

Commission Performance Review Board (PRB).

SUMMARY: Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4), this notice announces the reappointment of members of the PRB for the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). The Board makes recommendations to the appointing official on the performance of executives, including recommendations on performance ratings, bonuses and other appropriate personnel actions.

DATES: Membership is effective on the date of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Angelica E. Ibarguen, Chief Human Capital Officer, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 1801 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20507, 202.663.4306.

Composition of PRB: The Board shall consist of at least three voting members. When appraising a career appointee's performance or recommending a career appointee for a performance award, more than half of the members must be SES career appointees. The names and titles of the PRB members are as follows:

Primary Members

Angelica E. Ibarguen, Chief Human Capital Officer, EEOC—(Chairperson), Reuben Daniels, Jr., Director, Charlotte District Office, EEOC—(Member), James L. Lee, Deputy General Counsel, EEOC—(Member).

Signed at Washington, DC, on this 21st day of December, 2005.

For the Commission.

Cari M. Dominguez,
Chair.

[FR Doc. 05-24514 Filed 12-27-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6570-01-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Submitted for Review to the Office of Management and Budget

December 15, 2005.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to

any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before February 27, 2006. If you anticipate that you will be submitting PRA comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments to Judith B. Herman, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-C804, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov. If you would like to obtain or view a copy of this information collection, you may do so by visiting the FCC PRA Web page at: <http://www.fcc.gov/omd/pra>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judith B. Herman at 202-418-0214 or via the Internet at Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060-0810.

Title: Procedures for Designation of Eligible Telecommunications Carriers (ETCs) Pursuant to section 214(e)(6) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 100.

Estimated Time Per Response: 20-100 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 6,200 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Needs and Uses: This collection will be submitted as an extension (after this 60 day comment period) to OMB in

order to obtain the full three year clearance.

Section 214(e)(6) states that a telecommunications carrier that is not subject to the jurisdiction of a state may request that the Commission determine whether it is eligible to be designated as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier (ETC) pursuant to section 214(e)(6) of the Communications Act, as amended. The Commission must evaluate whether such telecommunications carriers meet the eligibility criteria set forth in the Act. Petitioners seeking ETC designation must follow the procedures outlined in the Twelfth Report and Order (FCC 00-208) prior to submitting a request for designation to the Commission under section 214(e)(6). In this Order, the Commission concluded that petitions relating to tribal lands and as a result, petitioners seeking ETC designation must follow the procedures in the Twelfth Report and Order for non-tribal lands prior to submitting a request for designation to the Commission under section 214(e)(6).

OMB Control No.: 3060-0859.

Title: Suggested Guidelines for Petitions for Ruling Under Section 253 of the Communications Act.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 80.

Estimated Time Per Response: 63-125 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 6,280 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Needs and Uses: This collection will be submitted as an extension (after this 60 day comment period) to OMB in order to obtain the full three year clearance.

Section 253 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, requires the Commission, with certain important exceptions, to preempt the enforcement of any state or local statute or regulation, or other state or local legal requirement (to the extent necessary) that prohibits or has the effect of prohibiting the ability of any entity to provide any interstate or intrastate telecommunications service. The Commission's consideration of preemption begins with the filing of a petition by an aggrieved party. The petition is placed on public notice and commented on by others. The Commission's decision is based on the public record, generally composed of the petition and comments received.

The Commission has considered a number of preemption items since the passage of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and believes it in the public interest to inform the public of the information necessary to support its full consideration of the issues likely to be involved in preemption actions.

The Commission will use the information to discharge its statutory mandate relating to the preemption of state or local statutes or other state or local legal requirements.

OMB Control No.: 3060–0876.

Title: USAC Board of Directors Nomination Process (47 CFR Section 54.703) and Review of Administrator's Decision (47 CFR Sections 54.719–54.725).

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 1,312.

Estimated Time Per Response: 20–32 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 41,840 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Needs and Uses: This collection will be submitted as an extension (after this 60 day comment period) to OMB in order to obtain the full three year clearance. Pursuant to 47 CFR 54.703, industry and non-industry groups may submit to the Commission for approval nominations for individuals to be appointed to the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) Board of Directors. Sections 54.719 through 54.725 contain the procedures for Commission review of USAC decisions, including the general filing requirements which parties must file requests for review. The information is used by the Commission to select the USAC Board of Directors and to ensure that requests for review are filed properly with the Commission.

OMB Control No.: 3060–1031.

Title: Revision of the Commission's Rules to Ensure Compatibility with Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems—Petition of the City of Richardson, TX, Order on Reconsideration II.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit and not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 1,158.

Estimated Time Per Response: 2–4 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 6,576 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A.

Needs and Uses: This collection will be submitted as an extension (after this 60 day comment period) to OMB in order to obtain the full three year clearance.

This collection contains various reporting and third party disclosure requirements. Under the Commission's E911 rules, a wireless carrier must provide E911 service to a particular Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) within six months only if that PSAP makes a request for the service and is capable of receiving and utilizing the information provided. In the City of Richardson Order on Reconsideration, the Commission adopted rules clarifying what constitutes a valid PSAP request so as to trigger a wireless carrier's obligation to provide service to a PSAP within six months. The Order on Reconsideration modified the E911 rules to provide additional clarification on the issue of PSAP readiness. The Commission's actions were intended to facilitate the E911 implementation process by encouraging parties to communicate with each other early in the implementation process, and to maintain a constructive, on-going dialogue throughout the implementation process.

The Order on Reconsideration contained three new public information collection burdens subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). First, the Commission adopted procedural guidelines for requesting documentation predictive of a PSAP's readiness to receive and utilize the enhanced 911 service it has requested. Specifically, we provide that, where a wireless carrier requests such documentation from a PSAP within 15 days of receiving the PSAP's request for E911 service, the PSAP must respond within 15 days or the carrier's six-month implementation period will be tolled until such documentation is provided. Second, the Commission clarified that the readiness showing is for the purpose of *commencing* the wireless carrier's six-month implementation obligation; and we established a procedure whereby wireless carriers that have completed all necessary steps toward E911 implementation are not dependent on PSAP readiness may have their compliance obligation temporarily tolled, if the PSAP is not ready to receive the information at the end of the six-month period and carrier files a certification to that effect with the

Commission. Finally, the Commission clarified that nothing in our rules precludes wireless carriers and PSAPs from mutually agreeing to an implementation schedule different from that prescribed by our rules.

The Commission will use the certification filings from wireless carriers to determine each carrier's compliance with its E911 obligations.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5–7871 Filed 12–27–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority

December 15, 2005.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments by February 27, 2006. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.