- 1. First Mid-Illinois Bancshares, Inc., Mattoon, Illinois; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Mansfield Bancorp, Inc., Mansfield, Illinois, and thereby indirectly acquire Peoples State Bank of Mansfield, Mansfield, Illinois.
- 2. Minier Financial, Inc., Employee Stock Ownership Plan w/401(k) Provisions, Minier, Illinois; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 51 percent of the voting shares of Minier Financial Inc., Minier, Illinois, and thereby indirectly acquire First State Bank, Minier, Illinois.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 23, 2006.

#### Robert deV. Frierson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. E6-2763 Filed 2-27-06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6210-01-S

### **GENERAL SERVICES** ADMINISTRATION

Notice of Availability of the Draft **Environmental Impact Statement for a New Federal Bureau of Investigation** (FBI) Field Office Headquarters Facility in Los Angeles, CA

**AGENCY:** Public Buildings Service, GSA. **ACTION:** Notice of Availability and Public Hearing.

**SUMMARY:** The General Services Administration (GSA) announces the availability of the draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for construction of a new facility to house the Los Angeles Field Office Headquarters of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) for public review and comment. The EIS provides GSA and the public with an analysis of the environmental impacts that may result from two alternative construction proposals and a No Action alternative.

**DATES:** Written comments on the draft EIS are invited from the public and may be submitted through the end of the comment period on April 24, 2006 (see ADDRESS section for more details). Comments must be postmarked by April 24, 2006, to ensure consideration; late comments will be considered to the extent practicable. The GSA will use the comments received to help prepare the final version of the EIS. The public is cordially invited to participate in a Public Hearing scheduled on Tuesday, March 14, 2006 from 4:00 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. at the Doubletree Los Angeles-Westwood, 10740 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California, 90024. The Public Hearing will be divided into two sessions from 4:00-6:00 p.m. and 6:30-8:30 p.m.; at the beginning of

each session (at 4:30 PM and 6:30 PM) GSA will make brief identical presentations, each followed by a period for public comment. The Public Hearing will provide information on the proposed alternatives and their impacts, as well as an opportunity for the public to submit oral and written comments.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted in writing to: Morris Angell, Regional Environmental Quality Advisor, GSA, Portfolio Management Division (9PTC), 450 Golden Gate Ave., 3rd Floor E, San Francisco, CA 94102, or via e-mail to Morris.Angell@gsa.gov. Oral and written comments may also be submitted at the public hearing described in the DATES section.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Morris Angell at (415) 522-3473 or via

email at Morris.Angell@gsa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A notice of availability will be mailed to all agencies, organizations, and individuals who participated in the scoping process or were identified during the EIS process. GSA has distributed copies of the draft EIS to appropriate Congressional members and committees, the State of California, other federal agencies, and all interested parties who have requested copies.

The Draft EIS was prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.] and the Council on Environmental Quality NEPA regulations [40 CFR part 1500]. The draft EIS presents comparisons of these impacts among two action and one no action alternatives. For each alternative. impact discussions are presented by resource area (e.g. land use, geology and soils) or topic area (e.g., traffic, environmental justice).

After the public comment period. which ends April 24, 2006, GSA will consider the comments received, revise the draft EIS, select a preferred alternative, and issue a Final EIS. GSA will consider the Final EIS, along with other economic and technical considerations, to make a decision on the appropriate course of action.

Dated: February 16, 2006.

## Peter G. Stamison,

Regional Administrator, Public Buildings Service, Pacific Rim Region. [FR Doc. 06-1863 Filed 2-27-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-YF

## **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

### Administration for Children and **Families**

### **Proposed Information Collection Activity; Comment Request**

Proposed Project:

Title: Relationship Quality Instrument for Mentoring Children of Prisoners Program.

*OMB No.*: New Collection. Description: The Promoting Safe and Stable Families Amendments of 2001 (Pub. L. 107–133) amended Title IV–B of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 629-629e) to provide funding for nonprofit agencies that recruit, screen, train, and support mentors for children with an incarcerated parent or parents. The Family and Youth Services Bureau (FYSB) of the Administration for Children and Families, United States Department of Health and Human Services, administers the Mentoring Children of Prisoners (MCP) program. The MCP program creates lasting, highquality one-to-one mentoring relationships that provide young people with caring adult role models. The quality of these relationships is an important indicator of success in mentoring programs.

Previous research has shown an association between high-quality mentoring relationships and positive changes in youth behavior associated with positive youth benefits, such as improved school attendance, reductions in risk behavior, and other benefits.

The Relationship Quality Instrument consists of 15 rigorously field-tested questions 1 about the relationship, plus several questions that establish context (age, gender, duration of relationship and frequency of contacts, etc.). The answer to the questions help assess how satisfied the youth (mentee) is with the relationship; whether the mentee is happy in the relationship; whether the mentee trusts the mentor; and whether the mentor has helped the mentee to cope with problems. Researchers in the field of mentoring have tested and validated the questions.

FYSB requires grantees receiving funding to provide information that can be used to evaluate outcomes for participating children. FYSB will use the information provided by the instrument to assure effective service delivery and program management and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Rhodes J., Reddy, R., Roffman, J., and Grossman J.B. (March, 2005). Promoting Successful Youth Mentoring Relationships: A Preliminary Screening Questionnaire. The Journal of Primary Prevention, 26:2, 147-167.

to guide the development of national monitoring and technical assistance systems. Finally, FYSB will use data from this collection for reporting program outcomes to Congress in the FY 2006 Performance Report during the budget process and as the basis for outcome evaluation of the program over the long term.

Respondents: Public, community- and faith-based organizations receiving funding to implement the MCP program.

#### **ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES**

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
Relationship Quality Instrument for Mentoring Children of Prisoners Program.	215 MCP grantees serving a total of approximately 25,000 children in the active annual caseload.	1	16 (average caseload per MCP grantee).	24,940
Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours				24,940

In compliance with the requirements of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Administration for Children and Families is soliciting public comment on the specific aspects of the information collection described above. Copies of the proposed collection of information can be obtained and comments may be forwarded by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Administration, Office of Information Services, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, SW., Washington, DC 20447, Attn: ACF Reports Clearance Officer. E-mail address: infocollection@hhs.gov. All requests should be identified by the title of the information collection.

The Department specifically requests comments on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the buden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted within 60 days of this publication.

Dated: February 22, 2006.

#### Robert Sargis,

Reports Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 06–1817 Filed 2–27–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4184-01-M

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

# Administration for Children and Families

State Median Income Estimate for a Four-Person Family (FFY 2007); Notice of the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2007 State Median Income Estimates for Use Under the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) Administered by the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Community Services, Division of Energy Assistance

**AGENCY:** Office of Community Services, ACF, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of estimated State median income estimates for FFY 2007.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the estimated median income for fourperson families in each State and the District of Columbia for FFY 2007 (October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2007). LIHEAP grantees may adopt the State median income estimates beginning with the date of this publication of the estimates in the Federal Register or at a later date as discussed below. This means that LIHEAP grantees could choose to implement this notice during the period between the heating and cooling seasons. However, by October 1, 2006, or by the beginning of a grantee's fiscal year, whichever is later, LIHEAP grantees using State median income estimates must adjust their income eligibility criteria to be in accord with the FFY 2007 State median income estimates.

This listing of estimated State median incomes provides one of the maximum income criteria that LIHEAP grantees may use in determining a household's income eligibility for LIHEAP.

**DATES:** Effective Date: The estimates are effective at any time between the date of this publication and October 1, 2006, or

by the beginning of a LIHEAP grantee's fiscal year, whichever is later.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Leon Litow, Administration for Children and Families, HHS, Office of Community Services, Division of Energy Assistance, 5th Floor West, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, SW., Washington, DC 20447, Telephone: (202) 401–5304 E-Mail: *llitow@acf.hhs.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the provisions of section 2603(11) of Title XXVI of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981 (Pub. L. 97–35, as amended), we are announcing the estimated median income of a fourperson family for each State, the District of Columbia, and the United States for FFY 2007 (the period of October 1, 2006, through September 30, 2007).

Section 2605(b)(2)(B)(ii) of the LIHEAP statute provides that 60 percent of the median income for each State, as annually established by the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services, is one of the income criteria that LIHEAP grantees may use in determining a household's eligibility for LIHEAP.

LIHEAP is authorized through the end of FFY 2007 by the Energy Policy Act of 2005, Pub. L. 109–58, which was enacted on August 8, 2005.

Estimates of the median income for a four-person family for each State and the District of Columbia for FFY 2007 have been developed by the Census Bureau of the U.S. Department of Commerce, using the most recently available income data. In developing the median income estimates for FFY 2007, the Census Bureau used the following three sources of data: (1) The Current Population Survey's 2005 Annual Social and Economic Supplement File; (2) the 2000 Decennial Census of Population; and (3) 2004 per capita personal income estimates, by State, from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) of the U.S. Department of Commerce.