under section 202, and have concluded that, on balance, this proposed rule provides the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative to achieve the stated objectives associated with the same.

List of Subjects in 31 CFR Part 103

Administrative practice and procedure, Authority delegations (Government agencies), Banks and banking, Currency, Gambling, Indian gaming, Investigations, Law enforcement, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority and Issuance

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, part 103 of Title 31 of the Code of Federal Regulations is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 103—FINANCIAL RECORDKEEPING AND REPORTING OF CURRENCY AND FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS

1. The authority citation for part 103 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 1829b and 1951–1959; 31 U.S.C. 5311–5314, 5316–5332; title III, secs. 311, 312, 313, 314, 319, 326, 352, Pub. L. 107–56, 115 Stat. 307.

Section 103.22 is amended by:

- A. Revising paragraphs (b)(2)(i)(A), (E), (G), and (H), and adding a new paragraph (b)(2)(i)(I);
- B. Revising paragraphs (b)(2)(ii)(A), (E), (F), (H), and (I), and adding a new paragraph (b)(2)(ii)(J); and
- C. Adding a new paragraph (b)(2)(iii). The revisions and additions read as follows:

§ 103.22 Reports of transactions in currency.

(b) * * *

(2) * * *

(i) * * *

(A) Purchases of chips, tokens, and other gaming instruments;

* * * * *

- (E) Bets of currency, including money plays;
 - (G) Purchases of a casino's check;
- (H) Exchanges of currency for currency, including foreign currency; and
- (I) Bills inserted into electronic gaming devices.
 - (ii) * * *
- (A) Redemptions of chips, tokens, tickets, and other gaming instruments;
 - (E) Payments on bets;

(F) Payments by a casino to a customer based on receipt of funds through wire transfers;

* * * * *

(H) Exchanges of currency for currency, including foreign currency; (I) Travel and complementary

expenses and gaming incentives; and (J) Payment for tournament, contests

and other promotions.
(iii) Other provisions of this part notwithstanding, a transaction in currency or currency transaction for purposes of §§ 102.22(b)(2) and (c)(3) shall not include:

(A) Transactions between a casino and a currency dealer or exchanger, or between a casino and a check casher, as those terms are defined in § 103.11(uu), so long as such transactions are conducted pursuant to a contractual or other arrangement with a casino covering the financial services in §§ 103.22(b)(2)(i)(H), 103.22(b)(2)(ii)(G), and 103.22(b)(2)(ii)(H); and

(B) Jackpots from slot machines or video lottery terminals.

* * * * *

Dated: March 14, 2006.

Robert W. Werner,

Director, Financial Crimes Enforcement Network.

[FR Doc. E6–4072 Filed 3–20–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4820–03–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 100

[CGD13-06-007]

RIN 1625-AA08

Special Local Regulation: Annual Dragon Boat Races, Portland, OR

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to establish a permanent special local regulation for the Dragon Boat Races held annually the second Saturday and Sunday of June on the waters of the Willamette River, Portland, Oregon. These special local regulations limit the movement of non-participating vessels in the regulated race area. This proposed rule is needed to provide for the safety of life on navigable waters during the event.

DATES: Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before April 20, 2006.

ADDRESSES: You may mail comments and related material to U.S. Coast Guard

Sector Portland, 6767 N. Basin Ave, Portland, Oregon 97217. Waterways Management maintains the public docket [CGD13–06–007] for this rulemaking. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at U.S. Coast Guard Sector Portland between 7 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: MST1 Charity Keuter, c/o Captain of the Port Portland, 6767 N. Basin Ave, Portland, OR 97217–3992, phone (503) 240–9311.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related material. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this rulemaking (CGD13-06-007), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. Please submit all comments and related material in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying. If you would like to know if your comments reached us, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of them.

Public Meeting

We do not plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for a meeting by writing to U.S. Coast Guard Sector Portland at the address under ADDRESSES explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the Federal Register.

Background and Purpose

This event may result in a number of recreational vessels congregating near the boat races. The regulated area is needed to protect event participants. Dragon Boats have very little freeboard and are susceptible to swamping. Accordingly, regulatory action is needed in order to provide for the safety of spectators and participants during the event.

Discussion of Proposed Rule

This rule would control vessel movements from entering the race event

area. This regulated area will assist in minimizing the inherent danger associated with these races. In the event that one of the dragon boats should capsize due to excess speed from river traffic, emergency assistance must have immediate access to the craft. The Coast Guard, through this action, intends to promote the safety of personnel, vessels, and facilities in the area. Due to these concerns, public safety requires these regulations to provide for the safety of life on the navigable waters.

The Coast Guard proposes to create this regulation by revising § 100.1302, rather than create a new section in the Code of Federal Regulations because the annual Clarkston, Washington, Limited Hydroplane Races that currently exist in that section, is no longer an event which occurs with any regularity. Those races have not been conducted for at least five years and the sponsor has stated that they will no longer occur. The Dragon Boat Races in Portland, Oregon, however, is an event that that takes place annually and would benefit from a permanent rule.

Regulatory Evaluation

This proposed rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not "significant" under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS). We expect the economic impact of this proposed rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation of the regulatory policies and procedures of DHS is unnecessary. This expectation is based on the fact that the regulated area established by the proposed regulation will encompass a small portion of the river for eighteen hours over two days.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

This rule will affect the following entities, some of which may be small entities: the owners or operators of vessels intending to transit a portion of the Willamette River from 8 a.m. (PDT) to 5 p.m. (PDT) on June 10th and 11th, 2006 and hereafter annually on the second Saturday and Sunday in June.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This regulated area will not have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons. This rule will be in effect for only 18 hours and vessel traffic will be allowed to safely pass through the area with a "no wake" zone enforced.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact Petty Officer Charity Keuter at (503) 240-9301. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

Collection of Information

This proposed rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions

that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

Taking of Private Property

This proposed rule would not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments

This proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Technical Standards

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.

This proposed rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Commandant Instruction M16475.lD, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that there are no factors in this case that would limit the use of a categorical exclusion under section 2.B.2 of the Instruction. Therefore, we believe that this rule should be categorically excluded, under figure 2-1, paragraph (34)(h), of the Instruction, from further environmental documentation. Under figure 2-1, paragraph (34)(h), of the Instruction, an "Environmental Analysis Check List" and a "Categorical Exclusion Determination" are not required for this rule. Comments on this section will be considered before we make the final decision on whether to categorically exclude this rule from further environmental review.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 100 as follows:

PART 100—SAFETY OF LIFE ON NAVIGABLE WATERS

1. The authority citation for part 100 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1233; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

2. Revise § 100.1302 to read as follows:

§ 100.1302 Special Local Regulation, Annual Dragon Boat Races, Portland, Oregon.

- (a) Regulated Area. All waters of the Willamette River shore to shore, bordered on the north by the Hawthorne Bridge, and on the south by the Marquam Bridge.
- (b) Enforcement Period. The event is a two day event which will be enforced annually from 8 a.m. (PDT) to 5 p.m. (PDT) on the second Saturday and Sunday of June. In 2006, this regulation will be enforced from 8 a.m. until 5 p.m. on Saturday, June 10th and Sunday June 11th.
- (c) Special Local Regulation. (1) Non participant vessels are prohibited from entering the race area unless authorized by the Coast Guard Patrol Commander.
- (2) All persons or vessels not registered with the sponsor as participants or not part of the regatta patrol are considered spectators. Spectator vessels must be moored to a waterfront facility in a way that will not interfere with the progress of the event or have permission to enter the area from the Mor Coast Guard patrol commander. Spectators must proceed at a safe speed as not to cause a wake. This requirement will be strictly enforced to preserve the safety of both life and property.
- (3) A succession of sharp, short signals by whistle or horn from vessels patrolling the area under the direction of the Patrol Commander shall serve as a signal to stop. Vessels signaled shall stop and shall comply with the orders of the patrol vessel. Failure to do so may result in expulsion from the area, citation for failure to comply, or both.
- (4) The Coast Guard Patrol Commander may be assisted by other Federal, State and local law enforcement agencies in enforcing this regulation.

Dated: February 28, 2006.

R.R. Houck,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Thirteenth Coast Guard District. [FR Doc. E6–4017 Filed 3–20–06; 8:45 am]

FK Doc. E0-4017 Filed 5-20-00, 6.45 am

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs

41 CFR Part 60-2

RIN 1215-AB53

Affirmative Action and Nondiscrimination Obligations of Contractors and Subcontractors; Equal Opportunity Survey; Correction

AGENCY: Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, Labor.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; correction; and extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On January 20, 2006, the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP) published in the **Federal Register** a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM). The NPRM (71 FR 3373) proposes to amend the regulations implementing Executive Order 11246 by removing the current requirement for nonconstruction federal contractors to file the Equal Opportunity Survey ("EO Survey NPRM"). This document corrects the e-mail address for submitting comments on the EO Survey NPRM. Further, to ensure that all public comments are received, this document extends the comment period for the proposed rule for seven (7) days. Respondents who sent comments to the earlier e-mail address are encouraged to contact the person named below to find out if their comments were received and re-submit them to the e-mail address below if necessary.

DATES: The comment period for the EO Survey NPRM published January 20, 2006 (71 FR 3373) is extended to March 28, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James C. Pierce, Acting Director, Division of Policy, Planning, and Program Development, Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room N3422, Washington, DC 20210. Telephone: (202) 693–0102 (voice) or (202) 693–1337 (TTY).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Correction

Due to an upgrade in the computer system, the original e-mail address published in the proposed rule is not currently functioning and is not receiving e-mail comments.

Accordingly, in FR Doc. 06–646 appearing on page 3373, in the Federal Register of Friday, January 20, 2006, the e-mail address shown, "ofccp-mail@dol.esa.gov," is corrected to read "OFCCP-Public@dol.gov."