

**COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS****Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the North Dakota Advisory Committee**

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (USCCR), that a meeting of the North Dakota State Advisory Committee will convene at 1 p.m. (CDT) and adjourn at 4 p.m. (CDT), Thursday, April 20, 2006, at the Radisson Hotel, 201-5th Street, N, Fargo, ND 58102. The purpose of the meeting is to conduct orientation for new advisory committee members, provide an overview of the USCCR including recent Commission activities and new policies affecting advisory committees, brief Committee members on civil rights developments in the state including predatory lending, discrimination, race relations, and the administration of justice. The Committee will also discuss the regional project, "Confronting Discrimination in Reservation Border Town Communities" in North Dakota.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact John F. Dulles, Director of the Rocky Mountain Regional Office, (303) 866-1040 (TDD 303-866-1049). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting. It was not possible to publish this notice 15 days in advance of the meeting date because of internal processing delays.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, March 28, 2006.  
Ivy L. Davis,  
Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.  
[FR Doc. 06-3552 Filed 4-10-06; 12:29 pm]  
BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Bureau of Industry and Security****Information Systems, Technical Advisory Committee; Notice of Open Meeting**

The Information Systems Technical Advisory Committee will meet on April 26 and 27, 2006, in the Herbert C. Hoover Building, Room 3884, 14th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. The Committee advises the Office of the Assistant

Secretary for Export Administration with respect to technical questions that affect the level of export controls applicable to computer systems and technology.

**Agenda**

1. Opening Remarks and Introductions.
2. Update on BIS Programs and Activities.
3. Summary of Export Control Workshop at SEMICON.
4. Introduction of Proposals for Category 5.
5. Frequency Synthesizer Assembly Overview.
6. VoIP Networks.
7. 4A3b vs 4A3c Discussions.

The meeting will be open to the public and a limited number of seats will be available. To the extent that time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. Written statements may be submitted at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate distribution of public presentation materials to the Committee members, the Committee suggests that presenters forward the public presentation materials two weeks prior to the meeting date to Yvette Springer at [Yspringer@bis.doc.gov](mailto:Yspringer@bis.doc.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Yvette Springer on (202) 482-4814.

Dated: April 7, 2006.  
Yvette Springer,  
Committee Liaison Officer.  
[FR Doc. 06-3555 Filed 4-12-06; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510-JT-M

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Bureau of Industry and Security****Sensors and Instrumentation Technical Advisory Committee; Notice of Partially Closed Meeting**

The Sensors and Instrumentation Technical Advisory Committee (SITAC) will meet on April 25, 2006, 9:30 a.m., in the Herbert C. Hoover Building, Room 3884, 14th Street between Constitution and Pennsylvania Avenues, NW., Washington, DC. The Committee advises the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration on technical questions that affect the level of export controls applicable to sensors and instrumentation equipment and technology.

**Agenda****Public Session**

1. Welcome and Introductions.

2. Remarks from the Bureau of Industry and Security Management.
3. Industry Presentations.
4. New Business.

**Closed Session**

5. Discussion of matters determined to be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app. 2 §§ 10(a)(1) and 10(a)(3).

A limited number of seats will be available during the public session of the meeting. Reservations are not accepted. To the extent that time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. The public may submit written statements at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate distribution of public presentation materials to the Committee members, the Committee suggests that the materials be forwarded before the meeting to Ms. Yvette Springer at [Yspringer@bis.doc.gov](mailto:Yspringer@bis.doc.gov).

The Assistant Secretary for Administration, with the concurrence of the General Counsel, formally determined on March 30, 2006, pursuant to Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. app. 2 10(d)), that the portion of this meeting dealing with pre-decisional changes to the Commerce Control List and U.S. export control policies shall be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app. 2 §§ 10(a)(1) and 10(a)(3). The remaining portions of the meeting will be open to the public.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Yvette Springer on (202) 482-4814.

Dated: April 7, 2006.  
Yvette Springer,  
Committee Liaison Officer.  
[FR Doc. 06-3518 Filed 4-12-06; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3510-JT-M

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration**

[A-588-837]

**Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, From Japan: Reconsideration of Sunset Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is initiating a review to reconsider the five-year ("sunset") review that resulted in revocation of the antidumping duty order on large

newspaper printing presses and components thereof, whether assembled or unassembled, from Japan.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 13, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

David J. Goldberger or Kate Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4136, or (202) 482-4929 respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On February 25, 2002, the Department revoked the antidumping duty order on large newspaper printing presses (LNPP) from Japan under a five-year sunset review pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), because the only domestic interested party in the sunset review, Goss International Corporation (Goss), withdrew its participation and thus its interest in the review. *See Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Japan (A-588-837) and Germany (A-428-821): Notice of Final Results of Five-Year Sunset Reviews and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Orders*, 67 FR 8522 (February 25, 2002).

On May 5, 2005, the Department self-initiated a changed circumstances review to consider information contained in a federal court decision, *Goss International Corp. v. Tokyo Kikai Seisakusho, Ltd.*, 321 F.Supp.2d 1039 (N.D. Iowa 2004). *See Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Japan: Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review*, 70 FR 24524 (May 10, 2005). On March 8, 2006, the Department published the final results of that changed circumstances review. *See Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Japan: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review* (71 FR 11590) (*CCR Final Results*). In the final results, the Department stated that it would reopen for reconsideration the sunset review that resulted in revocation of this order. The Department further stated that it would conduct this reconsideration of the sunset review following the procedures outlined in section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

**Initiation of Reconsideration of Sunset Review**

As detailed in *CCR Final Results*, particularly at Comments 2 and 3 of the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum, the misconduct of the respondent Tokyo Kikai Seisakusho, Ltd. (TKS) during the 1997-1998 administrative review of the LNPP antidumping duty order, which ultimately led to its company-specific revocation from the underlying order, substantially tainted the integrity of the proceeding, and may have significantly undermined the integrity of the sunset review results, including the parties' decisions whether or not to participate in the sunset review. As such, the results of that sunset review are unreliable. Accordingly, the Department will reconsider the sunset review it conducted when the order was in place, but when the Department was unaware of misstatements made by TKS with the purpose of avoiding a determination of dumping. This action is warranted because the Department has the responsibility and authority to defend the integrity of its past determinations and to ensure the integrity of its future proceedings against deliberate, misleading behavior. Therefore, we are conducting anew the five-year sunset review of LNPP from Japan. As in a situation when a suspension agreement is terminated and an investigation is resumed, the Department will examine and collect information from the prior sunset review period (i.e., September 4, 1996, through September 4, 2001). *See, e.g., Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Uranium from the Republic of Kazakhstan*, 64 FR 31179 (June 10, 1999). *See also Fresh Tomatoes from Mexico: Notice of Intent to Terminate Suspension Agreement, Intent to Terminate the Five-Year Sunset Review, Intent to Resume Antidumping Investigation, and Request for Comments on the Use of Updated Information*, 67 FR 43278 (June 27, 2002).

In reconsidering this sunset review, as with any sunset review, the Department will report to the International Trade Commission ("ITC") whether or not there is a likelihood of continuation of dumping; however, the Department by itself cannot order the continuation of an antidumping order without an affirmative injury finding by the ITC. *See* section 751(c) of the Act; Uruguay Round Agreements Act, Statement of Administrative Action, H.R. Doc No. 103-316, vol. 1, at 879 (1994) (the Department determines whether the revocation of the order would lead to recurring or continuing dumping, but

the ITC determines the likelihood of recurring or continuing injury).

The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth in its *Procedures for Conducting Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 63 FR 13516 (March 20, 1998) and 70 FR 62061 (October 28, 2005). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("*Sunset Policy Bulletin*"). These procedures and guidance will apply in this reconsideration.

**Filing Information**

All submissions in this reconsideration of the sunset review must be filed in accordance with the Department's regulations regarding format, translation, service, and certification of documents. These rules can be found at 19 CFR 351.303.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for this proceeding. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list, it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of this notice.

As the deadlines in this review may be short, we urge interested parties to apply for access to proprietary information under administrative protective order ("APO") immediately following publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice. The Department's regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304-306.

**Information Required From Interested Parties**

Domestic interested parties (defined in section 771(9)(C), (D), (E), (F), or (G) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b)) wishing to participate in this reconsideration of the sunset review must respond not later than 15 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice by filing a notice of intent to participate. The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii). In accordance with the Department's regulations, if we do not receive a notice of intent to participate

from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, the Department will terminate this reconsideration of the sunset review. See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii).

If we receive a notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, the Department's regulations provide that *all parties* wishing to participate in a sunset review must file complete substantive responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice. The required contents of a substantive response are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for respondent and domestic parties. Please consult the Department's regulations for information regarding the Department's conduct of sunset reviews.<sup>1</sup> Please consult the Department's regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings at the Department.

Dated: April 6, 2006.

**David M. Spooner,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E6-5500 Filed 4-12-06; 8:45 am]

**Billing Code:** 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-122-839]

#### Countervailing Duty Investigation of Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada: Notice of NAFTA Panel Decision

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On November 22, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("Department") issued its Fifth Remand Determination *In the Matter of Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination*, Secretariat File No. USA-CDA-2002-1904-03 NAFTA Binational Panel Review ("Fifth Remand Determination"). On March 17, 2006, a North American Free Trade Agreement ("NAFTA") Panel upheld

the Department's Fifth Remand Determination. See Decision of the Panel on Fifth Remand, *In the Matter of Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination*, Secretariat File No. USA-CDA-2002-1904-03 NAFTA Binational Panel Review, March 17, 2006 ("Panel Decision on Fifth Remand"). Subsequently, the NAFTA Panel directed the NAFTA Secretariat to issue a Notice of Final Panel Action on March 28, 2006.

Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ("Federal Circuit") in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) ("*Timken*"), the Department is notifying the public that the Panel Decision on Fifth Remand and the Notice of Final Panel Action issued by the NAFTA Secretariat are not "in harmony" with the Department's original results.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 7, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

James Terpstra, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3965.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On April 2, 2002, the Department published a notice of final determination in the countervailing duty investigation on certain softwood lumber products from Canada. See *Notice of Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Final Negative Critical Circumstances Determination: Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada*, 67 FR 15545 (April 2, 2002) (*Final Determination*) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum: Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Investigation of Certain Softwood Lumber Products from Canada (March 21, 2002). The *Final Determination* was subsequently amended. See *Notice of Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Notice of Countervailing Duty Order: Certain Softwood Lumber Products From Canada*, 67 FR 36070 (May 22, 2002). Respondent parties subsequently challenged the Department's final determination before the United States-Canada Binational Panel, pursuant to Article 1904 of NAFTA. The parties briefed and argued the case before the Panel, and on August 13, 2003, the Panel issued its decision, affirming in part and remanding in part the

Department's determination. See Decision of the Panel, *In the Matter of Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination*, Secretariat File No. USA-CDA-2002-1904-03 NAFTA Binational Panel Review, August 13, 2003. On January 12, 2004, the Department issued its first remand determination continuing to find that Canadian softwood lumber was subsidized but at a country-wide rate of 13.23 percent *ad valorem*. See Remand Determination *In the Matter of Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination*, Secretariat File No. USA-CDA-2002-1904-03 NAFTA Binational Panel Review, January 12, 2004. On June 7, 2004, the Panel issued its decision on remand, affirming in part and remanding in part the Department's determination. See Decision of the Panel, *In the Matter of Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination*, Secretariat File No. USA-CDA-2002-1904-03 NAFTA Binational Panel Review, June 7, 2004. On July 30, 2004, the Department issued its second remand determination continuing to find that Canadian lumber is subsidized but at a country-wide rate of 7.82 percent *ad valorem*. See Second Remand Determination *In the Matter of Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination*, Secretariat File No. USA-CDA-2002-1904-03 NAFTA Binational Panel Review, July 30, 2004 (Second Remand Determination). On December 1, 2004, the Panel issued its decision on second remand, affirming in part and remanding in part the Department's determination. See Decision of the Panel on Second Remand, *In the Matter of Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination*, Secretariat File No. USA-CDA-2002-1904-03 NAFTA Binational Panel Review, December 1, 2004. On January 24, 2005, the Department issued its third remand determination continuing to find that Canadian lumber is subsidized but at a country-wide rate of 1.88 percent *ad valorem*. See Third Remand Determination *In the Matter of Certain Softwood Lumber from Canada: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination*, Secretariat File No. USA-CDA-2002-1904-03 NAFTA Binational Panel Review, January 24, 2005 (Third Remand Determination). On May 23, 2005, the Panel issued its decision on third remand, affirming in part and remanding in part the

<sup>1</sup> In comments made on the interim final sunset regulations, a number of parties stated that the proposed five-day period for rebuttals to substantive responses to a notice of initiation was insufficient. This requirement was retained in the final sunset regulations at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(4). As provided in 19 CFR 351.302(b), however, the Department will consider individual requests for extension of that five-day deadline based upon a showing of good cause.