA or the California Department of Transportation at the addresses provided above. The FHWA EA/FONSI can be reviewed and downloaded from the project Web site at http://safer46.dot.ca.gov/.

The notice applies to all Federal agency decisions as of the issuance date of this notice and all laws under which such actions were taken, including but not limited to:

1. General: National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321– 4351]; Federal-Aid Highway Act [23 U.S.C. 109].

2. Air: Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401– 7671(q).

3. Land: Landscaping and Scenic Enhancement (Wildflowers), 23 U.S.C. 319.

4. Wildlife: Endangered Species Act [16 U.S.C. 1531–1544 and section 1536], Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act [16 U.S.C. 661–667(d)], Migratory Bird Treaty Act [16 U.S.C. 703–712].

5. Historic and Cultural Resources: Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended [16 U.S.C. 470(f) *et seq.*]; Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1977 [16 U.S.C. 470(aa)–11]; Archeological and Historic Preservation Act [16 U.S.C. 469–469(c)]; Native American Grave Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) [25 U.S.C. 2001–3013].

6. Social and Economic: Civil Rights Act of 1964 [42 U.S.C. 2000(d)– 2000(d)(1)]; American Indian Religious Freedom Act [42 U.S.C. 1996]; Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) [7 U.S.C. 4201–4209]; The Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended.

7. Hazardous Materials: Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liabiilty Act (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. 9601–9675; Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 19086 (SARA); Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), 42 U.S.C. 6901–6992(k).

8. Executive Orders: E.O. 11990 Protection of Wetlands; E.O. 11988 Floodplain Management; E.O. 12898, Fedeal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low Income Populations; E.O. 11593 Protection and Enhancement of Cultural Resources; E.O. 13007 Indian Sacred Sites; E.O. 13287 Preserve America; E.O. 13175 Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments; E.O. 11514 Protection and Enhancement of Environmental Quality; E.O. 13112 Invasive Species.

(Catalog of Fedeal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation of Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

(Authority: 23 U.S.C. 139(l)(1)). Issued on: June 1, 2006.

#### Maiser Khaled,

Director, Project Development & Environment, Sacramento, California. [FR Doc. 06–5202 Filed 6–7–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–22–M

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA 2006-24322; Notice 2]

### Yokohama Tire Corporation, Grant of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

Yokohama Tire Corporation (Yokohama) has determined that certain tires that it produced in 2005 and 2006 do not comply with S4.3.2 of 49 CFR 571.109, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 109, "New pneumatic tires." Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h), Yokohama has petitioned for a determination that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety and has filed an appropriate report pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, "Defect and Noncompliance Reports." Notice of receipt of a petition was published, with a 30-day comment period, on April 7, 2006, in the Federal Register (71 FR 17954). NHTSA received no comments.

Affected are a total of approximately 1,918 Yokohama brand T155/70D17 110M Y870B temporary-use-only tires produced from August 2005 to February