(g) If Lycoming Engines manufactured new, rebuilt, overhauled, or repaired your engine, or replaced the crankshaft in your engine before March 1, 1997, and you haven't had the crankshaft replaced, no further action is required.

(h) If Table 1, Table 2, Table 3, or Table 4 of Lycoming MSB No. 569A, dated April 11, 2006, lists your engine serial number (SN), and Table 5 of MSB No. 569A, dated April 11, 2006, does not list your crankshaft SN, no further action is required.

#### **Engines Not Exempted From the AD**

(i) If Table 1, Table 2, Table 3, or Table 4 of Lycoming MSB No. 569A, dated April 11, 2006, lists your engine SN, and Table 5 of MSB No. 569A, dated April 11, 2006, lists your crankshaft SN, replace the affected crankshaft with a crankshaft that is not listed in Table 5 of MSB No. 569A at either of the following:

(1) The next engine overhaul as specified in Lycoming Engines Service Instruction No. 1009AR, dated June 22, 2004; or

(2) The next separation of the crankcase, whichever is earlier.

(j) If Table 1, Table 2, Table 3, or Table 4 of Lycoming MSB No. 569A, dated April 11, 2006, does not list your engine SN, and Table 5 of MSB No. 569A does list your crankshaft SN (an affected crankshaft was installed as a replacement), replace the affected crankshaft with a crankshaft that is not listed in Table 5 of MSB No. 569A at either of the following:

(1) The next engine overhaul as specified in Lycoming Engines Service Instruction No. 1009AR, dated June 22, 2004; or

(2) The next separation of the crankcase, whichever is earlier.

#### Prohibition Against Installing Certain Crankshafts

(k) After the effective date of this AD, do not install any crankshaft that has a SN listed in Table 5 of Lycoming MSB No. 569A, dated April 11, 2006, into any engine.

#### **Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(l) The Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office, has the authority to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

#### **Related Information**

(m) None.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on May 19, 2006.

#### Robert J. Ganley,

Acting Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 06–4850 Filed 5–24–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Aviation Administration** 

### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2006-24864; Directorate Identifier 2006-NM-072-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model DC-10-10, DC-10-10F, DC-10-30, DC-10-30F (KDC-10), DC-10-40, and DC-10-40F Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain McDonnell Douglas airplanes, identified above. This proposed AD would require reducing the length of the sump drain collar and replacing the fuel tank sump drain lockring for fuel tanks 1, 2, and 3; and reducing the length of the drain outlet barrel for the auxiliary fuel tank, if applicable. For airplanes with an auxiliary fuel tank, this proposed AD also would require relocating the sump drain outlet to allow draining the sumps without opening the doors of the main landing gear wheel well. This proposed AD results from fuel system reviews conducted by the manufacturer. We are proposing this AD to reduce the potential of ignition sources inside fuel tanks in the event of a lightning strike, which, in combination with flammable fuel vapors, could result in arcing in the fuel tank, fuel tank explosions, and consequent loss of the airplane.

**DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by July 10, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Use one of the following addresses to submit comments on this proposed AD.

• DOT Docket Web site: Go to *http://dms.dot.gov* and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

• Government-wide rulemaking Web site: Go to *http://www.regulations.gov* and follow the instructions for sending your comments electronically.

 Mail: Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400
Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, room PL–401, Washington, DC 20590.
Fax: (202) 493–2251.

• Hand Delivery: Room PL-401 on the plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Data and Service Management, Dept. C1–L5A (D800–0024), for the service information identified in this proposed AD.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Samuel Lee, Aerospace Engineer, Propulsion Branch, ANM–140L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712–4137; telephone (562) 627–5262; fax (562) 627–5210.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Comments Invited**

We invite you to submit any relevant written data, views, or arguments regarding this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed in the **ADDRESSES** section. Include the docket number "FAA–2006–24864; Directorate Identifier 2006–NM–072–AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend the proposed AD in light of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to *http://* dms.dot.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact with FAA personnel concerning this proposed AD. Using the search function of that Web site, anyone can find and read the comments in any of our dockets, including the name of the individual who sent the comment (or signed the comment on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78), or you may visit *http://* dms.dot.gov.

## **Examining the Docket**

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at *http://dms.dot.gov*, or in person at the Docket Management Facility office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Management Facility office (telephone (800) 647–5227) is located on the plaza level of the Nassif Building at the DOT street address stated in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after the Docket Management System receives them.

## Discussion

The FAA has examined the underlying safety issues involved in fuel tank explosions on several large transport airplanes, including the adequacy of existing regulations, the service history of airplanes subject to those regulations, and existing maintenance practices for fuel tank systems. As a result of those findings, we issued a regulation titled "Transport Airplane Fuel Tank System Design Review, Flammability Reduction and Maintenance and Inspection Requirements" (67 FR 23086, May 7, 2001). In addition to new airworthiness standards for transport airplanes and new maintenance requirements, this rule included Special Federal Aviation Regulation No. 88 ("SFAR 88," Amendment 21–78, and subsequent Amendments 21-82 and 21-83).

Among other actions, SFAR 88 requires certain type design (i.e., type certificate (TC) and supplemental type certificate (STC)) holders to substantiate that their fuel tank systems can prevent ignition sources in the fuel tanks. This requirement applies to type design holders for large turbine-powered transport airplanes and for subsequent modifications to those airplanes. It requires them to perform design reviews and to develop design changes and maintenance procedures if their designs do not meet the new fuel tank safety standards. As explained in the preamble to the rule, we intended to adopt airworthiness directives to mandate any changes found necessary to address unsafe conditions identified as a result of these reviews.

In evaluating these design reviews, we have established four criteria intended to define the unsafe conditions associated with fuel tank systems that require corrective actions. The percentage of operating time during which fuel tanks are exposed to flammable conditions is one of these criteria. The other three criteria address the failure types under evaluation: Single failures, single failures in combination with a latent condition(s), and in-service failure experience. For all four criteria, the evaluations included consideration of previous actions taken that may mitigate the need for further action.

We have determined that the actions identified in this AD are necessary to reduce the potential of ignition sources inside fuel tanks, which, in combination with flammable fuel vapors, could result in fuel tank explosions and consequent loss of the airplane.

Review of the lightning protection for the valve installation for the sump drain of the fuel tanks showed that the drain valves must be insulated. If the fuel level is below the drain valve body, and there is a lightning strike, electrical current could travel from the airplane skin up the sump drain collar into the valve housing. This condition, in combination with a lightning strike and flammable fuel vapors, could result in arcing in the fuel tank, fuel tank explosions, and consequent loss of the airplane.

## **Relevant Service Information**

We have reviewed McDonnell Douglas DC–10 Service Bulletin 28–61, dated January 17, 1978. The service bulletin describes procedures for reducing the length of the sump drain collar and replacing the fuel tank sump drain lockring for fuel tanks 1, 2, and 3 with an improved lockring; and reducing the length of the drain outlet barrel for the auxiliary fuel tank, if applicable.

McDonnell Douglas DC–10 Service Bulletin 28–61 specifies that for certain airplanes, before or concurrently with the modification of the sump drain outlets described above, the sump drain outlet for the auxiliary tank must be relocated to allow draining the sumps without opening the doors of the main landing gear wheel well. The procedures for doing this action are described in McDonnell Douglas DC–10 Bulletin 28–19, Revision 1, dated October 15, 1973. This action applies only to those airplanes identified as Group II in McDonnell Douglas DC–10 Service Bulletin 28–61, that are also contained in the effectivity of McDonnell Douglas DC–10 Bulletin 28– 19, Revision 1.

Accomplishing the actions specified in the service information is intended to adequately address the unsafe condition.

## FAA's Determination and Requirements of the Proposed AD

We have evaluated all pertinent information and identified an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of this same type design. For this reason, we are proposing this AD, which would require accomplishing the actions specified in the service information described previously, except as discussed under "Difference Between the Proposed AD and Service Bulletin 28–61."

# Difference Between the Proposed AD and Service Bulletin 28–61

McDonnell Douglas DC-10 Service Bulletin 28-61 recommends doing the modification at the operator's convenience, which would not ensure an adequate level of safety for the affected fleet. In developing an appropriate compliance time for this AD, we considered the manufacturer's recommendation, the degree of urgency associated with the subject unsafe condition, and the average utilization of the affected fleet. In light of all of these factors, we find that a compliance time of 60 months after the effective date of this AD represents an appropriate interval of time for affected airplanes to continue to operate without compromising safety. This difference has been coordinated with Boeing, and Boeing concurred.

## **Costs of Compliance**

There are about 135 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The following table provides the estimated costs for U.S. operators to comply with this proposed AD. The labor rate is \$80 per work hour.

## ESTIMATED COSTS

Action	Work hours	Parts	Cost per airplane	Number of U.S registered airplanes	Fleet cost
For all airplanes: Reduce the length of the sump drain collar and replace the fuel tank sump drain for fuel tanks 1, 2, and 3.		\$720 to \$4,858	\$960 to \$6,058	109	\$104,640 to \$660,322.
For airplanes with an auxiliary fuel tank: Re- duce the length of the drain outlet barrel for the auxiliary fuel tank.		\$0 to \$720	\$480 to \$1,920	Up to 109	\$52,320 to \$209,280.

## ESTIMATED COSTS—Continued

Action	Work hours	Parts	Cost per airplane	Number of U.S registered airplanes	Fleet cost
Prior requirement for certain airplanes	1 to 6	The manufacturer states that it will supply required parts to the opera- tors at no cost.	\$80 to \$480	Up to 109	\$8,720 to \$52,320.

## Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, "General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

## **Regulatory Findings**

We have determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that the proposed regulation:

1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;

2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and

3. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this proposed AD and placed it in the AD docket. See the **ADDRESSES** section for a location to examine the regulatory evaluation.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

## **The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

## PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

### §39.13 [Amended]

2. The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

McDonnell Douglas: Docket No. FAA–2006– 24864; Directorate Identifier 2006–NM– 072–AD.

## **Comments Due Date**

(a) The FAA must receive comments on this AD action by July 10, 2006.

## Affected ADs

(b) None.

#### Applicability

(c) This AD applies to McDonnell Douglas Model DC-10-10, DC-10-10F, DC-10-30, DC-10-30F (KDC-10), DC-10-40, and DC-10-40F airplanes, certificated in any category; as identified in McDonnell Douglas DC-10 Service Bulletin 28-61, dated January 17, 1978.

#### **Unsafe Condition**

(d) This AD results from fuel system reviews conducted by the manufacturer. We are issuing this AD to reduce the potential of ignition sources inside fuel tanks in the event of a lightning strike, which, in combination with flammable fuel vapors, could result in arcing in the fuel tank, fuel tank explosions, and consequent loss of the airplane.

## Compliance

(e) You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

#### **Corrective Actions**

(f) Within 60 months after the effective date of this AD: Reduce the length of the

sump drain collar and replace the fuel tank sump drain lockring for fuel tanks 1, 2, and 3; and reduce the length of the drain outlet barrel for the auxiliary fuel tank, as applicable; by doing all the applicable actions in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of McDonnell Douglas DC–10 Service Bulletin 28–61, dated January 17, 1978.

#### **Prior Requirement**

(g) For airplanes identified as Group II airplanes in McDonnell Douglas DC-10 Service Bulletin 28-61, dated January 17, 1978, that are also contained in the effectivity of McDonnell Douglas DC-10 Bulletin 28-19, Revision 1, dated October 15, 1973: Before the actions in paragraph (f) of this AD, relocate the sump drain outlet for the auxiliary tank in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of McDonnell Douglas DC-10 Bulletin 28-19, Revision 1, dated October 15, 1973.

## Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(h)(1) The Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested in accordance with the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19.

(2) Before using any AMOC approved in accordance with § 39.19 on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify the appropriate principal inspector in the FAA Flight Standards Certificate Holding District Office.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on May 17, 2006.

#### Kevin M. Mullin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. E6–8010 Filed 5–24–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P