

Dated: March 3, 2006.

**Gail Acheson,**

*Field Manager, Palm Springs-South Coast Field Office, Bureau of Land Management.*

Dated: March 3, 2006.

**Laurie Rosenthal,**

*District Ranger, San Jacinto Ranger District, San Bernardino National Forest, USDA Forest Service.*

Dated: March 3, 2006.

**James Foote,**

*Acting Monument Manager, Santa Rosa and San Jacinto Mountains, National Monument.*

[FR Doc. 06-3844 Filed 4-21-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-40-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Land Management**

[OR 110 5882 PH MJ99; HAG06-0104]

**Notice of Meetings**

**AGENCY:** Medford District, Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Bureau of Land Management, Medford District Resource. Advisory Committee meeting as identified in section 205(f)(2) of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000, Public Law 106-393.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management Medford District Resource Advisory Committee will meet in Medford, Oregon to tour project sites and to discuss proposed 2007 projects, pursuant to Public Law 106-393. Agenda topics include on-site inspections of previous projects and proposed 2007 projects, review of last meeting minutes, presentations on proposed fiscal year 2007 Title II projects, and discussion regarding proposed projects.

**DATES:** See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for meeting dates.

**ADDRESSES:** The field trips will start from, and the meetings will be held at, the Bureau of Land Management Medford District Office, located at 3040 Biddle Road, Medford, Oregon.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bureau of Land Management, Medford District, Patty Burel at (541-618-2424), e-mail: [patricia\\_burel@blm.gov](mailto:patricia_burel@blm.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

The field trip dates are:

1. June 15, 2006, 7 a.m. to 4 p.m.
2. June 22, 2006, 7 a.m. to 4 p.m.

The meeting dates are:

1. July 13, 2006, 9 a.m. to 4 p.m.
2. July 20, 2006, 9 a.m. to 4 p.m.

A public comment period will be held from 2:00 p.m. to 2:30 p.m. on July 13 and July 20, 2006.

**Authority:** 43 CFR subpart 1784/Advisory Committees.

**Timothy R. Reuwsaat,**

*District Manager, Medford.*

[FR Doc. E6-6060 Filed 4-21-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-33-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-559]

**In the Matter of Certain Digital Processors and Digital Processing Systems, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainant's Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting complainant's motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michelle Walters, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This investigation was instituted on January 9, 2006, based on a complaint filed by Biac Corporation ("Biac") of Boulder, Colorado. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of

certain digital processors or digital processing systems, components thereof, or products containing the same by reason of infringement of various claims of United States Patent Nos. 5,021,945, 5,517,628, and 6,253,313. The complaint originally named four respondents: Philips Semiconductors B.V. of the Netherlands; Philips Consumer Electronics Services B.V. of the Netherlands; Philips Consumer Electronics North America Corp. of Atlanta, Georgia; and 2Wire, Inc. of San Jose, California. Biac previously amended the complaint and notice of investigation in order to remove respondent Philips Consumer Electronics North America Corp. and to add Philips Electronics North America Corp. 71 FR 17136 (April 5, 2006).

On March 9, 2006, Biac moved to amend the complaint and notice of investigation in order to remove respondent Philips Consumer Electronics Services B.V. and to add Philips Semiconductors, Inc. of San Jose, California, and Philips Consumer Electronics B.V. of the Netherlands. Biac stated that it had recently learned that Philips Consumer Electronics Services B.V. is a dormant entity that has not imported into the United States, sold, or offered for sale any of the accused products. In addition, Biac stated that it had recently learned that Philips Semiconductors, Inc. imports and sells the accused products in the United States and that Philips Consumer Electronics B.V. manufactures consumer products that contain the accused products and sells them in the United States. None of the current respondents nor the Commission investigative attorney opposed Biac's motion.

On March 27, 2006, the ALJ issued an ID granting Biac's motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation. The ALJ found that, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.14(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.14(b)(1)), there was good cause to amend the complaint and notice of investigation in order to remove respondent Philips Consumer Electronics Services B.V. and to add Philips Semiconductors, Inc. and Philips Consumer Electronics B.V. No petitions for review of the ID were filed. Having examined the record of this investigation, the Commission has determined not to review the ALJ's ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).