

*Investigations: Certain Lined Paper Products from India, Indonesia and the People's Republic of China*, 70 FR 58374 (October 6, 2005). The notice of initiation stated that we would make our preliminary determinations for these antidumping duty investigations no later than 140 days after the date of issuance of the initiation. On February 10, 2006, the Department postponed the preliminary determinations by 30 days to March 18, 2006. *See Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain Lined Paper Products from the People's Republic of China, India, and Indonesia*, 71 FR 7015 (February 10, 2006). On February 21, 2006, the Association of American School Paper Suppliers, and its individual members ("Petitioner"), made timely requests pursuant to 19 CFR §351.205(e) for an additional 20-day postponement of the preliminary determinations with respect to the antidumping duty investigations covering certain lined paper products ("CLPP") from the People's Republic of China (PRC) and India. Petitioner requested postponement of the preliminary determinations because it will provide the Department additional time to review submitted questionnaire responses which Petitioner claims contain substantial deficiencies.

Under section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), if Petitioner makes a timely request for a postponement of the preliminary determination, the Department may postpone the preliminary determination under subsection (b)(1) until no later than the 190<sup>th</sup> day after the initiation of the investigation.

Therefore, for reasons identified by Petitioner, we are postponing the preliminary determinations with respect to the antidumping duty investigations of CLPP from the PRC and India under section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act by an additional 20 days to April 7, 2006. Pursuant to section 735(a) of the Act, the deadline for the final determinations will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determinations, or if extended, up to 135 days after the date of publication of the preliminary determinations in the **Federal Register**.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to sections 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: March 7, 2006.

**David M. Spooner**,  
Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-3620 Filed 3-13-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-588-867]

#### Notice of Postponement of Final Antidumping Duty Determination and Extension of Provisional Measures: Metal Calendar Slides from Japan

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 14, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Scott Lindsay or Dara Iserson, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0780 or (202) 482-4052, respectively.

#### Postponement of Final Determination

The Department of Commerce (the Department) is postponing the final determination in the antidumping duty investigation of metal calendar slides from Japan.

On July 26, 2005, the Department published the initiation of the antidumping duty investigation on imports of metal calendar slides from Japan. *See Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Metal Calendar Slides from Japan*, 70 FR 43122 (July 26, 2005). On February 1, 2006, the Department published its affirmative preliminary determination in this investigation. *See Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Metal Calendar Slides from Japan*, 71 FR 5244 (February 1, 2006). This notice stated that the Department would issue its final determination no later than 75 days after the date on which the Department issued its preliminary determination.

Section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii) provide that a final determination may be postponed until no later than 135 days after the date of the publication of the preliminary determination if, in the event of an affirmative preliminary determination, a request for such postponement is made by exporters who account for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise. Additionally, the Department's regulations, at 19 CFR 351.210(e)(2)(ii), require that requests by a respondent for postponement of a final determination be accompanied by a request for an extension of the provisional measures from a four-month period to not more than six months.

On February 13, 2006, in accordance with section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act and

19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii), the only known exporter, Nishiyama Kinzoku Co. Ltd. (Nishiyama), requested that the Department: (1) Postpone the final determination; and (2) extend the provisional measures period from four months to a period not longer than six months. Accordingly, pursuant to section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(2)(ii), because: (1) The preliminary determination is affirmative; (2) the requesting exporter accounts for a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise in this investigation; and (3) no compelling reasons for denial exist, we are postponing the final determination until no later than 135 days after the publication of the preliminary determination in the **Federal Register** (i.e., until no later than June 16, 2006). Suspension of liquidation will be extended accordingly.

This notice of postponement is published pursuant to section 735(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(g).

Dated: March 8, 2006.

**Stephen J. Claeys**,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import  
Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-3630 Filed 3-13-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

A-580-825

#### Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe, from Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On September 8, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods (OCTG), other than drill pipe, from Korea. *See Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe, from Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 53340 (September 8, 2005) (*Preliminary Results*). This review covers the following producers: Husteel Co., Ltd. ("Husteel") and SeAH Steel Corporation ("SeAH"). The period of review ("POR") is August 1, 2003, through July 31, 2004. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes to the *Preliminary Results*. For the final dumping margins, see the

“Final Results of Review” section below.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 14, 2006.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Nicholas Czajkowski or Scott Lindsay, AD/CVD Operations (6), Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1395 or (202) 482–0780, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On September 8, 2005, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on OCTG from Korea. See *Preliminary Results*. Since the *Preliminary Results*, the following events have occurred. On September 12, 2005, Respondents requested that the Department hold a hearing. A case brief from Respondents and a rebuttal brief from Petitioners were timely filed. The issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by interested parties in this administrative review are addressed in the *Issues and Decisions Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Oil Country Tubular Goods (“OCTG”) from Korea (Issues and Decision Memorandum)*, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The *Issues and Decision Memorandum* is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room B–099 of the Department of Commerce main building, and can be accessed directly at <http://www.ia.ita.doc.gov/>. The paper copy and electronic version of the *Issues and Decision Memorandum* are identical in content.

**Scope of the Antidumping Duty Order**

The products covered by this order are OCTG, hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including only oil well casing and tubing, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute (“API”) or non-API specifications, whether finished or unfinished (including green tubes and limited service OCTG products). This scope does not cover casing or tubing pipe containing 10.5 percent or more of chromium, or drill pipe. The products subject to this order are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) under item numbers: 7304.29.10.10, 7304.29.10.20, 7304.29.10.30, 7304.29.10.40,

7304.29.10.50, 7304.29.10.60, 7304.29.10.80, 7304.29.20.10, 7304.29.20.20, 7304.29.20.30, 7304.29.20.40, 7304.29.20.50, 7304.29.20.60, 7304.29.20.80, 7304.29.30.10, 7304.29.30.20, 7304.29.30.30, 7304.29.30.40, 7304.29.30.50, 7304.29.30.60, 7304.29.30.80, 7304.29.40.10, 7304.29.40.20, 7304.29.40.30, 7304.29.40.40, 7304.29.40.50, 7304.29.40.60, 7304.29.40.80, 7304.29.50.15, 7304.29.50.30, 7304.29.50.45, 7304.29.50.60, 7304.29.50.75, 7304.29.60.15, 7304.29.60.30, 7304.29.60.45, 7304.29.60.60, 7304.29.60.75, 7305.20.20.00, 7305.20.40.00, 7305.20.60.00, 7305.20.80.00, 7306.20.10.30, 7306.20.10.90, 7306.20.20.00, 7306.20.30.00, 7306.20.40.00, 7306.20.60.10, 7306.20.60.50, 7306.20.80.10, and 7306.20.80.50. The HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive of the scope of this review.

**Verification**

As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, we verified the information submitted by SeAH and Husteel for use in our final results. We used standard verification procedures, including on-site examination of relevant accounting and production records and original source documents provided by both companies. Our verification results are outlined in the *Memorandum to Barbara E. Tillman through Thomas Gilgunn from Scott Lindsay, Nicholas Czajkowski, and Toni Page: Verification of Costs and Sales for Husteel Co., Ltd. in the Administrative Review of Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe, from Korea* (December 28, 2005); *Memorandum to Barbara E. Tillman through Thomas Gilgunn from Scott Lindsay, Nicholas Czajkowski, and Toni Page: Verification of Costs and Sales for SeAH Co., Ltd. in the Administrative Review of Oil Country Tubular Goods, Other Than Drill Pipe, from Korea* (December 30, 2005); and *Memorandum to Barbara E. Tillman through Thomas Gilgunn from Scott Lindsay and Nicholas Czajkowski: CEP Sales Verification for Pusan Pipe America, Inc. in the Administrative Review of Oil Country Tubular Goods,*

*Other Than Drill Pipe, from Korea* (December 30, 2005).

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in the briefs and rebuttal briefs filed by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the *Issues and Decision Memorandum* which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues addressed in the *Issues and Decision Memorandum* is appended to this notice.

**Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

After reviewing the *Preliminary Results*, we have made two changes to SeAH’s calculations that have impacted the margin. We included an updated cost of production database to include sales to Canada. We also converted the comparison–market revenue value used to calculate Constructed Export Price profit from U.S. Dollars to Korean Won.

**Final Results of Review**

As a result of our review, we determine that the following weighted–average margins exist for the period August 1, 2003, through July 31, 2004:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Margin (percent)
SeAH Steel Corporation	6.84%
Husteel Co., Ltd. ....	12.30%

**Duty Assessment**

The Department shall determine and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), the Department calculates an assessment rate for each importer of the subject merchandise for each respondent. Upon issuance of the final results of this administrative review, if any importer–specific assessment rates calculated in the final results are above de minimis (i.e., at or above 0.5 percent), the Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to CBP to assess antidumping duties on appropriate entries.

To determine whether the duty assessment rates covering the period were *de minimis*, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), for each respondent we calculated importer (or customer)–specific *ad valorem* rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to that importer or customer and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to that importer (or customer). Where an importer (or customer)–specific *ad valorem* rate is greater than *de minimis*, and the respondent has reported reliable entered values, we apply the assessment rate to the entered value of the

importer's/customer's entries during the review period. Where an importer (or customer)- specific *ad valorem* rate is greater than *de minimis* and we do not have reliable entered values, we calculate a per-unit assessment rate by aggregating the dumping duties due for all U.S. sales to each importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total quantity sold to that importer (or customer). The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of the final results of this review.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following antidumping duty deposit rates will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of OCTG from Korea entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) for Husteel and SeAH, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered by this review, a prior review, or the LTFV investigation, the cash deposit rate shall be the all others rate established in the LTFV investigation, which is 12.17 percent. *See Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Oil Country Tubular Goods from Korea*, 60 FR 33561 (June 28, 1995). These deposit rates, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

### Notification Regarding APOs

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(5). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 7, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

### APPENDIX

#### List of Issues

1. The use of China, a non-market economy, as the basis for normal value. [FR Doc. E6-3632 Filed 3-13-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-813]

### Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Korea; Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On November 7, 2005, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping order covering stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Korea. *See Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Korea; Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 67444 (November 7, 2005) (*Preliminary Results*). The merchandise covered by this order is stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings as described in the "Scope of the Order" section of this notice. The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2004, through January 31, 2005. We invited parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. We received no comments. Therefore, the final results are unchanged from those presented in the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the reviewed firm is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 14, 2006.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Heaney, or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; (202) 482-4475 or (202) 482-0649 respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On November 7, 2005, the Department published the preliminary results of the 2004-2005 antidumping duty administrative review of stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Korea. *See Preliminary Results*. The review covers Sungkwang Bend Company (SKBC), and the period February 1, 2004, through January 31, 2005. In the *Preliminary Results*, we invited parties to comment. We received no comments.

#### Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain welded stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings (pipe fittings), whether finished or unfinished, under 14 inches in inside diameter.

Pipe fittings are used to connect pipe sections in piping systems where conditions require welded connections. The subject merchandise can be used where one or more of the following conditions is a factor in designing the piping system: (1) Corrosion of the piping system will occur if material other than stainless steel is used; (2) contamination of the material in the system by the system itself must be prevented; (3) high temperatures are present; (4) extreme low temperatures are present; (5) high pressures are contained within the system.

Pipe fittings come in a variety of shapes, and the following five are the most basic: "elbows," "tees," "reducers," "stub ends," and "caps." The edges of finished fittings are beveled. Threaded, grooved, and bolted fittings are excluded from this review. The pipe fittings subject to this order are classifiable under subheading 7307.23.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

#### Final Results of the Review

We determine the following percentage weighted-average margin exists for the period February 1, 2004 through January 31, 2005: