

to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain voltage regulators, components thereof or products containing same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–14 and 23–35 of U.S. Patent No. 6,411,531 and claims 1–19, 31, 34, and 35 of U.S. Patent No. 6,580,258, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—Linear Technology Corporation, 1630 McCarthy Boulevard, Milpitas, California 95035.

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served: Advanced Analogic Technologies, Inc., 830 East Arques Avenue, Sunnyvale, California 94085.

(c) David H. Hollander, Jr., Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Sidney Harris is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

A response to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with § 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such response will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting a response to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice

and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a permanent exclusion order or cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 17, 2006.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E6–4155 Filed 3–21–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act and Rivers and Harbors Act

Notice is hereby given that on March 9, 2006, a consent decree in *United States v. James H. Pflueger, et al.*, Civil Action No. 06–00140 SPK BMK, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii.

The complaint, filed concurrently with lodging of the consent decree, was brought on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) and the State of Hawaii, Department of Health, under Sections 309 and 504 of the Clean Water Act (“CWA”), 33 U.S.C. 1319 & 1364, Section 13 of the Rivers & Harbors Act of 1899 (“R&HA”), 33 U.S.C. 407, and State law. The complaint alleges that defendants James H. Pflueger, Pflueger Properties, and Pilaa 400 LLC illegally discharged storm water associated with their construction activities on the Island of Kauai, Hawaii, and seeks civil penalties and injunctive relief. The federal claims in the proposed complaint also include a claim for injunctive relief under R&HA Section 13, 33 U.S.C. 407, to address sediment discharges from defendants’ property, and claims for civil penalties and injunctive relief for defendants’ placement of unpermitted fill in stream courses on their property, in violation of CWA Section 404. Finally, the complaint includes state claims for violations of state storm water and water quality regulations.

The consent decree requires defendants to pay a \$2 million civil penalty and to perform a Supplemental Environmental Project designed to reduce the inflow of pollution to receiving waters and improve water quality, at an estimated cost of \$200,000. Finally, the decree requires defendants to complete measures necessary to abate further discharges of pollution and to repair the damage done to waterways on their property.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the consent decrees. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *United States v. James H. Pflueger, et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–1–1–07871.

During the public comment period, the consent decrees may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html>. Copies of the consent decrees may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood ([tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov](mailto:tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$14.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury, for a copy of the consent decree without attachments. Requesters who desire copies of the attachments (which include oversize and color materials) should call to make separate arrangements for reproduction, which will be charged at the cost for outside commercial copying.

**Henry Friedman,**

*Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 06–2776 Filed 3–21–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–15–M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–54,242 and TA–W–54,242A]

### Badger Paper Mills, Inc., Pestigo, WI, and Oconto Falls, WI; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a split determination regarding workers’ eligibility to apply for benefits available under the Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) Program. On March 22, 2004, the Department certified workers of Badger Paper Mills, Inc., Peshtigo, Wisconsin as

eligible to apply for TAA but not Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA). The Department's Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 24, 2004 (69 FR 29578). On May 25, 2004, a Revised Determination on Reconsideration regarding the workers' eligibility to apply for ATAA was issued. The Department's Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on June 8, 2004 (69 FR 32046).

At the request of a company official, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The company official stated that the petition was intended to cover workers at the Peshtigo and Oconto Falls, Wisconsin facilities.

Information provided by the company official reveals that a significant proportion of workers at the Oconto Falls, Wisconsin facility were separated or threatened with separation during the relevant period and that the two Badger Paper Mills facilities operate as one manufacturing unit producing paper products. Further, new information provided by the company official reveal that a significant portion of workers at the Oconto Falls, Wisconsin facility are age fifty years or older, that workers possess skills which are not easily transferable to other jobs in the local area, and that conditions in the industry are adverse.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include employees of Badger Paper Mills, Inc., Oconto Falls, Wisconsin.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-54,242 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Badger Paper Mills, Inc., Peshtigo, Wisconsin (TA-W-54,242) and Badger Paper Mills, Inc., Oconto Falls, Wisconsin (TA-W-54,242A) who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 9, 2003, through March 22, 2006, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 6th day of March 2006.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-4139 Filed 3-21-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-53,321]

**Charter Fabrics, Inc., New York, NY; Notice of Revised Determination of Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance on Remand**

On February 14, 2006, the U.S. Court of International Trade (USCIT) granted the Department's motion for voluntary remand in *Former Employees of Charter Fabrics, Inc. v. United States Secretary of Labor*, Court No. 05-00652.

A negative determination regarding the subject workers' eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) was issued on September 7, 2005. The determination stated that the investigation revealed that the skills of the worker group were easily transferable to other positions in the local commuting area.

During the remand investigation, the Department contacted the human resources official at the subject firm for information related to the ATAA investigation. Information provided by this company official revealed that there were few available jobs in the local area and in the industry at the time of separation.

At least five percent of the workforce at the subject firm is at least fifty years of age. Workers possess skills that are not easily transferable. Competitive conditions within the industry are adverse.

**Conclusion**

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I conclude that the requirements of Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, have been met for workers at the subject firm.

In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

"All workers of Charter Fabrics, Inc., New York, New York, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 29, 2002 through December 31, 2005, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed in Washington, DC, this 2nd day of March 2006.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-4135 Filed 3-21-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-58,745]

**Duffy Tool & Stamping, LLC., Muncie, IN; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on January 30, 2006 in response to a worker petition filed by the International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America (UAW), Local 3054 on behalf of workers of Duffy Tool & Stamping, LLC., Muncie, Indiana.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 3rd day of March, 2006.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. E6-4128 Filed 3-21-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-30-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-58,883]

**Harris Bank, Chicago, IL; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on February 22, 2006, in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Harris Bank, Chicago, Illinois.

The Department has determined that this petition is a photocopy of petition number TA-W-58,814, which was received on February 9, 2006. That petition investigation resulted in a negative determination issued on February 17, 2006, regarding the eligibility for workers of Harris N.A., Wire Transfer Department, a subsidiary of The Bank of Montreal Financial Group, Chicago, Illinois, to apply for worker adjustment assistance and alternative trade adjustment assistance.

Consequently, further investigation would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.