

the argument (1) a statement of the issue, (2) a brief summary of the argument, and (3) a table of authorities. Any interested party may request a hearing within 14 days of publication of this notice. See 19 CFR 351.310(c). Any hearing, if requested, may be held 22 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first working day thereafter, as practicable.

Consistent with section 351.216(e) of the Department's regulations, we will issue the final results of this changed circumstances review not later than 270 days after the date on which this review was initiated.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(b)(1) and 771(i)(1) of the Act and sections 351.216 and 351.222 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: February 8, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6-2087 Filed 2-13-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration, North American Free-Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of decision of panel.

SUMMARY: On February 8, 2006, the binational panel issued its decision in the review of the final determination made by the International Trade Administration, respecting Oil Country Tubular Goods from Mexico Final Results of Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order, Secretariat File No. USA-MEX-2001-1904-03. The binational panel remanded the redetermination on remand to the International Trade Administration. Copies of the panel decision are available from the U.S. Section of the NAFTA Secretariat.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Caratina L. Alston, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of the final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA

country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews* ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686). The panel review in this matter has been conducted in accordance with these Rules.

Panel Decision: The Panel concluded and ordered the Department as follows:

The Department is directed to determine whether the decrease in the magnitude of TAMSA's foreign currency denominated debt in the sunset review period outweighs the "likelihood" presumption that results from the decrease in TAMSA's post-order exports.

If the Department determines that the lower level of TAMSA's foreign currency denominated debt does not outweigh the "likelihood" presumption that results from the decrease in TAMSA's post-order exports, the Department is directed to explain the reasons leading to its determination.

If the Department determines that the lower level of TAMSA's foreign currency denominated debt in fact outweighs the "likelihood" presumption that results from the decrease in TAMSA's post-order exports, the Department is directed to enter a finding of no likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping.

The Department is further directed to issue its Final Redetermination on Remand within twenty days from the date of this Panel Decision.

The Department was directed to report the results of its remand decision within 20 days of the date of the opinion, or not later than February 28, 2006.

Dated: February 8, 2006.

Caratina L. Alston,

United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.
[FR Doc. E6-2073 Filed 2-13-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-GT-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Announcing a National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program Workshop for Laboratories Interested in the NIST Personal Identity Verification Program (NPIVP)

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public workshop.

SUMMARY: The National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) and National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Personal Identity Verification Program (NPIVP) will hold a public workshop on March 3, 2006, at NIST headquarters in Gaithersburg, MD. The purpose of the workshop is the exchange of information among NVLAP, laboratories interested in seeking accreditation for the testing of Personal Identity Verification (PIV) components, vendors interested in having their product NPIVP-certified and Federal agencies seeking NPIVP certified products. The workshop will also review the mandates of Homeland Security Presidential Directive (HSPD) 12, as well as Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 201, Standard for Personal Identity Verification of Federal Employees and Contractors, and the associated Special Publications (SP) in general and more specific in relation to NVLAP, interested laboratories, vendors and Federal agencies. The results of the workshop discussions will be used in the development of the NVLAP Personal Identity Verification (PIV) Laboratory Accreditation Program (PIV LAP).

There is no charge for the workshop; however, because of security regulations, advance registration is mandatory. There will be no on-site, same-day registration. The registration deadline is Monday, February 27, 2006. A registration form can be found at <http://csrc.nist.gov/npivp/>. Please e-mail the registration to npivp@nist.gov or fax the registration form with your name, address, telephone, fax and e-mail address to (301) 948-2067 (Attn: Hildegard Ferraiolo) no later than February 27, 2006.

DATES: The workshop will be held on Friday, March 3, 2006, from 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The workshop will be held in the Administrative Building (Building 101), Lecture Room A, National Institute of Standards and

Technology, 100 Bureau Drive, Gaithersburg, MD.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Hildy Ferraiolo, (301) 975-6972, e-mail: hildegrard.ferraiolo@nist.gov or Erika McCallister, (301) 975-3390, e-mail: erika.mccallister@nist.gov. Their mailing address is: National Institute of Standards and Technology, 100 Bureau Drive, Mail Stop 8930, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8930. Information regarding NVLAP and the accreditation process can also be viewed at <http://www.nist.gov/nvlap>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In response to Homeland Security Presidential Directive (HSPD) 12, the NIST Computer Security Division initiated a new program for improving the identification and authentication of Federal employees and contractors for access to Federal facilities and information systems. Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) 201, entitled Personal Identity Verification (PIV) of Federal Employees and Contractors, was developed to satisfy the requirements of HSPD 12, approved by the Secretary of Commerce, and issued on February 25, 2005.

To meet the interoperability requirements as specified in HSPD-12 and implemented in FIPS 201 and associated Special Publications (SP), NIST has established the NIST Personal Identity Verification Program (NPIVP) to certify interoperable Personal Identity Verification (PIV) components and sub-systems.

In furtherance of NPIVP, the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) is establishing a program for laboratories that test PIV components and sub-systems for conformance to the interoperability requirements of FIPS 201 and associated special publications.

NVLAP accreditation criteria are established in accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations (15 CFR part 285), NVLAP Procedures and General Requirements. Laboratories conducting this testing will be required to meet ISO/IEC International Standard 17025, general requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories. For each new laboratory accreditation program (LAP), NVLAP works with the affected testing community to develop program-specific technical requirements. These requirements tailor the general accreditation criteria referenced in Sections 4 and 5 of NIST Handbook 150 to the test and services in the new LAP. Program-specific requirements include the details of the scope of accreditation, test and measurement equipment,

personnel requirements, validation of test methods, and reporting of test results.

Authority: This work effort is being initiated pursuant to NIST's responsibilities under the Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002.

Dated: February 8, 2006.

William Jeffrey,

Director.

[FR Doc. E6-2049 Filed 2-13-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-CN-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 020806D]

North Pacific Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of a public meeting.

SUMMARY: The North Pacific Fishery Management Council's (Council) Halibut Stakeholder Committee will meet in Anchorage, AK.

DATES: The meeting will be held on February 27-28, 2006.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Anchorage Hilton Hotel, 500 West 3rd Avenue, Birch-Willow Room, Anchorage, AK 99501.

Council address: North Pacific Fishery Management Council, 605 W. 4th Ave., Suite 306, Anchorage, AK 99501-2252.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jane DiCosimo, Council staff, telephone: (907) 271-2809.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Halibut Stakeholder Committee will develop two alternatives for the long term management of the halibut charter fishery. The alternatives would be considered by the North Pacific Council at its April 5-11, 2006 meeting. The committee will identify common principles and goals to develop a problem statement and define two alternatives for a future analysis. One alternative would be an allocation based program. Elements to be included in the plan should include, but not be limited to: (1) A percentage based allocation that would float up and down with abundance in a fashion similar to the commercial longline Total Allowable Catch (TAC); (2) Subdivision of Area 2C and 3A into smaller geographic sub-districts, including time certain establishment of local area management

plans (LAMPs) and super-exclusive registration areas; (3) Management measures that will be used to enforce the allocation, including: a) the current suite of measures to reduce harvests under the Guideline Harvest Level (GHL) (i.e., one trip per vessel per day, no harvest by skipper and crew, and annual limit of 5 or 6 fish per person (for Area 2C only)); (b) Measures being pursued by the State of Alaska in 2006, including: (i) a halibut reporting requirement in charter boat logbooks with methodology to ensure accuracy; (ii) a proposed regulation to the Board of Fish to prohibit retention or harvest of fish by skipper and crew members when clients are on board; and (iii) limit the number of lines fished to the number of clients; (c) Other annual bag limits; (d) Limitations on days fished (either total number of days or by excluding specific days of the week); (e) Reduced daily limits including size limitations for the second fish caught; (f) Subtraction of any allocation exceedence from the following year's allocation; (g) Federal moratorium or control date of December 9, 2006 and/or a State limited entry program with delayed transferability; (h) Mechanisms which, if the charter harvest continues to grow, would allow for an orderly and compensated allocation shift from the longline sector to the charter sector, including the use of a charter stamp, which would generate funds to pay for management of the charter fishery and to buy longline shares to be converted into the charter allocation; (i) Exploration of delegation of some management aspects of the halibut sport fishery, including the charter sector, to the State of Alaska.

A second alternative would be a modified Individual Fishing Quota (IFQ) program, including, but not be limited to: (1) The elements of the previously proposed (2001) charter IFQ program; (2) A modified IFQ program, including, but not be limited to, addressing potential legal vulnerabilities that may exist in the 2001 IFQ program. Such approaches might include a "leveling" plan, other effort based mechanisms to update 1998 and 1999 history, new history approaches, an effort based transferable seat program, or other options; (3) Subdivision of Area 2C and 3A into smaller geographic sub-districts, including time certain establishment of LAMPs; (4) The use of a moratorium or control date of December 9, 2006; and (5) Other elements to be identified by the committee.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in this agenda may come before this group for discussion, those