use, diazinon is frequently found in effluents from wastewater treatment plants and in storm water runoff in both urban and agricultural areas. Diazinon is toxic to aquatic life, particularly invertebrates. For these reasons, EPA has developed aquatic life ambient water quality criteria to protect against adverse effects of diazinon.

## C. What Are the National Recommended Water Quality Criteria for Diazinon?

#### Freshwater

Aquatic life should not be affected unacceptably if the:

One-hour average concentration of diazinon does not exceed 0.17 micrograms per liter more than once every three years on the average (Acute Criterion), and

Four-day average concentration of diazinon does not exceed 0.17 micrograms per liter more than once every three years on the average (Chronic Criterion).

# Saltwater

Aquatic life should not be affected unacceptably if the:

One-hour average concentration of diazinon does not exceed 0.82 micrograms per liter more than once every three years on the average (Acute Criterion), and

Four-day average concentration of diazinon does not exceed 0.82 micrograms per liter more than once every three years on the average (Chronic Criterion).

#### D. Why Is EPA Notifying the Public About the Final Diazinon Ambient Water Quality Criteria?

On December 31, 2003, EPA notified the public that draft aquatic life criteria for diazinon were available and solicited scientific views on those criteria (68 FR 75555). Based on data and information submitted, EPA revised the draft criteria and is now making the final aquatic life criteria recommendations. While these criteria recommendations do not, in themselves, impose any requirements, states and authorized tribes can use them to develop water quality standards.

#### E. What New Data and Changes Have Been Included in the Final Criteria Recommendations?

New data on the toxicity of diazinon to the invertebrate species, *Gammarus pseudolimnaeus*, were submitted to EPA during the comment and scientific view period (Hall and Anderson 2004). These new data were reviewed per EPA's *Guidelines for deriving numerical national water quality criteria for the*  protection of aquatic organisms and their uses (Stephan et al., 1985) and found to be acceptable. These data were included in the data set used to derive the final acute freshwater criteria in Table 1 of the final criteria document.

Comparison of the new data for the Gammarus pseudolimnaeus to existing data for another species in the genus Gammarus (Gammarrus faciatus) showed a range in sensitivity between the two species in the genus. Furthermore, the apparent sensitivity of Gammarus faciatus was notably greater than other invertebrate species. Based on these findings, EPA requested a review of the original G. faciatus toxicity test data by the U.S. Geological Survey's (USGS) laboratory where the original testing was conducted. The USGS review of the Gammarus faciatus toxicity test documentation revealed that the acute toxicity values reported for the test, both in the original publication (Johnson and Finley, 1980) and in a subsequent compilation publication (Mayer and Ellersick, 1986) were in error. The USGS advised EPA, in writing, that the acute LC50 for Gammarus faciatus should be reported as 2.0 micrograms per liter, not as 0.2 micrograms per liter (Ingersoll, 2004). This correction in the acute toxicity LC50 for Gammarus faciatus is included in Table 1 of EPA's final criteria document.

The addition of the new toxicity data for *Gammarus pseudolimnaeus* and the change to the toxicity data for *Gammarus faciatus* result in a change in the genus mean acute value (GMAV) for *Gammarus* from 0.2 micrograms per liter to 5.8 micrograms per liter (see Table 1 and 3 in the final criteria document). The new data and correction also change the rank order of the GMAVs (*Gammarus* GMAV rank changes from 1 to 4) and, ultimately, the final recommended acute freshwater criteria value, from 0.10 micrograms per liter to 0.17 micrograms per liter.

Based on scientific views received and additional internal review, EPA also changed the final chronic saltwater criterion. In the draft criteria document, the saltwater Final Chronic Value (FCV), derived using the procedures outlined in the *Guidelines*, was 0.82 micrograms per liter. However, the saltwater FCV value was lowered to the Species Mean Chronic Value (SMCV) of the sheepshead minnow on the basis of it being a commercially or recreationally important species. EPA received scientific views indicating that while sheepshead minnow is an ecologically important species, it is not recognized as recreationally or commercially important. Consideration of these views

resulted in EPA's conclusion that use of the SMCV as the basis of the saltwater criterion is unwarranted. Thus, the final saltwater species four-day average concentration of diazinon is based on the Final Chronic Value calculated by dividing the Final Acute Value (1.64 micrograms per liter) by the Final Acute-Chronic Ratio (2.0). Reconsideration of the sheepshead minnow data changes the final recommended chronic saltwater criteria value from 0.40 micrograms per liter to 0.82 micrograms per liter.

## References

- Hall, L.W. and R.D. Anderson. 2004. Acute Toxicity of Diazinon to the Amphipod, *Gammarus pseudolimnaeus*. University of Maryland, Agricultural Experiment Station, Queenstown, MD.
- Ingersoll, C. 2004. Diazinon toxicity data for *Gammarus fasciatus* reported in Johnson and Finley (1980) and in Mayer and Ellersieck (1986). Letter dated October 5, 2004 from U.S. Department of the Interior to E.V. Ohanian, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC.
- Johnson, W.W. and M.T. Finley. 1980. Handbook of acute toxicity of chemicals to fish and aquatic invertebrates. Resource Publication 137. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC.
- Mayer, F.L. Jr. and M.R. Ellersick, 1986. Manual of acute toxicity: Interpretation and data base for 410 chemicals and 66 species of freshwater animals. Resource Publication No. 160, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC.
- Stephan, C.E., D.I. Mount, D.J. Hansen, J.H. Gentile, G.A. Chapman and W.A. Brungs. 1985. Guidelines for deriving numerical national water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic organisms and their uses. PB85– 227049. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA.

# Dated: February 15, 2006.

Ephraim S. King,

Director, Office of Science and Technology. [FR Doc. E6–2557 Filed 2–22–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### [FRL-OW-8035-8]

# Notice of Availability of Final Aquatic Life Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Nonylphenol

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

#### ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announces the availability of final recommended aquatic life ambient water quality criteria for nonylphenol. The Clean Water Act (CWA) requires EPA to develop and publish, and from time to time revise, criteria for water accurately reflecting the latest scientific knowledge. These criteria provide EPA's recommendations to states and authorized tribes as they establish their water quality standards as state or tribal law or regulation. An EPA water quality criterion does not substitute for CWA or EPA regulations, nor is it a regulation. It does not impose legally binding requirements on the EPA, states, authorized tribes or the regulated community. State and tribal decision makers have discretion to adopt approaches that differ from EPA's guidance on a case-by-case basis. **ADDRESSES:** Copies of the criteria document entitled, Aquatic Life Ambient Water Quality Criteria-Nonylphenol—Final (ĚPA–822–R–05– 005) may be obtained from EPA's Water Resource Center by phone at (202) 566-1729, or by e-mail to *center.water.resource@epa.gov* or by conventional mail to: U.S. EPA Water Resource Center, 4101T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460. You can also download the document from EPA's Web site at http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/ criteria/nonylphenol/.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Frank Gostomski, Health and Ecological Criteria Division (4304T), U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460; (202) 566–1105; gostomski.frank@epa.gov.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# I. General Information

# A. Interested Entities

Entities potentially interested in today's notice are those that produce, use, or regulate nonylphenol. Categories and entities interested in today's notice include:

Category	Examples of interested entities
State/Local/Tribal	States and Tribes.
Government.	Sewage treatment
Nonylphenol Dis-	plants.
chargers.	Producers of
Nonylphenol Users	surfactants.

This table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding the entities likely to be interested in this notice. Other types of entities not listed in the table could also be interested.

#### B. How Can I Get Copies of This Document and Other Related Information?

1. Docket. EPA has established an official public docket for this notice under Docket ID No. OW-2003-0080. The official public docket also consists of the draft criteria document, and scientific views received. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through http:// www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Water Docket in the EPA Docket Center, (EPA/DC) EPA West, Room B102, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA Docket Center Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the Water Docket is (202) 566–2426. To view these documents and materials, please call ahead to schedule an appointment. Every user is entitled to copy 266 pages per day before incurring a charge. The Docket may charge 15 cents a page for each page over the 266-page limit plus an administrative fee of \$25.00.

2. Electronic Access. You may access this **Federal Register** document electronically through the EPA's Internet listings under the **Federal Register** at *http://www.epa.gov/ fedrgstr/.* 

# II. Background and Today's Notice

# A. What Are EPA Recommended Ambient Water Quality Criteria?

An EPA recommended ambient water quality criterion is a level of a pollutant or other measurable substance in water that, when met, will protect aquatic life and/or human health. Section 304 (a) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) requires EPA to develop and publish and, from time to time, revise, recommended ambient water quality criteria to accurately reflect the latest scientific knowledge. Ambient water quality criteria developed under section 304 (a) provide guidance to states and tribes in adopting water quality criteria into their water quality standards under section 303 (c) of the CWA. Once adopted by a state or tribe, the water quality standards are then a basis for developing regulatory controls on the

discharge or release of pollutants and other alterations of water quality. EPA's section 304 (a) criteria also provide a scientific basis for EPA to develop any necessary federal water quality regulations under section 303 (c) of the CWA.

The recommended criteria in today's notice are based on the factors specified in Section 304(a) of the Clean Water Act, including the kind and extent of effects of the pollutant on human health and aquatic organisms. EPA's recommended criteria are used by the states and tribes in developing their regulatory criteria under Section 303(c) of the CWA. Under the Clean Water Act, regulatory criteria must protect the designated use, independent of the economic and technical feasibility of meeting the criteria. Economic and technical feasibility factors are considered by states and tribes when they adopt designated uses into their water quality standards under Section 303(c) of the Act and when states, tribes, and EPA consider variance requests for regulatory controls. Moreover, states and tribes may also consider alternative scientifically-defensible approaches to adopting criteria into their water quality standards.

## B. What Is Nonylphenol and Why Are We Concerned About It?

Nonylphenol is an organic chemical used primarily as an intermediate to produce nonionic surfactants of the nonylphenol ethoxylate type. It is produced in large quantities in the United States. It is toxic to aquatic organisms and is found in ambient waters. Environmental exposure occurs mainly from its release as a breakdown product from industrial and domestic sewage treatment plant effluents. Nonylphenol is moderately soluble and resistant to natural degradation in water. Because of nonylphenol's toxicity, chemical properties, and widespread use as a chemical intermediate, concerns have been raised over the potential risks to aquatic organisms posed by exposure to it. For these reasons, EPA has developed ambient water quality criteria for nonylphenol.

#### *C.* What Are the National Recommended Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Nonylphenol?

Freshwater: Aquatic life should not be affected unacceptably if the:

One-hour average concentration of nonylphenol does not exceed  $28 \ \mu g/L$ more than once every three years on the average (Criteria Maximum Concentration (CMC) or Acute Criterion); and Four-day average concentration of nonylphenol does not exceed 6.6 μg/L more than once every three years on the average (Criteria Continuous Concentration (CCC) or Chronic Criterion).

Saltwater: Aquatic life should not be affected unacceptably if the:

One-hour average concentration of nonylphenol does not exceed 7.0 µg/L more than once every three years on the average (Criteria Maximum Concentration (CMC) or Acute Criterion); and Four-day average concentration of nonylphenol does not exceed 1.7 µg/L more than once every three years on the average (Criteria Continuous Concentration (CCC) or Chronic Criterion).

D. Why Is EPA Notifying the Public About the Final Aquatic Life Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Nonylphenol and How Did the Criteria Change?

Today, EPA is notifying the public that the final aquatic life ambient water quality criteria for nonvlphenol are available. In a separate Federal Register on January 5, 2004 (69 FR 340), EPA notified the public that draft aquatic life ambient water quality criteria for nonylphenol were available and solicited scientific input. Based on the information and data submitted, EPA revised the draft criteria and is now making the final aquatic life ambient water quality criteria for nonylphenol available to the public. The freshwater acute criterion of 28 µg/L did not change. Recalculation of the final acute/ chronic ratio for nonylphenol resulted in changing the final freshwater chronic criterion from 5.9  $\mu$ g/L to 6.6  $\mu$ g/L. The saltwater acute criterion changed from 6.7 μg/L to 7.0 μg/L. The saltwater chronic criterion changed from 1.4 µg/ L to 1.7 µg/L.

#### E. What Other Activities Is EPA Engaged in Related to Nonylphenol?

As part of its Environmental Stewardship program, EPA is developing the Safer Detergents Stewardship Initiative (SDSI). The SDSI would help ensure the health, safety, and vitality of U.S. waters by encouraging the development, manufacture, and use of safer detergents.

The Agency is initiating this new program, in part, because of the increasing levels of nonylphenol in certain receiving streams, which appear to correlate with increasing production and use of nonylphenol ethoxylate surfactants. By encouraging the manufacture and use of safer surfactants, the SDSI aims to reduce the quantity of nonylphenol ethoxylates discharged to ambient waters. The SDSI and the recommended ambient water quality criteria for nonylphenol complement one another as components in EPA's efforts to protect U.S. waters.

SDSI is cosponsored by the Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances (OPPTS) and the Office of Water (OW). To learn more about the SDSI, visit http://www.epa.gov/dfe/ or for further information, contact David DiFiore, Economics, Exposure and Technology Division (7406M), U.S. EPA, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; (202) 564–8796; difiore.david@epa.gov.

Dated: February 16, 2006.

#### Ephraim S. King,

Director, Office of Science and Technology. [FR Doc. E6–2558 Filed 2–22–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

## Office of the Comptroller of the Currency

[Docket No. 05-21]

#### FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

[Docket No. OP-1246]

# FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

#### Office of Thrift Supervision

[No. 2005-56]

#### NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

## Interagency Guidance on Nontraditional Mortgage Products; Extension of Comment Period

**AGENCIES:** Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Treasury (OCC); Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board); Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC); Office of Thrift Supervision, Treasury (OTS); and National Credit Union Administration (NCUA).

**ACTION:** Proposed guidance; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** On December 29, 2005, the OCC, Board, FDIC, OTS, and NCUA (the Agencies) published for public comment proposed Interagency Guidance on Nontraditional Mortgage Products (Guidance). The Agencies are extending the comment period on the proposed guidance for 30 days. **DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before March 29, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** The Agencies will jointly review all of the comments submitted.

Therefore, interested parties may send comments to any of the Agencies and need not send comments (or copies) to all of the Agencies. Please consider submitting your comments by e-mail or fax since paper mail in the Washington area and at the Agencies is subject to delay. Interested parties are invited to submit comments to:

**OCC:** You should include "OCC" and Docket Number 05–21 in your comment. You may submit your comment by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: *http://www.regulations.gov*. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

• OCC Web site: *http:// www.occ.treas.gov.* Click on "Contact the OCC," scroll down and click on

- "Comments on Proposed Regulations." • E-Mail Address:
- regs.comments@occ.treas.gov.
  - Fax: (202) 874–4448.

• Mail: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, 250 E Street, SW., Mail Stop 1–5, Washington, DC 20219.

• Hand Delivery/Courier: 250 E Street, SW., Attn: Public Information Room, Mail Stop 1–5, Washington, DC 20219.

*Instructions:* All submissions received must include the agency name (OCC) and docket number for this notice. In general, the OCC will enter all comments received into the docket without change, including any business or personal information that you provide.

You may review comments and other related materials by any of the following methods:

• Viewing Comments Personally: You may personally inspect and photocopy comments at the OCC's Public Information Room, 250 E Street, SW., Washington, DC. You can make an appointment to inspect comments by calling (202) 874–5043.

• Viewing Comments Electronically: You may request that we send you an electronic copy of comments via e-mail or mail you a CD–ROM containing electronic copies by contacting the OCC at regs.comments@occ.treas.gov.

• Docket Information: You may also request available background documents and project summaries using the methods described above.

**Board:** You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. OP–1246, by any of the following methods:

• Agency Web site: http:// www.federalreserve.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments at http://www.federalreserve.gov/ generalinfo/foia/ProposedRegs.cfm.

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: *http://www.regulations.gov.* Follow the instructions for submitting comments.