

quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**Proposed Project: Social Network Analysis of a Service System for Transition Aged Youth—New**

SAMHSA's, Center for Mental Health Services will seek information about the change in the network of social services in one community, Clark County Washington, as a result of a Center for Mental Health Services funded grant initiative, the Options Program. The Options program was one of 5 funded sites across the country. Each site received four years of funding to build comprehensive supports that help adolescents with serious emotional disturbance and their families make the difficult transition from adolescent to adult functioning through the age of 25.

This grant program, called the Partnerships for Youth Transition, aims to remediate some of the most difficult system barriers that interfere with transition system building by providing community leaders and advocates funding for direct services and infrastructure building, technical assistance to help shape the vision, and time to establish programs and interagency relationships. Since no single site in the country has ever successfully built a transition support system we do not know whether combining the resources of this grant, with the resources of the community are sufficient to make significant strides in transition system building. It is imperative to answer this question systematically and rigorously in order to guide future efforts.

There have been 110 agencies identified in Clark County that could potentially serve youth or young adults with serious mental, emotional and behavioral disorders. This study will

conduct network analysis by interviewing one key informant from each of these programs about their organization's professional relationship with other social services. The Social Network Questionnaire was previously developed for use in several studies in mental health and homeless services. Questions focus on aspects of professional relationship such as how often clients are referred to another agency and how often staff meet for client planning purposes with staff from another agency, as well as some background information about the agency and the quality of services offered. An additional 10 items focus on whether the program is following guidelines for exemplary practice with transition aged youth. Findings will be compared to data collected prior to program initiation.

The following table summarizes the estimated response burden for this project.

Respondent	Number of respondents	Responses/ respondent	Total responses	Hours per response	Total hour burden
Key informants from social services in Clark County .....	110	1	110	1.25	137.5

Send comments to Summer King, SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer, Room 7-1044, One Choke Cherry Road, Rockville, MD 20857. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Dated: January 27, 2006.  
**Anna Marsh,**  
*Executive Officer, SAMHSA.*  
 [FR Doc. E6-1561 Filed 2-3-06; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4162-20-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

**Federal Emergency Management Agency**

**Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Federal Emergency Management Agency, Department of Homeland Security.  
**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Emergency Management Agency, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on a proposed revision of a

currently approved information collection. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice seeks comments concerning the National Urban Search and Rescue (US&R) grant program.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 303 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (Stafford Act), 42 U.S.C. 5144, authorizes the President of the United States to form emergency Support teams of Federal personnel to be deployed in an area affected by a major disaster or emergency. Under Section 403(a)(3)(B) of the Stafford Act provides that the President may authorize Federal agencies to perform work on public or private lands essential to save lives and protect property, including search and rescue and emergency medical care, and other essential needs. FEMA established the National Urban Search and Rescue Response System (US&R) under these authorities. The President amended E.O. 121448 to transfer the FEMA Director's delegated authority to the Secretary of Homeland Security.

**Collection of Information**

*Title:* National Urban Search and Rescue Program Agreement, Application, Reporting, and Audit Requirement.

*Type of Information Collection:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*OMB Number:* 1660-0073.  
*Form Numbers:* None.  
*Abstract:* The information collection activity is the collection of financial, program and administrative information for US&R Sponsoring Organizations relating to preparedness and response grant awards. This information includes a narrative statement that FEMA uses to evaluate a grantee's proposed use of funds, progress reports to monitor overall progress on managing FEMA grant program, extension or change requests used to consider changing or extending the time or the performance period of the preparedness or response cooperative agreement and a memorandum of agreement between DHS/FEMA and the Sponsoring Organizations of US&R task forces as described below.

*Narrative Statement:* FEMA uses narrative statements to evaluate a grantee's proposed use of funds. Examples of information a grantee needs to provide FEMA for preparedness and response cooperative agreements are a description of the types of eligible activities the grantee will undertake, a plan for expending and monitoring funds, and an estimate of the percentage or amount of funds the grantee will pass through to sub-grantees. Sponsoring

Organizations make this information available to FEMA only when we request it. If a Sponsoring Organization has remaining preparedness or response cooperation agreement funds after completing specific disaster or preparedness work, we will require a second narrative statement describing the grantee's proposed use of the remaining funds.

**Progress Reports:** FEMA program officers use progress reports to monitor overall progress on managing FEMA

grant programs. We do not prescribe a particular format; however, we ensure that the OMB standard elements outlined in the common rule, 44 CFR part 13, are in any report or suggested format.

**Extension or Change Requests:** Grantees that want FEMA to consider changing or extending the time or the performance period of the preparedness or response cooperative agreement will need to request such changes or extensions in writing. FEMA will use

the information to ensure that the Sponsoring Organization spends funds consistent with the intent of the appropriations an in accordance with applicable laws and guidance. This type of information is available to FEMA only when we request it.

**Affected Public:** State, local, or tribal government.

**Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:**

**ANNUAL BURDEN HOURS**

Project/activity (survey, form(s), focus group, etc.)	No. of respondents (A)	Frequency of responses (B)	Burden hours per respondent (C)	Annual responses (A x B)	Total annual burden hours (A x B x C)
Narrative Statement .....	28	2	4	56	224
Progress Reports .....	28	2	2	56	112
Extension or Change Requests .....	5	1	1	5	5
Memorandum of Agreements .....	28	1	4	28	112
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>453</b>

**Estimated Cost:** The average cost for each respondent would be approximately \$660. This would include the burden hour costs for extensions or change requests, revisions to existing memorandum of agreements and progress reports.

**Comments:** Written comments are solicited to: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed data collection is necessary for the proper performance of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. Comments must be submitted on or before April 7, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons should submit written comments to Chief, Records Management Section, Information Resources Management Branch, Information Technology Services Division, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW., Room 316, Washington, DC 20472.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Contact Wanda Casey, Program Specialist, National Urban Search and Rescue Program, (202) 646-4013 for

additional information. You may contact the Records Management Branch for copies of the proposed collection of information at facsimile number (202) 646-3347 or e-mail address: [FEMA-Information-Collections@dhs.gov](mailto:FEMA-Information-Collections@dhs.gov).

Dated: January 31, 2006.

**George S. Trotter,**  
Acting Branch Chief, Information Resources Management Branch, Information Technology Services Division.

[FR Doc. E6-1565 Filed 2-3-06; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 9110-69-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

**Transportation Security Administration**

**Extension Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review: Corporate Security Review (CSR)**

**AGENCY:** Transportation Security Administration (TSA), DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces that TSA has forwarded the new Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval of an extension of the currently approved collection under the Paperwork Reduction Act. The ICR describes the nature of information collection and its expected burden. TSA published a **Federal Register** notice, with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments, of the following collection of

information on October 2, 2005, 70 FR 66454. In response to this notice, TSA received comments from NiSource, a natural gas pipeline company operating in several states.

**DATES:** Send your comments by March 8, 2006. A comment to OMB is most effective if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be faxed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: DHS-TSA Desk Officer, at (202) 395-5806.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Katrina Wawer, Information Collection Specialist, Office of Transportation Security Policy, TSA-9, Transportation Security Administration, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 22202-4220.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Comments Invited**

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information, unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Therefore, in preparation for OMB review and approval of the following information collection, TSA is soliciting comments to—

- (1) Evaluate whether the proposed information requirement is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden;