Lighting, Willoughby Quartz Plant, Willoughby, Ohio.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an earlier petition filed on April 28, 2006 (TA–W–59,292) that is the subject of an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued. Further investigation in this case would duplicate efforts and serve no purpose; therefore the investigation under this petition has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 12th day of May 2006.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6–8063 Filed 5–24–06; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4510–30–P**

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,078]

Hexion Specialty Chemicals, Inc. FFP Division Including On-Site Leased Workers of Express Personnel, High Point, NC; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of May 11, 2006, a worker requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to workers of the subject firm. The determination was issued on May 2, 2006. The Department's Notice of determination will soon be published in the **Federal Register**. Workers produce specialty wood adhesives and ancillary products.

The negative determination was based on the Department's findings that the subject firm did not increase imports of wood adhesives and ancillary products or shift production of wood adhesives and ancillary products abroad, and that the subject firm's major declining customers did not increase imports of specialty wood adhesives and ancillary products during the relevant period.

The worker alleges that the subject firm supplied wood adhesive to customers affected by increased imports of wood furniture.

Following the issuance of the negative determination, the Department received information which indicates that it is possible that information provided to the Department regarding subject firm sales and production may be inaccurate and/or incomplete.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and all available information, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation based on new information provided by the worker and the company official.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of May 2006.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6-8056 Filed 5-24-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,774]

Innovex, Inc.; Litchfield, MN; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Innovex, Inc., Litchfield, Minnesota. The application did not contain new information supporting a conclusion that the determination was erroneous, and also did not provide a justification for reconsideration of the determination that was based on either mistaken facts or a misinterpretation of facts or of the law. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA–W–58,774; Innovex, Inc., Litchfield, Minnesota (May 17, 2006)

Signed at Washington, DC this 18th day of May 2006.

Erica R. Cantor,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6–8054 Filed 5–24–06; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,119]

Nanston, Inc. Dental Lab, Norcross, GA; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter dated May 3, 2006 a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination signed on April 21, 2006 was based on the finding that imports of dentures, crowns and orthodontics work did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the subject plant and no shift of production to a foreign source occurred. The denial notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 10, 2006 [71 FR 27291].

To support the request for reconsideration, the petitioner supplied additional information regarding the outsourcing of production of dentures, crowns and orthodontics by the subject firm and imports of like or directly competitive products with those produced at the subject firm.

A further contact with the company official revealed that the subject firm ceased production of dentures, crowns and orthodontics in order to outsource it to a domestic company which manufactures dentures, crowns and orthodontics abroad.

A survey of the outsourced company revealed that a high portion of the products supplied to the subject firm are manufactured abroad and those imports of dentures, crowns and orthodontics have increased significantly during the relevant time period. The investigation revealed that outsourcing of the production abroad and increased imports of dentures, crowns and orthodontics contributed importantly to the declines in production and employment at the subject firm.

In accordance with section 246 the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents the results of its investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) for older workers.

In order for the Department to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA, the group eligibility requirements of section 246 of the Trade Act must be met. The Department has