

non-market economy producer purchases an input from market economy suppliers and pays for that input in a market economy currency, the Department normally uses the actual price paid for these inputs, where possible. See 19 CFR 351.408(c)(1). Because Shanghai Fortune provided sufficient documentation on the record of this review demonstrating that the phthalic anhydride used was sourced from a market economy and paid for in a market economy currency, we are using the actual average price paid by Shanghai Fortune for this input for the final results. For further details, see Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 3, and Memorandum to the File From Jennifer Moats Through Wendy Frankel "Analysis for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Saccharin from the People's Republic of China: Shanghai Fortune Chemical Co., Ltd. ("Shanghai Fortune Final Analysis Memo")," dated February 6, 2006, which is available in the CRU in Room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

Since our issuance of the *Preliminary Results*, we have reviewed our calculations of surrogate values and found some to contain clerical errors, which we have corrected for the *Final Results*. These values are for the products sulphuric acid, hydrochloric acid, sodium bicarbonate, sodium hypochlorite, cardboard drums and cartons, inner plastic bags, plastic film, and pallets. For further details, see "Factors Valuations for the Final Results of the Administrative Review," dated February 6, 2006.

For further information detailing all of the changes to Shanghai Fortune's calculations in the final results, see Shanghai Fortune Final Analysis Memo.

Final Results of the Review

The Department has determined that the following final dumping margins exist for the period December 27, 2002, through June 30, 2004:

SACCHARIN FROM THE PRC

Producer/Manufacturer/ Exporter	Weighted-Average Margin (Percent)
Shanghai Fortune Chemical Co., Ltd.	17.05%
PRC-Wide Entity ²	329.33%

²The PRC-wide entity includes: Suzhou Fine Chemicals Group Co., Kaifeng Xinghua Fine Chemical Factory, Tianjin North Food, Tianjin Changjie Chemical Co., Ltd., and Beta Udyog Ltd.

The Department will disclose calculations performed for these final results to the parties within five days of

the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Duty Assessment and Cash-Deposit Requirements

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of the final results of this review. For assessment purposes, we calculated exporter/importer (or customer)-specific assessment rates or values for merchandise subject to this review. Because Shanghai Fortune reported entered values, for these final results, we divided the total dumping margins for the reviewed sales by the total entered value for the reviewed sales for each applicable importer. For duty-assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting percentage margin against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise on each of the applicable importer's/customer's entries during the review period.

Further, the following cash-deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results of the administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For subject merchandise exported by Shanghai Fortune, the cash-deposit rate will be 17.05 percent; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above that have separate rates, the cash-deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all other PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash-deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 329.33 percent; (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification of Interested Parties

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the review period. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.402(f)(3), failure to comply

with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO as explained in the administrative protective order itself. See 19 CFR 351.306. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. See 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of administrative review and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: February 6, 2006.

David M. Spooner,
Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.

Appendix 1

Decision Memorandum

1. Bona Fides
2. By-Product Offset
3. Valuation of Phthalic Anhydride
4. Valuation of Brokerage and Handling
5. Valuation of Ammonia Water
6. Valuation of Liquid Chlorine
7. Valuation of Sulfur Dioxide
8. Valuation of Ocean Freight
9. Valuation of Steam Coal
10. Valuation of Activated Carbon

[FR Doc. E6-1985 Filed 2-10-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-806]

Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Silicon Metal from Brazil

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 8, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the order on silicon metal from Brazil. See *Silicon Metal from Brazil: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 45665 (August 8, 2005) ("Preliminary Results"). This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the

subject merchandise, Camargo Correa Metais (CCM). The merchandise covered by this order is silicon metal from Brazil as described in the "Scope of the Order" section of this notice. The period of review ("POR") is July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2004. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based upon our analysis of the comments received, we made changes to the margin calculation. Therefore, the final results have changed from the preliminary results of this review. The final weight-averaged dumping margin is listed below in the section titled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 13, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maisha Cryor, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5831.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department's preliminary results of review were published on August 8, 2005. See *Preliminary Results*. As provided in section 782(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), we verified sales and cost information provided by CCM, from September 12, 2005, through September 23, 2005, using standard verification procedures such as the examination of relevant sales and financial records. Our verification results are outlined in the public and proprietary versions of our verification reports, which are on file in the Central Records Unit ("CRU") in room B-099 of the main Commerce building. We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results* and our verification findings. We received written comments on November 14, 2005, from Globe Metallurgical (the petitioner). On December 9, 2005, we received rebuttal comments from CCM, the respondent. On January 26, 2006, the Department held a public hearing concerning these final results. The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is silicon metal from Brazil containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Also covered by this order is silicon metal from Brazil containing between 89.00 and 96.00 percent silicon by weight but which contains more aluminum than the silicon metal

containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Silicon metal is currently provided for under subheadings 2804.69.10 and 2804.69.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") as a chemical product, but is commonly referred to as a metal. Semiconductor grade silicon (silicon metal containing by weight not less than 99.99 percent silicon and provided for in subheading 2804.61.00 of the HTSUS) is not subject to the order. Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs, as well as the Department's findings, in this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Administrative Review of Silicon Metal from Brazil ("Decision Memorandum"), dated February 6, 2006, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues raised, all of which we have responded to in the Decision Memorandum, is appended to this notice. The Decision Memorandum is on file in the CRU in room B-099 of the main Commerce building, and can also be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the calculations for the final dumping margin. The changes are discussed in detail in the Decision Memorandum. Additional detail regarding these changes is provided in the Memorandum from Maisha Cryor, Senior International Trade Compliance Analyst, to Thomas F. Futtner, Acting Office Director, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Silicon Metal from Brazil; Calculation Memorandum for the Final Results," dated February 6, 2006, and the Memorandum from Michael P. Harrison, Senior Accountant, to Neal M. Halper, Director, Office of Accounting, "Cost of Production and Constructed Value Calculation Adjustments for the Final Results," dated February 6, 2005.

Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine that the following weighted-average margin exists for the period July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2004:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Weighted- Average Margin (Percentage)
Camargo Correa Metais	0.00 percent

Assessment Rates

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, pursuant to section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b). The Department calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales for each importer to the total entered value of the examined sales for that importer. Where the importer-specific assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on that importer's entries of subject merchandise produced by CCM. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of these final results of review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of silicon metal from Brazil entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided by section 751(a) of the Act: (1) for CCM we will instruct CBP not to collect cash deposits; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in the investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate from the most recently completed review; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will be 91.06 percent, the "All Others" rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant

entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred, and in the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also is the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 3, 2006.

David M. Spooner,
Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.

APPENDIX – Issues in Decision Memorandum

I. Programa de Integracao Social and Contribuicao do Financiamento Social Taxes

II. Per-Unit Cost Calculation

III. General & Administrative Expense/
Ratio

IV. Financial Expenses

V. Depreciation of Deferred Charges for
Restarting Idled Furnaces

VI. Depreciation of Idled Assets

VII. Taxes Included in Constructed
Value

[FR Doc. E6-1987 Filed 2-10-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-831]

Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Taiwan; Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 9, 2005, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results and partial rescission of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils

from Taiwan. This review covers 16 manufacturers/exporters. The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2004.

We provided interested parties with an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results of review. After analyzing the comments received, we made changes to the margin calculations for two respondents, Chia Far Industrial Factory Co., Ltd. (Chia Far) and Yieh United Steel Corporation (YUSCO). Therefore, the final results of review differ from the preliminary results of review. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 13, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Melissa Blackledge or Karine Gziryan, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3518 or (202) 482-4081, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The following events occurred after the Department published the preliminary results of the instant administrative review in the **Federal Register**. See *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Taiwan: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 46137 (August 9, 2005) (*Preliminary Results*). In response to the Department's invitation to comment on the *Preliminary Results*, the petitioners¹ filed case briefs on September 8, 2005, and September 12, 2005. Chia Far filed case brief on September 12, 2005. YUSCO filed rebuttal brief on September 13, 2005, while the petitioners and Chia Far filed rebuttal brief on September 19, 2005. On November 16, 2005, the Department extended the time limit for completing the final results of review until February 5, 2006. See *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Taiwan: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 70 FR 69514 (November 16, 2005).

Period of Review

The POR is July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2004.

¹ The petitioners are Allegheny Ludlum, AK Steel Corporation, Butler Armco Independent Union, J&L Specialty Steel, Inc., United Steelworks of America, AFL-CIO/CLC, and Zanesville Armco Independent Organization (collectively, "petitioners").

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are certain stainless steel sheet and strip in coils. Stainless steel is an alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject sheet and strip is a flat-rolled product in coils that is greater than 9.5 mm in width and less than 4.75 mm in thickness, and that is annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled. The subject sheet and strip may also be further processed (*e.g.*, cold-rolled, polished, aluminized, coated, *etc.*) provided that it maintains the specific dimensions of sheet and strip following such processing.

The merchandise subject to the order is classified in the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTS) at subheadings: 7219.13.0031, 7219.13.0051, 7219.13.0071, 7219.1300.81², 7219.14.0030, 7219.14.0065, 7219.14.0090, 7219.32.0005, 7219.32.0020, 7219.32.0025, 7219.32.0035, 7219.32.0036, 7219.32.0038, 7219.32.0042, 7219.32.0044, 7219.33.0005, 7219.33.0020, 7219.33.0025, 7219.33.0035, 7219.33.0036, 7219.33.0038, 7219.33.0042, 7219.33.0044, 7219.34.0005, 7219.34.0020, 7219.34.0025, 7219.34.0030, 7219.34.0035, 7219.35.0005, 7219.35.0015, 7219.35.0030, 7219.35.0035, 7219.90.0010, 7219.90.0020, 7219.90.0025, 7219.90.0060, 7219.90.0080, 7220.12.1000, 7220.12.5000, 7220.20.1010, 7220.20.1015, 7220.20.1060, 7220.20.1080, 7220.20.6005, 7220.20.6010, 7220.20.6015, 7220.20.6060, 7220.20.6080, 7220.20.7005, 7220.20.7010, 7220.20.7015, 7220.20.7060, 7220.20.7080, 7220.20.8000, 7220.20.9030, 7220.20.9060, 7220.90.0010, 7220.90.0015, 7220.90.0060, and 7220.90.0080. Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the merchandise under the order is dispositive.

Excluded from the scope of the order are the following: (1) sheet and strip that is not annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled, (2) sheet and strip that is cut to length, (3) plate (*i.e.*, flat-rolled stainless steel

² Due to changes to the HTS numbers in 2001, 7219.13.0030, 7219.13.0050, 7219.13.0070, and 7219.13.0080 are now 7219.13.0031, 7219.13.0051, 7219.13.0071, and 7219.13.0081, respectively.