on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD ROM the specific information that is CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes any information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket. If you submit the copy that does not contain CBI on disk or CD ROM, mark the outside of the disk or CD ROM clearly that it does not contain CBI. Information not marked as CBI will be included in the public docket and EPA's electronic public docket without prior notice. If you have any questions about CBI or the procedures for claiming CBI, please consult the person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

# *E. What Should I Consider as I Prepare My Comments for EPA?*

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:

1. Explain your views as clearly as possible.

2. Describe any assumptions that you used.

3. Provide any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.

4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at your estimate.

5. Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns.

6. Offer alternatives.

7. Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

8. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, identify the appropriate docket ID number in the subject line on the first page of your response. It would also be helpful if you provided the name, date, and **Federal Register** citation related to your comments.

# **II. Background**

## A. What Action is the Agency Taking?

EPA has, on November 30, 2005, reached a tolerance reassessment decision for 1 existing tolerance or residue limit for bitertanol on bananas. Bitertanol is a broad-spectrum fungicide used to control black sigatoka on banana and plantain plants. The existing tolerance for bitertanol allows for residues on imported bananas and plantains, as there are no U.S. registrations for bitertanol. The Agency is now issuing for comment the resulting Report on Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) Tolerance Reassessment Progress and Risk Management Decision for bitertanol, known as a TRED, as well as related risk assessments and technical support documents.

EPA developed the bitertanol TRED through a modified, streamlined version of its public process for making tolerance reassessment and reregistration eligibility decisions. Through these programs, the Agency is ensuring that pesticides meet current standards under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA) and the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended by FQPA. EPA must review tolerances and tolerance exemptions that were in effect when the FQPA was enacted to ensure that these existing pesticide residue limits for food and feed commodities meet the safety standard established by the new law. Tolerances are considered reassessed once the safety finding has been made or a revocation occurs. EPA has reviewed and made the requisite safety finding for the bitertanol tolerance included in this notice.

EPA is applying the principles of public participation to all pesticides undergoing reregistration and tolerance reassessment. The Agency's Pesticide Tolerance Reassessment and Reregistration; Public Participation Process, published in the Federal **Register** of May 14, 2004 (69 FR 26819) (FRL-7357-9) explains that in conducting these programs, the Agency is tailoring its public participation process to be commensurate with the level of risk, extent of use, complexity of issues, and degree of public concern associated with each pesticide. EPA can expeditiously reach decisions for pesticides like bitertanol, that pose no risk concerns and require no risk mitigation. Once EPA assesses uses and risks for such low risk pesticides, the Agency may go directly to a decision and prepare a document summarizing its findings, such as the bitertanol TRED.

The tolerance reassessment program is being conducted under Congressionally mandated time frames, and EPA recognizes the need both to make timely decisions and to involve the public in finding ways to effectively mitigate pesticide risks. Bitertanol, however, poses no risks that require mitigation. The Agency therefore is issuing the bitertanol TRED, its risk assessments, and related support documents simultaneously for public comment. The comment period is intended to provide an opportunity for public input and a mechanism for initiating any necessary amendments to the TRED. All comments should be submitted using the methods in Unit I. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**, and must be received by EPA on or before the closing date. These comments will become part of the Agency Docket for bitertanol. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

EPA will carefully consider all comments received by the closing date and will provide a Response to Comments Memorandum in the Docket and electronic EDOCKET. If any comment significantly affects the document, EPA also will publish an amendment to the TRED in the **Federal Register**. In the absence of substantive comments requiring changes, the decisions reflected in the TRED will be implemented as presented.

# B. What is the Agency's Authority for Taking this Action?

Section 408(q) of the FFDCA, 21 U.S.C. 346a(q), requires EPA to review tolerances and exemptions for pesticide residues in effect as of August 2, 1996, to determine whether the tolerance or exemption meets the requirements of section 408(b)(2) or (c)(2) of FFDCA. This review is to be completed by August 3, 2006.

#### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: January 4, 2006.

### Debra Edwards,

Director, Special Review and Reregistration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs. [FR Doc. E6–99 Filed 1–10–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

# FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

## Farm Credit Administration Board; Amendment to Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY: Farm Credit Administration. SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3)), the Farm Credit Administration gave notice on January 4, 2006 (71 FR 345) of the regular meeting of the Farm Credit Administration Board (Board) scheduled for January 6, 2006. This notice is to amend the agenda by adding an item to the closed session of that meeting.

## **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Roland E. Smith, Secretary to the Farm

Credit Administration Board, (703) 883–4009, TTY (703) 883–4056.

ADDRESSES: Farm Credit Administration, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, Virginia 22102–5090.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Parts of this meeting of the Board were open to the public (limited space available), and parts were closed to the public. In order to increase the accessibility to Board meetings, persons requiring assistance should make arrangements in advance. The agenda for January 6, 2006 is amended by adding the following item to the closed session as follows:

### **Closed Session\***

• Fiscal Year 2005 Financial Audit

Dated: January 6, 2006.

#### Roland E. Smith,

Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board. [FR Doc. 06–292 Filed 1–9–06; 2:14 pm] BILLING CODE 6705–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

# Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted for Review to the Office of Management and Budget

December 23, 2005.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated

collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before February 10, 2006. If you anticipate that you will be submitting PRA comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments to Judith B. Herman, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1– C804, 445 12th Street, SW., DC 20554 or via the Internet to Judith-

*B.Herman*@fcc.gov. If you would like to obtain or view a copy of this information collection, you may do so by visiting the FCC PRA Web page at: http://www.fcc.gov/omd/pra.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judith B. Herman at 202–418–0214 or via the Internet at *Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov.* 

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060–0760. Title: Access Charge Reform, CC Docket No. 96–262 (First Report and Order); Second Order on Reconsideration and Memorandum Opinion and Order, and Fifth Report and Order.

Form No.: N/A.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Business or other forprofit.

Number of Respondents: 17. Estimated Time per Response: 3– 1,575 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion and one-time reporting requirements. Total Annual Burden: 55,454 hours. Total Annual Cost: \$12,240. Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

*Needs and Uses:* The Commission is submitting this revised information collection to OMB in order to obtain the full three year clearance.

This collection has been revised because we have eliminated the cost study and third party disclosure requirements. The information collection requirements that remain in this submission to OMB are: (a) Showings under the market-based approach; (b) contract-based tariff filings; (c) proposed deaveraging of common line and traffic sensitive access elements; and (d) proposed common line and traffic sensitive Phase II showings. The information to be collected by the Commission by incumbent LECs for use in determining whether the incumbent LECs should

receive regulatory relief proposed in the Orders referenced in the title above. The information collected under the Second Order on Reconsideration and Memorandum Opinion and Order would be submitted by LECs to the interexchange carriers (IXCs) for use in developing the most cost-efficient rates and rate structures.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0207. Title: Part 11—Emergency Alert

System (EAS).

Form No.: N/A.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit, not-for-profit institutions, and

state, local or tribal government. Number of Respondents: 63,000

respondents; 3,402,762 responses. Estimated Time per Response: .017

hours–40 hours.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion reporting requirement, recordkeeping requirement, and third party disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 62,472 hours. Total Annual Cost: N/A. Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A. Needs and Uses: The Commission is submitting this information collection to OMB for revision. The Commission revised this collection by eliminating the one-time voluntary purchase of a computer chip to update event and location codes contained in Section 11.31 (165,00 burden hours and \$8,250,000 cost burden) that was approved by OMB on 1/23/03. The program change decrease in hour burden is slightly offset by the inclusion of new additional respondents, i.e., digital television, digital radio, digital cable, and satellite television and radio. There were no changes in the reporting, recordkeeping or third party disclosure requirements.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

#### Secretary.

[FR Doc. 06–151 Filed 1–10–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

## Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted for Review to the Office of Management and Budget

December 22, 2005.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Public Law 104–13, and as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden, invites the general

<sup>\*</sup> Session Closed-Exempt pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(2) and (6).