Issued: April 18, 2006. By order of the Commission.

## Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. E6–6079 Filed 4–21–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-860 (Review)]

# Tin- and Chromium-Coated Steel Sheet from Japan

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Revised schedule for the subject review.

DATES: Effective Date: April 17, 2006.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Olympia Hand (202-205-3182) or Douglas Corkran (202-205-3057), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Effective December 2, 2005, the Commission established a schedule for the conduct of the subject full review (70 FR 73027, December 8, 2005). Subsequently, counsel on behalf of the Japanese respondents requested that the Commission postpone its deadline for the filing of posthearing briefs by two days, citing communication difficulties arising from multiple national holidays in Japan during the period between the Commission's hearing and the due date for posthearing briefs. 1 No party to the review objected to the requested postponement. The Commission, therefore, is revising its schedule to incorporate this and related changes to the schedule of the review.

The Commission's new schedule for the review is as follows: the deadline for filing posthearing briefs is May 10, 2006; the Commission will make its final release of information on June 6, 2006; and final party comments are due on June 8, 2006.

For further information concerning this review see the Commission's notice cited above and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**Authority:** This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: April 17, 2006.

By order of the Commission.

#### Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. E6–6028 Filed 4–21–06; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-06-027]

## **Sunshine Act Meeting**

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: April 26, 2006 at 3 p.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

- 1. Agenda for future meetings: None.
- 2. Minutes.
- 3. Ratification List.
- 4. Inv. No. 731–TA–1091 (Final) (Artists' Canvas from China)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before May 8, 2006.)
  - 5. Outstanding action jackets: None.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

Issued: April 19, 2006. By order of the Commission.

## Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 06–3904 Filed 4–21–06; 9:12 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–U

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-58,663]

## Classic Print Products, Inc., Burlington, NC; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter dated March 15, 2006, a company official requested administrative reconsideration regarding the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to the workers of the subject firm. On April 12, 2006, a Notice of Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration was issued, stating that the application did not contain new information supporting a conclusion that the determination was erroneous and did not provide a justification for reconsideration of the determination that was based on either mistaken facts or a misinterpretation of facts or of the law.

The petition, filed on behalf of workers at the subject firm producing sublimated printed paper, asserted that production of sublimated printed paper had shifted abroad. The denial, issued on March 1, 2006, was based on the findings that neither the subject firm nor surveyed customers imported sublimation printed paper during the relevant period and that the subject firm did not shift production abroad during the investigation period. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on March 24, 2006 (70 FR 14954).

Upon receipt of new information by the company official regarding the article produced at the subject firm, the Department conducted an investigation to determine whether the subject worker group is eligible to apply for worker adjustment assistance as provided by the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

The new information indicated that the subject firm used sublimated printed paper as a medium to transfer ink graphics onto substrates. The substrates were then incorporated into the customer's final products (water boards and snow boards).

The investigation revealed that the subject firm supplied component parts (substrates) and a loss of business with a manufacturer of water boards and snow boards whose workers were certified eligible to apply for adjustment assistance contributed importantly to the separation or threat of separation of workers at Classic Print Products, Inc., Burlington, North Carolina.

 $<sup>^{1}\,\</sup>mathrm{Correspondence}$  of April 7, 2006, from Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP.

In accordance with section 246 the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents the results of its investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for older workers.

In order for the Department to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for ATAA, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246 of the Trade Act must be met. The Department has determined in this case that the requirements of section 246 have been met.

A significant number of workers at the firm are age 50 or over and possess skills that are not easily transferable. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the information obtained in the reconsideration investigation, I determine that workers of Classic Print Products, Inc., Burlington, North Carolina qualify as adversely affected secondary workers under section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Classic Print Products, Inc., Burlington, North Carolina, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 17, 2005 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC this 17th day of April 2006.

## Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6–6093 Filed 4–21–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-59,153]

## IBM Corporation; Somers, NY; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on September 22, 2003, in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at IBM Corporation, Somers, New York.

The petition regarding the investigation has been deemed invalid.

In order to establish a valid petition, there must be at least three workers to sign the petition. The petition in this case did not meet this threshold number. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 7th day of April 2006.

#### Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6–6098 Filed 4–21–06; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4510–30–P** 

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-59,163]

## Lending Textile Company Inc., Williamsport, PA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on April 5, 2006 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Lending Textile Company Inc., Williamsport, Pennsylvania.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 10th day of April, 2006.

### Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6–6100 Filed 4–21–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,808]

## Lexmark International, Inc, Supply Chain Workforce, Printing Solutions & Services Division, Lexington, KY; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application of March 25, 2006, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to workers of the subject firm. The Department's notice of determination was signed on February 24, 2006, and published in the **Federal Register** on March 22, 2006 (71 FR 14550).

The petitioner stated in the request for reconsideration that the worker group supported the production of components (ink and printer cartridges) of articles produced by the subject firm (printers). The petitioner also inferred that support activities were shifted overseas when production shifted abroad.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation based on new information provided by the petitioner and the company official.

### Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 13th day of April 2006.

#### Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6–6094 Filed 4–21–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-59,019]

## McCormick International USA, Inc., Pella, IA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on March 14, 2006 in response to a petition filed by a Texas Workforce Commission representative on behalf of workers of McCormick International USA, Inc., Pella, Iowa.

The petition has been deem invalid. A state agency representative cannot file a TAA petition on behalf of workers of a firm located in another state.

Consequently, further investigation would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 11th day of April 2006.

## Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E6–6097 Filed 4–21–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P