

1. *First Mid-Illinois Bancshares, Inc.*, Mattoon, Illinois; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Mansfield Bancorp, Inc., Mansfield, Illinois, and thereby indirectly acquire Peoples State Bank of Mansfield, Mansfield, Illinois.

2. *Minier Financial, Inc., Employee Stock Ownership Plan w/401(k) Provisions*, Minier, Illinois; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 51 percent of the voting shares of Minier Financial Inc., Minier, Illinois, and thereby indirectly acquire First State Bank, Minier, Illinois.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 23, 2006.

**Robert deV. Frierson,**

*Deputy Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. E6-2763 Filed 2-27-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-S

## GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

### Notice of Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for a New Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Field Office Headquarters Facility in Los Angeles, CA

**AGENCY:** Public Buildings Service, GSA.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability and Public Hearing.

**SUMMARY:** The General Services Administration (GSA) announces the availability of the draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for construction of a new facility to house the Los Angeles Field Office Headquarters of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) for public review and comment. The EIS provides GSA and the public with an analysis of the environmental impacts that may result from two alternative construction proposals and a No Action alternative.

**DATES:** Written comments on the draft EIS are invited from the public and may be submitted through the end of the comment period on April 24, 2006 (see ADDRESS section for more details). Comments must be postmarked by April 24, 2006, to ensure consideration; late comments will be considered to the extent practicable. The GSA will use the comments received to help prepare the final version of the EIS. The public is cordially invited to participate in a Public Hearing scheduled on Tuesday, March 14, 2006 from 4:00 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. at the Doubletree Los Angeles—Westwood, 10740 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California, 90024. The Public Hearing will be divided into two sessions from 4:00—6:00 p.m. and 6:30—8:30 p.m.; at the beginning of

each session (at 4:30 PM and 6:30 PM) GSA will make brief identical presentations, each followed by a period for public comment. The Public Hearing will provide information on the proposed alternatives and their impacts, as well as an opportunity for the public to submit oral and written comments.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted in writing to: Morris Angell, Regional Environmental Quality Advisor, GSA, Portfolio Management Division (9PTC), 450 Golden Gate Ave., 3rd Floor E, San Francisco, CA 94102, or via e-mail to [Morris.Angell@gsa.gov](mailto:Morris.Angell@gsa.gov). Oral and written comments may also be submitted at the public hearing described in the DATES section.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Morris Angell at (415) 522-3473 or via email at [Morris.Angell@gsa.gov](mailto:Morris.Angell@gsa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A notice of availability will be mailed to all agencies, organizations, and individuals who participated in the scoping process or were identified during the EIS process. GSA has distributed copies of the draft EIS to appropriate Congressional members and committees, the State of California, other federal agencies, and all interested parties who have requested copies.

The Draft EIS was prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*] and the Council on Environmental Quality NEPA regulations [40 CFR part 1500]. The draft EIS presents comparisons of these impacts among two action and one no action alternatives. For each alternative, impact discussions are presented by resource area (*e.g.* land use, geology and soils) or topic area (*e.g.*, traffic, environmental justice).

After the public comment period, which ends April 24, 2006, GSA will consider the comments received, revise the draft EIS, select a preferred alternative, and issue a Final EIS. GSA will consider the Final EIS, along with other economic and technical considerations, to make a decision on the appropriate course of action.

Dated: February 16, 2006.

**Peter G. Stamison,**

*Regional Administrator, Public Buildings Service, Pacific Rim Region.*

[FR Doc. 06-1863 Filed 2-27-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-YF

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Administration for Children and Families

#### Proposed Information Collection Activity; Comment Request

*Proposed Project:*

*Title:* Relationship Quality Instrument for Mentoring Children of Prisoners Program.

*OMB No.:* New Collection.

*Description:* The Promoting Safe and Stable Families Amendments of 2001 (Pub. L. 107-133) amended Title IV-B of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 629-629e) to provide funding for nonprofit agencies that recruit, screen, train, and support mentors for children with an incarcerated parent or parents. The Family and Youth Services Bureau (FYSB) of the Administration for Children and Families, United States Department of Health and Human Services, administers the Mentoring Children of Prisoners (MCP) program. The MCP program creates lasting, high-quality one-to-one mentoring relationships that provide young people with caring adult role models. The quality of these relationships is an important indicator of success in mentoring programs.

Previous research has shown an association between high-quality mentoring relationships and positive changes in youth behavior associated with positive youth benefits, such as improved school attendance, reductions in risk behavior, and other benefits.

The Relationship Quality Instrument consists of 15 rigorously field-tested questions<sup>1</sup> about the relationship, plus several questions that establish context (age, gender, duration of relationship and frequency of contacts, etc.). The answer to the questions help assess how satisfied the youth (mentee) is with the relationship; whether the mentee is happy in the relationship; whether the mentee trusts the mentor; and whether the mentor has helped the mentee to cope with problems. Researchers in the field of mentoring have tested and validated the questions.

FYSB requires grantees receiving funding to provide information that can be used to evaluate outcomes for participating children. FYSB will use the information provided by the instrument to assure effective service delivery and program management and

<sup>1</sup> Rhodes J., Reddy, R., Roffman, J., and Grossman J.B. (March, 2005). Promoting Successful Youth Mentoring Relationships: A Preliminary Screening Questionnaire. *The Journal of Primary Prevention*, 26:2, 147-167.