

administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondents, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 24, 2006.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E6-8314 Filed 5-30-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

---

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Posting in Final Form of Three Documents Created by Subcommittees of the Interagency ADR Working Group ("IADRWG") Steering Committee ("Steering Committee"), a Group Of Federal Subject Matter Experts

**SUMMARY:** The first document, "Protecting the Confidentiality of Dispute Resolution Proceedings: A Guide for Federal Workplace ADR Program Administrators" ("Confidentiality Guide"), provides practical guidance to program administrators on the application of the confidentiality provisions of the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996, 5 U.S.C. 574, to Federal workplace dispute resolution programs. The second document is the "Guide for Federal Employee Mediators" (a supplementation and annotation of the 2005 Model Standards of Conduct for Mediators issued by the American Arbitration Association, American Bar Association, and the Association for Conflict Resolution), which is for use by federal employee mediators. The third document is the "Guide for Federal Employee Ombuds" (a supplementation and annotation of the Standards for the Establishment and Operations of Ombuds Offices issued on February 9, 2004 by the American Bar Association), prepared by the Steering Committee in conjunction with the Coalition for Federal Ombudsmen, for use by federal employee ombuds. Complete copies of each of the three final documents can be found at the IADRWG Web site, <http://www.adr.gov> (click on "Guidance"), or may be requested in hard copy from Hon. Richard C. Walters, Administrative Judge, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Board of Contract Appeals (09), 810 Vermont

Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420, telephone 202-273-6747.

In a Notice in the 70 FR 67901, Nov. 9, 2005, the Steering Committee invited interested individuals or organizations to submit comments, within 30 days, on the documents for consideration before they were posted in final form. Complete copies of the three draft guides to which the comments were addressed, as well as a summary of the comments received and disposition thereof for each guide, are posted at <http://www.adr.gov> (click on "Library/Archives").

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Authority:** The Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996, 5 U.S.C. 571-584, requires each Federal agency to promote the use of ADR and calls for the establishment of an interagency committee to assist agencies in the use of ADR. Under this Act, a Presidential Memorandum dated May 1, 1998 created the Interagency ADR Working Group, chaired by the Attorney General, to "facilitate, encourage, and provide coordination" for Federal agencies. In the Memorandum, the President charged the Working Group with assisting agencies with training in "how to use alternative means of dispute resolution". The three documents are designed to serve this goal.

**Executive Overview of the Confidentiality Guide:** This document provides practical guidance to Federal administrators on the application of the confidentiality provisions of the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996 to Federal workplace dispute resolution programs. It extends the guidance issued by the Federal ADR Council, Report on the Reasonable Expectations of Confidentiality Under the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act of 1996, 5 FR 83085, Dec. 29, 2000 ("the 2000 ADR Guidance"), which also may be found at <http://www.adr.gov> (click on "Guidance"), and is designed to be used in concert with the confidentiality provisions of the ADR Act as well as agency confidentiality policies and guidance. The document describes in practical, non-legal terms the nature and limits of confidentiality in Federal ADR proceedings, and provides suggestions to program administrators on how to ensure appropriate confidentiality is maintained when ADR is used in workplace programs. The topics addressed by the Guide include confidentiality during the various stages of an alternative dispute resolution proceeding, confidentiality agreements, record-keeping, program evaluation,

access requests, and non-party participants.

**Executive Overview of the Guide for Federal Employee Mediators:** This document builds upon the 2005 Model Standards of Conduct for Mediators ("Model Standards") issued by a joint committee of three major nationwide dispute resolution organizations (American Arbitration Association, American Bar Association, and Association for Conflict Resolution). The Guide sets forth the Model Standards in their entirety and provides further explication through Federal Guidance Notes for Federal employee mediators for mediations they undertake for the Federal government. The Federal Guidance Notes include discussion of impartiality, conflicts of interest, confidentiality, and advertising and solicitation.

**Executive Overview of the Guide for Federal Employee Ombuds:** This document builds upon the February 9, 2004 Standards for the Establishment and Operations of Ombuds Offices ("Ombuds Standards") issued by the American Bar Association. The Guide sets forth the Ombuds Standards in their entirety and provides supplementation through Federal Guidance Notes for specific areas unique to federal Ombuds practice. The Federal Guidance Notes include discussion of limitations on ombuds' authority, confidentiality, reporting, and record-keeping.

**Aloma A. Shaw,**

*Staff Assistant, Office of Dispute Resolution, U.S. Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. E6-8382 Filed 5-30-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-EC-P

---

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 60-day notice of information collection under review: Employee Possessor Questionnaire.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for

"sixty days" until July 31, 2006. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Christopher R. Reeves, Chief, Federal Explosives Licensing Center, 244 Needy Road, Martinsburg, WV 25401.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Employee Possessor Questionnaire.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Form Number:* ATF F 5400.28. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary:* Individuals or households. *Other:* Business or other for-profit. Each employee possessor in the explosives business or operations required to ship, transport, receive, or possess (actual or constructive), explosive materials must submit this form. The form will be submitted to ATF to determine whether the person who provided the information is

qualified to be an employee possessor in an explosive business.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* It is estimated that 10,000 respondents will complete a 20 minute form.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 3,334 annual total burden hours associated with this collection.

*If additional information is required contact:* Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, Policy and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, Department of Justice, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: May 24, 2006.

**Lynn Bryant,**

*Department Deputy Clearance Officer,  
Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. E6-8358 Filed 5-30-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-FY-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

##### Antitrust Division

##### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Die Products Consortium

Notice is hereby given that, on May 2, 2006, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Die Products Consortium ("DPC") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney general and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, AMI Semiconductor, Oudenaarde, Belgium; Avago Technologies, Singapore, Singapore; and ST Microelectronics, Amsterdam, The Netherlands have been added as parties to this venture. Also, Analog Devices, Inc., Limerick, Ireland; and Chip Supply, Inc., Orlando, FL have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and DPC intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 15, 1999, DPC filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of

Justice published a notice in the (**Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 26, 2000 (65 FR 39429).

The last notification was filed with the Department on May 26, 2005. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 20, 2005 (70 FR 35454).

**Dorothy B. Fountain,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 06-4945 Filed 5-30-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-11-M**

#### DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

##### Antitrust Division

##### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—National Center for Manufacturing Sciences, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on May 3, 2006, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), National Center for Manufacturing Sciences, Inc. ("NCMS") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, ASME Standards Technology, LLC, New York, NY; Digital Wave Corporation Centennial, CO; Dow Chemical Company, Midland, MI; EADS North America Defense Test & Services, Inc., Irving, CA; Lincoln Composites, Inc., Lincoln, NE; NextEnergy Center, Detroit, MI; and TransCanada CNG Technologies Ltd., Calgary, Alberta, Canada have been added as parties to this venture. Also, Adept Technology, San Jose, CA; Alfalight, Inc., Madison, WI; H&R Technologies, Lowell, MA; Len Industries, Inc., Leslie, MI; Liquid Impact, LLC, Greenville, MI; PCC Structural Inc., Portland, OR; Robotic Vision Systems, Inc., Huntsville, PA; and Technology Answers, Inc., San Jose, CA have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and NCMS intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.