Quantico compressor station with a 6,000 nominal HP turbine engine/compressor unit. In the September 11, 2003 application, Dominion proposed to refurbish and install the units from Dominion's existing Crayne compressor station, at Mockingbird Hill and Quantico. After refurbishing, the units have the nameplate HP ratings of 5,800 HP and 6,100 HP, respectively. Dominion does not request an increase in capacity, or any other certificated level. The units were placed in service on November 1, 2004.

Any questions regarding the application are to be directed to Matthew R. Bley, Manager, Gas Transmission Certificates, Dominion Transmission, Inc., 120 Tredegar Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219; phone number (804) 819–2877.

Any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the below listed comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

Motions to intervene, protests and comments may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper, see, 18 CFR 385.2001 (a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on April 12, 2006.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6–4594 Filed 3–29–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP04-365-002]

Dominion Transmission, Inc.; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

March 24, 2006.

Take notice that on March 22, 2006, Dominion Transmission, Inc. (DTI) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, to become effective the later of April 1, 2006, or the commencement date of incremental storage services offered as part of its Northeast Storage Project:

Fifth Revised Sheet No. 36 First Revised Sheet No. 36A Original Sheet No. 41 Sheet Nos. 42–99

The proposed changes would increase revenues from jurisdictional incremental storage service by approximately \$2 million based on the 12-month period ending March 31, 2007, as adjusted.

Any person desiring to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211). Protests to this filing will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Such protests must be filed on or before the date as indicated below. Anyone filing a protest must serve a copy of that document on all the parties to the proceeding.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at http://www.ferc.gov. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at http://www.ferc.gov, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on March 30, 2006.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6–4655 Filed 3–29–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. OR06–6–000 and Enbridge Offshore Facilities, LLC]

Notice of Petition for Declaratory Order

March 24, 2006.

Take notice that on March 17, 2006, Enbridge Offshore Facilities, LLC (Enbridge) filed in Docket No. OR06–6–000, a petition for declaratory order, pursuant to Rule 207(a)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.207(a)(2)). Enbridge requests that the Commission issue an expedited decision on this petition no later than mid-June 2006.

Enbridge states that it is planning to construct a 20-inch diameter, 26-mile crude oil pipeline Enbridge Oil Pipeline from production facilities servicing the Neptune oil field in the Atwater Valley area approximately 170 miles south of New Orleans, Louisiana in the deepwater Gulf of Mexico, to Caesar Oil Pipeline. Enbridge Oil Pipeline is expected to commence service in 2007. Enbridge Oil Pipeline will also be available to serve fields to be developed in the future in the western Atwater Valley and eastern Green Canyon areas.

Enbridge states that the Enbridge Oil Pipeline will function in effect as an extension of Caesar Oil Pipeline. The Commission has approved contract carriage on Caesar Oil Pipeline, and Caesar Oil Pipeline has entered into transportation agreements with producers in the Neptune Field under which Caesar Oil Pipeline has agreed to transport up to 60,000 barrels of oil per day from the Neptune Field on contract carriage terms. Enbridge asserts that if those shippers and others who may ship on Enbridge Oil Pipeline in the future are to be assured that they can take advantage of their full contract rights to ship on Caesar Oil Pipeline, they must also be able to contract for rights to ship on Enbridge Oil Pipeline. Without such complementary contractual rights to ship on Enbridge Oil Pipeline, shippers will be concerned about the possibility that common-carrier type pro rata allocation might be required on

 $^{^1\}mbox{Caesar}$ Oil Pipeline, 102 FERC \P 61,339 at PP 1, 37–38 (2003).