The revised income eligibility levels presented here are calculated from the

base DHHS Poverty Guidelines now in effect as follows:

# 2005 DHHS POVERTY GUIDELINES FOR ALL STATES

States	Family Units of			
	One	Two	Three	Four
All, except Alaska and Hawaii Alaska Hawaii	\$9,800 12,250 11,010	\$13,200 16,500 14,760	\$16,600 20,750 18,510	\$20,000 25,000 22,260

For family units with more than four members, add: \$3,400 for all areas, \$4,250 for Alaska, and \$3,910 for Hawaii, for each additional member.

Authority: These programs are authorized pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 5011 and 5013 of the Domestic Volunteer Service Act of 1973, as amended. The income eligibility levels are determined by the current guidelines published by DHHS pursuant to sections 652 and 673(2) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981 which requires poverty guidelines to be adjusted for Consumer Price Index changes.

Dated: February 17, 2006.

# Tess Scannell,

Director, Senior Corps. [FR Doc. E6–2595 Filed 2–23–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6050–\$\$–P

### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Air Force

#### Intent To Grant An Exclusive License

**AGENCY:** Department of the Air Force. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of part 404 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, which implements Public Law 96-517, the Department of the Air Force announces its intention to grant Conceptual MindWorks, Inc., a corporation of the State of Texas, an exclusive license under the following patents: U.S. Patent No. 5,856,108, issued 5 Jan 1999 to Kiel *et al.*, Biosynthesis of Diazomelanin and Diazoluminomelanin. U.S. Patent No. 5,902,728, issued 11 May 1999 to Parker et al., Diazodenitrification in the Manufacture of Recombinant Bacterial Biosensors. The license described above will be granted unless an objection thereto, together with a request for an opportunity to be heard, if desired, is received in writing by the addressee set forth below, within fifteen (15) days from the date of publication of this Notice.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul

D. Heydon, Patent Attorney, Commercial Law Division, Office of the Staff Judge Advocate, 311th Human Systems Wing, Air Force Materiel Command, 8010 Chennault Path, Brooks City-Base, TX 78235, (210) 536–5359.

### Bao-Anh Trinh,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. E6–2650 Filed 2–23–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–05–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

#### Department of the Army

Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Transformation of the Pennsylvania Army National Guard 56th Brigade Into a Stryker Brigade Combat Team at the National Guard Training Center—Fort Indiantown Gap, PA

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Army (DA) and National Guard Bureau have proposed to transform the Pennsylvania Army National Guard (PAARNG) 56th Brigade into a Stryker Brigade Combat Team (SBCT). This Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) discusses indepth three alternatives: The Preferred Alternative, the Train Using Existing Army Facilities Alternative, and the No-Action Alternative, Under the Preferred Alternative, the PAARNG proposes construction of new training and support facilities at the National Guard Training Center—Fort Indiantown Gap (NGTC–FTIG); at Fort Pickett, VA; and at local PAARNG facilities across the State of Pennsylvania, as well as conducting Annual Training (AT) at Fort A.P. Hill, VA, in order to accomplish requisite training. Under the Train Using Existing Army Facilities Alternative, no construction at NGTC-FTIG, Pennsylvania or Fort Pickett, VA would occur; the statewide facilities improvements would occur. Required SBCT Inactive Duty Training (IDT) and AT would be conducted at select

regional Army training installations, using existing facilities. Other alternatives considered but eliminated from detailed study are also addressed in the EIS.

ADDRESSES: Written comments or material should be forwarded to LTC Christopher Cleaver, NGTC–FTIG Public Affairs Officer (PAO), PADMVA Headquarters, Building 0–47, Annville, PA 17003–502 or Ms. Patricia Rickard, NGTC–FTIG EIS Project Officer, NGTC– FTIG, Environmental Section, 1119 Utility Road, Annville, PA 17003–5002.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: LTC

Christopher Cleaver at (717) 861–8468 or Ms. Patricia Rickard at (717) 861– 2580.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Significant impacts would be anticipated from both Action alternatives, although the Preferred Alternative would result in greater impacts. The Train at Existing Army Facilities Alternative would result in fewer impacts, but would not achieve the purpose of and need for the Proposed Action as effectively and efficiently as the Preferred Alternative. Studies concluded that implementation of the Preferred Alternative would result in some significant but unmitigable impacts to air quality, geology and soils, and biological resources, and would result in significant but mitigable impacts to land use, water resources, and cultural resources. The Preferred Alternative would also result in beneficial impacts to socioeconomics and to minority and low-income populations. The Train Using Existing Army Facilities Alternative would result in significant unmitigable impacts to air quality (i.e., via fugitive dust during training episodes), and would negate the beneficial socioeconomic impacts of the Preferred Alternative in the vicinities of NGTC-FTIG and Fort Pickett: the statewide (Pennsylvania) socioeconomic benefits would still occur. The No-Action Alternative would result in no significant impacts, but would not

achieve the established purpose of and need for the Proposed Action.

#### Clyde A. Vaughn,

Lieutenant General, GS, Director, Army National Guard. [FR Doc. 06–1697 Filed 2–23–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

#### Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

# Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Everglades National Park Seepage Management Project, Miami-Dade County, FL

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), Jacksonville District, intends to prepare an integrated Project Implementation Report/Draft Environmental Impact Statement (PIR/ DEIS) for the Everglades National Park (ENP) Seepage Management Project. The study is a cooperative effort between the Corps and the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD), which is also a cooperating agency for this DEIS. The project seeks to reduce eastward water seepage from the Everglades system for the benefit of wetland communities within ENP. The ENP Seepage Management Project is comprised of three components that were recommended as a part of the Central and Southern Florida (C&SF) Project Comprehensive Review Study Feasibility Report and Integrated Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) dated April 1999 (Restudy), and is part of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan (CERP). The project includes an aboveground recharge area, a wetland buffer area, relocation and enhancement of levee L–31N, a sheetflow delivery system, and other features located adjacent to ENP in Miami-Dade County.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Planning Division, Environmental Branch, P.O. Box 4970, Jacksonville, FL, 32232–0019; Attn: Mr. Ernest Clarke or by telephone at 904–232–1199 or e-mail at *ernest.clarke@saj02.usace.army.mil.*

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

a. *Authorization:* The authority for this project is contained within the Water Resources Development Act (WRDA) 2000.

b. *Study Area:* The study area is along a portion of the L–30 and L–31N canals

located north of structure G–211, south of structure S–335, and west of structure S–380 in Miami-Dade County.

c. *Project Scope:* The scope includes conducting a watershed assessment of the study area and developing alternative plans for reducing seepage from ENP. The assessment will refine project components developed in the Restudy. The evaluation of the alternatives and selection of a recommended plan will be documented in the PIR/EIS. The alternative plans will be reviewed under provisions of appropriate laws and regulations, including the Endangered Species Act, Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, Clean Water Act, and Farmland Protection Policy Act.

d. Preliminary Alternatives: The ENP Seepage Management Project includes three components of the C&SF Restudy: (1) S-356 Structures, (2) L-31N Improvements for Seepage Management, and (3) Bird Drive Recharge Area. The project described in the Restudy includes an aboveground recharge area, a wetland buffer area, relocation and enhancement of levee-canal L-31N, a sheetflow delivery system, and other features located adjacent to ENP in Miami-Dade County. Further formulation will determine the locations, sizes, configurations, and operations of facilities.

e. Issues: The EIS will address the following issues: the relation between this project and related projects including Modified Water Deliveries to ENP; impacts to Miami-Dade West Wellfield and Biscavne Bay, impacts to aquatic and wetland habitats; water flows; hazardous and toxic waste; water quality; flood protection; the impacts of land acquisition on the tax base; aesthetics and recreation; fish and wildlife resources, including protected species; cultural resources; and other impacts identified through scoping, public involvement and interagency coordination.

f. Scoping: A scoping letter and multiple public workshops will be used to invite comments on alternatives and issues from Federal, State, and local agencies, affected Indian tribes, and other interested private organizations and individuals. Additionally, a scoping meeting will be held on March 14, 2006 in Miami-Dade Fire Rescue Auditorium, 9300 NW., 41st Street, Miami, FL 33178. Additional public meetings are anticipated during the study. The exact location, dates, and times of future meetings will be announced in public notices and local newspapers.

g. *DEIS Preparation:* The integrated PIR, including a DEIS, is currently

estimated for publication in September 2008.

Dated: February 10, 2006.

# Marie G. Burns

Chief, Environmental Branch. [FR Doc. 06–1727 Filed 2–23–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–AJ–M

### DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

#### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. IC06-585-000; FERC-585]

#### Commission Information Collection Activities, Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Extension

February 14, 2006. AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

# **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the requirements of section 3506(c)(2)(a) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13), the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) is soliciting public comment on the specific aspects of the information collection described below.

**DATES:** Comments on the collection of information are due April 21, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of sample filings of the proposed collection of information can be obtained from the Commission's Web site (http://www.ferc.gov/docs*filings/elibrary.asp*) or from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Attn: Michael Miller, Office of the Executive Director, ED-34, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426. Comments may be filed either in paper format or electronically. Those parties filing electronically do not need to make a paper filing. For paper filing, the original and 14 copies of such comments should be submitted to the Secretary of the Commission, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426 and refer to Docket No. IC06-585-000.

Documents filed electronically via the Internet must be prepared in WordPerfect, MS Word, Portable Document Format, or ASCII format. To file the document, access the Commission's Web site at *http:// www.ferc.gov* and click on "Make an Efiling", and then follow the instructions for each screen. First time users will have to establish a user name and password. The Commission will send an automatic acknowledgement to the sender's e-mail address upon receipt of comments.