• Coordinating trail management among Federal, tribal, State, and local governmental agencies.

More specifically, issues related to the themes identified above include possible conflicts between off-highway vehicle use, energy development, and trail site and segment preservation, protection, and appropriate use, and conflicts between existing uses, future uses, and the preservation of trail viewsheds through visual resource management. Any additional issues to be resolved through the plan will be identified during the public scoping period.

An initial list of affected jurisdictions, interest groups, business, and landowners has been developed. A large mailing list has been generated by BLM and NPS that will be updated as the process continues. The mailing list will include all interested individuals, groups, and agencies that have participated in the process. Those who have participated in meetings or made written comments through the mail or the internet will be tracked throughout the process. Public participation elements will include, but not be limited to, public notices and press releases; newsletters and a project web page; public meetings (scoping, alternative development, and review of draft EIS); and depositories for public document review.

Nearly 50 sovereign Indian Nations have expressed an affiliation with or an interest in the Old Spanish Trail. The trail planning effort will include full tribal participation and consultation throughout the process; a point of contact for tribal consultation will be designated to coordinate with American Indian constituencies during development of the CMP.

The BLM and the NPS are committed to a collaborative planning approach in the development of the CMP. The plan development will involve other Federal agencies, including the U.S. Forest Service, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Bureau of Reclamation, the Army Corps of Engineers, and the Department of Defense; American Indian Tribes and pueblos; State agencies in California, Arizona, Nevada, Utah, Colorado, and New Mexico, including Departments of Natural Resources, Transportation, Historic Preservation, and Parks; and county and municipal governmental agencies. Stakeholders and special interest groups, including private landowners, lessees, and permit holders, recreation groups, trail alliances and associations, museums and interpretive facilities, visitor services groups, historical societies, and scenic and back country

byway organizations will be invited to participate in the development of the CMP. The BLM and NPS will work collaboratively with interested parties to identify alternatives that are best suited to local, regional, and national interests.

Dated: November 22, 2005.

Michael D. Snyder,

Acting Director, Intermountain Region National Park Service.

Dated: October 2, 2005.

Linda S.C. Rundell,

BLM State Director, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas, Kansas.

Dated: October 28, 2005.

Ron Wenker, BLM State Director, Nevada.

Dated: October 31, 2005. Sally Wisely,

BLM State Director, Colorado.

Dated: November 2, 2005. Gene Terland, BLM State Director, Utah.

Dated: October 31, 2005.

Mike Pool, BLM State Director, California.

Dated: November 2, 2005.

Elaine Y. Zielinski, BLM State Director, Arizona. [FR Doc. 06–399 Filed 1–17–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–FB–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–451 (Second Review)]

Gray Portland Cement and Cement Clinker From Mexico

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determination to conduct a full five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and cement clinker from Mexico.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and cement clinker from Mexico would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts, A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: Effective Janaury 6, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Messer (202–205–3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitic.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On

January 6, 2006, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that both the domestic and respondent interested party group responses to its notice of institution (70 FR 57617, October 3, 2005) were adequate. A record of the Commission's votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: January 12, 2006.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 06–444 Filed 1–17–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–M