

United States and it uses all of tantalum products in production abroad.

### Conclusion

After reconsideration on remand, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Cabot Corporation, Supermetals Division, Boyertown, Pennsylvania.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of April, 2006.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-6815 Filed 5-4-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,637]

#### **Carolina Mills, Inc., Plant No. 9, Valdese, NC; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration**

By application of March 28, 2006, the subject company requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to workers of the subject firm. The Department's determination was signed on February 24, 2006, and the Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on March 22, 2006 (71 FR 14550).

The subject company filed for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) as a secondarily affected company, alleging loss of dying and finishing business from customers who are import-impacted.

The negative determination was based on the findings that the subject company did not shift commission dying and finishing of fabric to a foreign country or import fabric that has been dyed and finished, and that the subject company's customers did not increase imports of commission dyed and finished fabric during the relevant period. The Department determined that because apparel is not considered like or directly competitive with fabric, increased imports of apparel cannot be a basis for TAA certification for the subject worker group.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and has determined that the Department

will conduct an investigation to determine whether the subject workers supplied components to a company adversely impacted by imports and whether the workers are eligible to apply for TAA and ATAA.

### Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of April 2006.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-6821 Filed 5-4-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,150]

#### **Demetron Kerr, Danbury, CT; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 4, 2006 in response to a worker petition filed by a single worker on behalf of workers at Demetron Kerr, Danbury, Connecticut.

The petition regarding the investigation has been deemed invalid. Valid petitions must be filed by three or more workers, by a duly authorized representative of such workers, by employers of such workers, by one-stop operators, or by one-stop partners. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 17th day of April 2006.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-6848 Filed 5-4-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,159]

#### **Eagle Picher, Hillsdale, MI; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 5,

2006 in response to a worker petition filed by a state agency representative on behalf of workers at Eagle Picher, Hillsdale, Michigan.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 14th day of April 2006.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-6855 Filed 5-4-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-57,582 and TA-W-57,582B]

#### **EPEC, LLC, New Bedford, MA; EPEC, LLC, Bethel, CT; Amended Notice of Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and a Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on August 16, 2005, applicable to workers of EPEC, LLC, New Bedford, Massachusetts. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on September 8, 2005 (70 FR 53390).

At the request of a company official and the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. New information shows that worker separations have occurred involving employees of the New Bedford, Massachusetts facility of EPEC, LLC located in Bethel, Connecticut. The Bethel Connecticut workers provide support function services for the production of printed circuit boards at the New Bedford, Massachusetts location of the subject firm.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include employees of the New Bedford, Massachusetts facility of EPEC, LLC located in Bethel, Connecticut.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of EPEC, LLC, New Bedford,