to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Comment Date: December 30, 2005.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–7923 Filed 12–27–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP06-37-000]

Trunkline Gas Company, LLC and Gulf South Pipeline Company, LP; Notice of Filing

December 21, 2005.

Take notice that on December 14, 2005, Trunkline Gas Company, LLC (Trunkline Gas), P.O. Box 4967, Houston, Texas 77210-4967, and Gulf South Pipeline Company, LP (Gulf South), 20 East Greenway Plaza, Houston, Texas 77046, filed a joint abbreviated application pursuant to the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations requesting authorization for Trunkline Gas to abandon by sale and Gulf South to acquire an undivided 38.46 percent interest in 1.7 miles of Trunkline Gas' 2000–1 Lateral and appurtenances located in Calcasieu Parish, Louisiana. The application is on file with the Commission and open for public inspection. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at

FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at (866) 208–3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502–8659.

The undivided 38.46 percent ownership is equivalent to 500,000 dekatherms per day of capacity on the 1.7 miles of the 2000–1 Lateral. Trunkline Gas will continue to own 100 percent of the 2000–2 Lateral. Trunkline Gas will remain the operator of the 2001–1 Lateral. Gulf South and Trunkline Gas will be responsible for paying its share of all operating and maintenance expenses in accordance with an Operating Agreement. Shippers will be able to execute transportation service agreements with each respective pipeline owner pursuant to the terms of its tariff up to each pipeline's share of the capacity on the 2000–1 Lateral. The transfer of the ownership from Trunkline Gas to Gulf South costs \$1,900,000.

Any questions regarding the application are to be directed to Stephen T. Veatch, Regulatory Affairs, at (713) 989–7000, Trunkline Gas Company, LLC, 5444 Westheimer Road, Houston, Texas 77056 and to J. Kyle Stephens, Director of Certificates for Gulf South Pipeline Company, LP, 20 East Greenway Plaza, Suite 900, Houston, Texas 77046.

Any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the below listed comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

Motions to intervene, protests and comments may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper, see, 18 CFR 385.2001 (a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Comment Date: January 11, 2006.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–7940 Filed 12–27–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. OR06-1-000]

State of Alaska, Complainants v. TAPS Carriers, Respondents; Notice of Complaint

December 19, 2005.

Take notice that on December 14, 2005, the State of Alaska filed a formal complaint against the TAPS Carriers pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 1 *et seq.* (1994), and 18 CFR 385.206(a), 385.207, 385.211 and 385.214 (2003) alleging that the TAPS Carriers impermissibly included imprudently incurred costs in their 2004, 2005 and 2006 interstate tariffs, that those rates constitute unjust discrimination under the Interstate Commerce Act, and that those rates are inconsistent with the terms of the TAPS Interstate Settlement Agreement and are otherwise unlawful.

The State of Alaska states that copies of the complaint were served on the contacts for the TAPS Carriers.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. The Respondent's answer and all interventions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. The Respondent's answer, motions to intervene, and protests must be served on the Complainants.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at *http://www.ferc.gov.* Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at *http://www.ferc.gov*, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov*, or call

(866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on January 3, 2006.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary. [FR Doc. E5–7919 Filed 12–27–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 459-144]

Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE; Notice Dismissing Complaint

December 20, 2005.

On December 5, 2005, Osage River Flood Control Association, Inc. filed a formal complaint against Union Electric Company, doing business as AmerenUE, licensee of the Osage Hydroelectric Project No. 459. The project is located on the Lake of the Ozarks in Missouri. The pleading generally alleges that Osage River Flood Control Association's concerns raised during the Alternative Licensing Process were ignored by AmerenUE, and requests consideration of certain issues in the relicense proceeding for the Osage Project.¹

The issues raised in the pleading relate to conditions to be considered in the ongoing relicense proceeding. As such, they are not properly the subject of a formal complaint. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed and the comments raised in the pleading will be considered in the relicense proceeding.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–7926 Filed 12–27–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. OR06-2-000; IS06-70-000; IS06-63-000; IS06-71-000; IS06-66-000; IS06-47-000]

Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, Tesoro Corporation, and Tesoro Alaska Company v. TAPS Carriers, BP Pipelines (Alaska) Inc., ConocoPhillips, Transportation Alaska, Inc., ExxonMobil Pipeline Company, Koch Alaska Pipeline Company LLC, Unocal Pipeline Company; Notice of Complaint

December 19, 2005.

Take notice that on December 14, 2005, Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, Tesoro Corporation, and Tesoro Alaska Company (collectively, Anadarko/ Tesoro) filed a protest, complaint, motion to intervene, motion to consolidate, and request for hearing and other relief, against BP Pipelines (Alaska) Inc., ConocoPhillips Transportation Alaska, Inc., ExxonMobil Pipeline Company, Koch Alaska Pipeline Company LLC, and Unocal Pipeline Company (collectively, TAPS Carriers), pursuant to Rules 206, 211, 212, and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures and sections 8, 9, 13, 15, and 16 of the Interstate Commerce Act, and the Commission's oil pipeline regulations at 18 CFR 343. Anadarko/Tesoro allege that the rates filed by TAPS Carriers for oil transportation on the TAPS are unjust, unreasonable, and unduly discriminatory under the ICA, and the Commission should suspend those rates, declare those rates subject to refund, initiate hearing procedures, establish just and reasonable rates as required by the ICA, and grant Anadarko/Tesoro refunds, reparations, damages (with interest), and other appropriate relief. Anadarko/Tesoro request that the Commission consolidate this protest and complaint with the ongoing proceedings concerning the TAPS Carriers' rates in Docket Nos. IS05–82 et al.

Anadaro/Tesoro states that copies of the complaint were served on the designated contacts for BP Pipelines (Alaska), Inc. ConocoPhillips Transportation Alaska, Inc., ExxonMobile Pipeline Compnay, Koch Alaska Pipeline Company LLC., and Unocal Pipeline Company as listed in the individual company tariff filings, as well as on all persons on the official Commission service list.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in

accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. The Respondent's answer and all interventions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. The Respondent's answer, motions to intervene, and protests must be served on the Complainants.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at *http://www.ferc.gov.* Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at *http://www.ferc.gov*, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail *FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov*, or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.

Comment Date: 5 p.m. eastern time on January 3, 2006.

Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–7920 Filed 12–27–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL06-28-000]

City of Sioux Falls, South Dakota and Heartland Consumers Power District, Complainant, v. Xcel Energy Services, Inc., Northern States Power Company (Minnesota), and Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., Respondents; Notice of Complaint

December 20, 2005.

Take notice that on December 19, 2005, the City of Sioux Falls, South Dakota and Hearland Consumers Power District (City/Heartland) filed a Complaint against Northern States

¹ They request consideration of the following: a 30-year license term rather than the 40-year term requested by AmerenUS; earlier release of water in anticipation of rain than proposed by AmerenUE; continuation in the new license of current maximum flow levels while the project generates power rather than unlimited flow levels which may increase erosion; creation of a new flood management process; the effect of project on lower river recreation; and assistance by the licensee to downstream farmers in raising the height of the access to their islands of farm land in the lower river.