

ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/summary/russia/E6-4738-1.pdf. In our preliminary results, we found that revocation of the antidumping duty Suspension Agreement on uranium from Russia would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping at the weighted-average margin of 115.82 percent for all producers/exporters from Russia.

On April 17, 2006, we received case briefs on behalf of Power Resources, Inc. ("PRI") and Crow Butte Resources, Inc. ("Crow Butte"); USEC Inc. and United States Enrichment Corporation (collectively, "USEC"); the Ad Hoc Utilities Group ("AHUG"); and AO Techsnabexport ("Tenex").⁸ On April 24, 2006, we received rebuttal briefs on behalf of Power Resources and Crow Butte, USEC, and AHUG. On April 26, 2006, USEC requested that the Department reject AHUG's rebuttal brief because it contained new information not permissible under the Department's regulations. On May 24, 2006, the Department notified AHUG that it was returning AHUG's rebuttal brief because it contained information not timely filed under the regulations and offered AHUG the opportunity to redact the new information and to re-submit the brief to the Department within two days. On May 26, 2006, AHUG re-submitted its rebuttal brief; however it failed to redact all references to the new information that appeared in its May 24, 2006 rebuttal brief. We requested again that AHUG re-submit its rebuttal brief without the references to the new information, by the close-of-business on May 30, 2006. On, May 30, 2006, AHUG filed its rebuttal brief and redacted all new information. Additionally, on May 26, 2006, AHUG submitted a letter to the Department which also contained new and untimely filed information. On May 30, 2006, the Department notified AHUG that it was returning this additional May 26, 2006 letter because it contained information not timely filed under the Department's regulations. No interested party requested a hearing in this sunset review.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised by parties to this sunset review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Sunset Review of the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Investigation on Uranium from the Russian Federation; Final Results" from Joseph A. Spetrini, Deputy Assistant

Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration (May 30, 2006) ("Final Results Decision Memorandum"), which is adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Final Results Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping, scope of the subject merchandise, and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the Suspension Agreement to be terminated. Parties may find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099, of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Final Results Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Final Results Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

We determine that termination of the Suspension Agreement on uranium from Russia would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margin:

Exporter/manufacturer	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Russia-Wide	115.82

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This sunset review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 30, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-8758 Filed 6-5-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-580-818]

Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Korea: Final Results of Expedited Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** the notice of initiation of the second five-year sunset review of the countervailing duty order on certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products (CORE) from the Republic of Korea ("Korea"), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 65884 (November 1, 2005) ("Second Sunset Review"). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of domestic interested parties, and an inadequate response from respondent interested parties (in this case, no response), the Department has conducted an expedited sunset review of this order pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(B). As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the countervailing duty order is likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the level indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 6, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephanie Moore or Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3692 or (202) 482-5439, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The countervailing duty order which covers CORE from Korea, was published in the **Federal Register** on August 17, 1993. See *Countervailing Duty Orders and Amendments to Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determinations: Certain Steel Products from Korea*, 58 FR 43752 (August 17, 1993). On November 1, 2005, the Department initiated the second sunset review of the

⁸ We note that Tenex did not file either a waiver of intent to participate in this sunset review pursuant to Section 351.218(d)(2) of the Department's sunset regulations or a complete substantive response to the notice of initiation pursuant to Section 351.218(d)(3).

countervailing duty order on CORE from Korea, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See *Second Sunset Review*. The Department received notices of intent to participate from Nucor Corporation (“Nucor”), Mittal Steel USA ISG Inc. (“Mittal Steel USA”) and Ispat-Inland (“Ispat”); United States Steel Corporation (“U.S. Steel”); (collectively, “domestic interested parties”); and on behalf of United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, AFL–CIO–CLC (“USW”), within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). Domestic interested parties and the USW claimed interested party status under sections 771(9)(C) and (D) of the Act, as U.S. producers and a certified union engaged in the manufacture, production, or wholesale of CORE in the United States.

On December 1, 2005, the Department received a substantive response from domestic interested parties within the deadline specified in section 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department did not receive any responses from any respondent interested party to this proceeding. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department notified the International Trade Commission (“ITC”) that respondent interested parties provided an inadequate response to the Notice of Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review.¹ The Department, therefore, is conducting an expedited sunset review of the countervailing duty order, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(B) and 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2).

In accordance with section 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Act, the Department may treat a review as extraordinarily complicated if it is a review of a transition order (*i.e.*, an order in effect on January 1, 1995, the effective date of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act), as is the case in this proceeding. As such, the Department determined that the sunset review of the countervailing duty order on CORE from Korea is extraordinarily complicated and required additional time for the completion of the final results of review. In accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act, the Department extended the time limit for completion of the final results of CORE from Korea until no

later than May 30, 2006. See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Australia, Canada, France, Germany, Japan, and South Korea: Extension of Time Limits for Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews*, 71 FR 10006 (February 28, 2006).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order includes flat-rolled carbon steel products, of rectangular shape, either clad, plated, or coated with corrosion-resistant metals such as zinc, aluminum, or zinc-, aluminum-, nickel- or iron-based alloys, whether or not corrugated or painted, varnished or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances in addition to the metallic coating, in coils (whether or not in successively superimposed layers) and of a width of 0.5 inch or greater, or in straight lengths which, if of a thickness less than 4.75 millimeters, are of a width of 0.5 inch or greater and which measures at least 10 times the thickness or if of a thickness of 4.75 millimeters or more are of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness, as currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers 7210.31.0000, 7210.39.0000, 7210.41.0000, 7210.49.0030, 7210.49.0090, 7210.60.0000, 7210.70.6030, 7210.70.6060, 7210.70.6090, 7210.90.1000, 7210.90.6000, 7210.90.9000, 7212.21.0000, 7212.29.0000, 7212.30.1030, 7212.30.1090, 7212.30.3000, 7212.30.5000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7212.50.0000, 7212.60.0000, 7215.90.1000, 7215.90.5000, 7217.12.1000, 7217.13.1000, 7217.19.1000, 7217.19.5000, 7217.22.5000, 7217.23.5000, 7217.29.1000, 7217.29.5000, 7217.32.5000, 7217.33.5000, 7217.39.1000, and 7217.39.5000.

Included in this order are flat-rolled products of non-rectangular cross-section where such cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling process (*i.e.*, products which have been “worked after rolling”)—for example, products which have been beveled or rounded at the edges. Excluded from this order are flat-rolled steel products either plated or coated with tin, lead, chromium, chromium oxides, both tin and lead (“terne plate”), or both chromium and chromium oxides (“tin-free steel”), whether or not painted,

varnished or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances in addition to the metallic coating. Excluded from this order are clad products in straight lengths of 0.1875 inch or more in composite thickness and of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness. Also excluded from this order are certain clad stainless flat-rolled products, which are three-layered corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat-rolled products less than 4.75 millimeters in composite thickness that consist of a carbon steel flat-rolled product clad on both sides with stainless steel in a 20%-60%-20% ratio. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise covered by this order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in substantive responses by parties in this sunset review are addressed in the *Issues and Decision Memorandum for Final Results of Expedited Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Korea (“Decision Memo”)*, from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated May 30, 2006, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the *Decision Memo* include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy and the net countervailable subsidy rate likely to prevail if the order were revoked.

Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review and the corresponding recommendation in this public memorandum which is on file in B–099, the Central Records Unit, of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the *Decision Memo* can be accessed directly on the Department’s Web page at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the *Decision Memo* are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

The Department determines that revocation of the countervailing duty order on CORE from Korea is likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the following countervailing duty rate:

¹ See December 21, 2005 letter to ITC, Robert Carpenter, Director of Investigations, from Barbara E. Tillman, Director, Office 6, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration.

Manufacturer/exporter	Net subsidy margin (percent)
All Producers/Exporters from Korea ²	1.15

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 351.303 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: May 30, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-8754 Filed 6-5-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-201-810]

Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Mexico: Final Results of Expedited Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On November 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty ("CVD") order on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Mexico pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 65884 (November 1, 2005). On the basis of notices of intent to participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties, and an inadequate response from respondent interested parties (in this case, no response), the Department is

conducting an expedited sunset review pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(B). As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the CVD order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 6, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Copyak or Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-2209 or 202-482-0182, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 1, 2005, the Department initiated a sunset review of the CVD order on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Mexico pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 65884. In November 2005, the Department received notices of intent to participate on behalf of Nucor Corporation ("Nucor"); IPSCO Steel Inc. ("IPSCO"); Oregon Steel Mills ("Oregon Steel"); Mittal Steel USA ISG Inc. ("Mittal Steel USA"); and United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, AFL-CIO-CLC ("USW") (collectively, "domestic interested parties"). The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under sections 771(9)(C) and (D) of the Act, as domestic producers of a like product, or a union engaged in the production of subject merchandise in the United States. The Department received a complete substantive response from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We did not receive a substantive response or a rebuttal response from any foreign respondents. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department is conducting an expedited sunset review of this CVD order.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain cut-to-length carbon steel plates. These products include hot-rolled carbon steel universal mill plates (i.e., flat-rolled products rolled on four faces or in a closed box pass, of a width exceeding 150 millimeters but not

exceeding 1,250 millimeters and of a thickness of not less than 4 millimeters, not in coils and without patterns in relief), of rectangular shape, neither clad, plated nor coated with metal, whether or not painted, varnished, or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances; and certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat-rolled products in straight lengths, of rectangular shape, hot rolled, neither clad, plated, nor coated with metal, whether or not painted, varnished, or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances, 4.75 millimeters or more in thickness and of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness, as currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") under item numbers 7208.31.0000, 7208.32.0000, 7208.33.1000, 7208.33.5000, 7208.41.0000, 7208.42.0000, 7208.43.0000, 7208.90.0000, 7210.70.3000, 7210.90.9000, 7211.11.0000, 7211.12.0000, 7211.21.0000, 7211.22.0045, 7211.90.0000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, and 7212.50.0000. Included in this administrative review are flat-rolled products of non-rectangular cross-section where such cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling process (i.e., products which have been "worked after rolling")—for example, products which have been beveled or rounded at the edges. Excluded from this administrative review is grade X-70 plate. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise covered by this order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the *"Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Mexico; Final Results"* ("Decision Memorandum") from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated May 30, 2006, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the *Decision Memorandum* include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy and the net countervailable subsidy rate likely to prevail if the order were revoked.

Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendation in

² Union Steel was excluded from the order on the basis of a *de minimis* net subsidy rate. See *Certain Cold-Rolled and Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Korea: Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determinations in Accordance with Decision Upon Remand*, 66 FR 16656 (March 27, 2001).