manufactured by either NKK or SMI that was entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption during the POR, we will direct CBP to liquidate at the "all others" rate, 44.20 percent, as all such sales were made by intermediary companies (e.g., resellers) not covered in this review, a prior review, or the less than fair value (LTFV) investigation. See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003). The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP within 15 days of publication of these final results of

#### CASH DEPOSIT REQUIREMENTS

The following cash deposit rates will be effective with respect to all shipments of OCTG from Japan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) for JFE and Nippon, the cash deposit rate shall be 44.20 percent (the AFA rate from the investigation); (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, including NKK and SMI, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered by this review, a prior review, or the LTFV investigation, the cash deposit rate shall be the "all others" rate established in the LTFV investigation, which is 44.20 percent. See Amended Final Determination. These deposit rates, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative

## NOTIFICATION TO IMPORTERS

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR § 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

## ADMINISTRATIVE PROTECTIVE ORDERS

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR § 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation that is subject to sanction.

This administrative review and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 23, 2005.

#### Stephen J. Claeys,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–8215 Filed 12–30–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### **International Trade Administration**

(A-580-810, A-583-815)

Welded ASTM A-312 Stainless Steel Pipe from South Korea and Taiwan: Notice of Final Results of Expedited ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of initiation of the second sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on welded ASTM A-312 stainless steel pipe ("WSSP") from South Korea ("Korea") and Taiwan, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive responses from the domestic interested parties and no response from respondent interested parties, the Department has conducted expedited sunset reviews of these antidumping duty orders. As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the level indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 3, 2006.

FOR INFORMATION CONTACT: Dana Mermelstein or Martha Douthit, AD/ CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14<sup>th</sup> Street and Constitution, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1391 or (202) 482–5050, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

On September 1, 2005, the Department published a notice of initiation of the second sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on WSSP from Korea and Taiwan, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews, 70 FR 52074 (September 1, 2005).

We received notices of intent to participate, in each of the two sunset reviews, on behalf of Bristol Metals, L.P. and Marcegaglia U.S.A., Inc. (collectively, "the domestic interested parties"), within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations. The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status as producers of the subject merchandise pursuant to section 771(9)(C) of the Act. The domestic interested parties were petitioners in the original investigations, or successors to petitioners, and have participated in subsequent reviews.

On September 29, 2005, the Department received complete substantive responses to the notice of initiation from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(3)(i) of the Department's regulations. The Department received no substantive responses from respondent interested parties. Based on these circumstances, pursuant to sections 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C), the Department has conducted expedited reviews of these orders.

## Scope of the Orders

The merchandise subject to each of these antidumping duty orders is WSSP that meets the standards and specifications set forth by the American Society for Testing and Materials ("ASTM") for the welded form of chromium-nickel pipe designated ASTM A-312. The merchandise covered by the scope of each order also includes austenitic welded stainless steel pipes made according to the standards of other nations which are comparable to ASTM A-312. WSSP is produced by forming stainless steel flat-rolled products into a tubular configuration and welding along the seam. WSSP is a commodity product generally used as a

conduit to transmit liquids or gases. Major applications for steel pipe include, but are not limited to, digester lines, blow lines, pharmaceutical lines, petrochemical stock lines, brewery process and transport lines, general food processing lines, automotive paint lines, and paper process machines. Imports of WSSP are currently classifiable under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTS") subheadings: 7306.40.5005, 7306.40.5015, 7306.40.5040, 7306.40.5065, and 7306.40.5085. Although these subheadings include both pipes and tubes, the scope of these antidumping duty orders is limited to welded austenitic stainless steel pipes.

The HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, our written description of the scope of these orders is dispositive.

## **Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in substantive responses by parties to these sunset reviews are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum for Final Results of Expedited ("Sunset") Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Welded ASTM A-312 Stainless Steel Pipe from South Korea and Taiwan, from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration (Decision Memo), dated December 30, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the order revoked.

Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in B–099, the Central Records Unit, of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.doc.gov/frn. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

#### **Final Results of Reviews**

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted—average margins:

## **K**OREA

Weighted Average Margins (percent)
2.67

#### KOREA—Continued

Manufacturer/Exporter	Weighted Average Margins (percent)
Sammi Metal Products Co., Ltd	7.92 7.00

#### **TAIWAN**

Manufacturer/Exporter	Weighted Average Margins (percent)
Jaung Yuann Enterprise Co., Ltd. Yeun Chyang Industrial Co., Ltd. All Others	31.90
	31.90 19.84

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: December 23, 2005.

#### Stephen J. Claevs,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–8209 Filed 12–30–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 121605C]

## **Endangered Species; Permit No. 1429**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice; modification of scientific research permit.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a request for modification of scientific research Permit No. 1429 submitted by the National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center (SEFSC) has been granted.

**ADDRESSES:** The modification and related documents are available for

review upon written request or by appointment in the following office(s):

Permits, Conservation and Education Division, Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Room 13705, Silver Spring, MD 20910; phone (301)713–2289, fax (301)427–2521; and

Southeast Region, NMFS, 263 13th Ave South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701; phone (727)824–5312; fax (727)824– 5309.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patrick Opay or Amy Hapeman, (301)713–2289.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The requested amendment has been granted under the authority of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA; 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) and the provisions of 50 CFR 222.306 of the regulations governing the taking, importing, and exporting of endangered and threatened fish and wildlife (50 CFR 222–226).

The modification extends the expiration date of the permit from December 31, 2005, to December 31, 2006, for takes of green (Chelonia mydas), loggerhead (Caretta caretta), olive ridley (Lepidochelys olivacea), leatherback (Dermochelys coriacea), hawksbill (Eretmochelys imbricata) and Kemp's ridley (Lepidochelys kempii) sea turtles. The permit allows the SEFSC to conduct sea turtle bycatch reduction research in the pelagic longline fishery of the western north Atlantic Ocean. The purpose of the research is to develop and test methods to reduce bycatch that occurs incidental to commercial pelagic longline fishing.

Issuance of this modification, as required by the ESA was based on a finding that such permit: (1) was applied for in good faith; (2) will not operate to the disadvantage of any threatened and endangered species; and (3) is consistent with the purposes and policies set forth in section 2 of the ESA.

Dated: December 22, 2005.

#### Steve Leathery,

Chief, Permits, Conservation and Education Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. E5–8219 Filed 12–30–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

#### **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

## Open Meeting of the National Advisory Council on Indian Education

**AGENCY:** National Advisory Council on Indian Education (NACIE), DOE. **ACTION:** Notice of teleconference meeting.