

paragraph, lines 7 thru 9, the language, “public utility property after [DATE OF PUBLICATION OF FINAL RULE IN THE **Federal Register**]. For public” is corrected to read “public utility property after December 21, 2005. For public”.

3. On page 75764, column 1, in the preamble, first paragraph of the column, lines 2 and 3, the language, “before [DATE OF PUBLICATION OF FINAL RULE IN THE **Federal Register**].” is corrected to read “before December 21, 2005;”.

4. On page 75764, column 1, in the preamble, first paragraph of the column, lines 15 thru 19, the language, “under the rate order in effect on [DATE OF PUBLICATION OF FINAL RULE IN THE **Federal Register**], or [DATE 2 YEARS AFTER PUBLICATION OF FINAL RULE IN THE **Federal Register**].” is corrected to read “under the rate order in effect on December 21, 2005, or December 21, 2007.”

#### § 1.46–6 [Corrected]

5. On page 75765, column 1, § 1.46–6(k)(4)(i), lines 4 thru 6, the language, “public utility property after [DATE OF PUBLICATION OF FINAL RULE IN THE **Federal Register**].” is corrected to read “December 21, 2005.”

6. On page 75765, column 1, § 1.46–6(k)(4)(ii), lines 12 thru 16, the language, “rate order in effect on [DATE OF PUBLICATION OF FINAL RULE IN THE **Federal Register**], or [DATE 2 YEARS AFTER PUBLICATION OF FINAL RULE IN THE **Federal Register**].” is corrected to read “rate order in effect on December 21, 2005, or December 21, 2007.”

#### § 1.168(i)–(3) [Corrected]

7. On page 75765, column 1, § 1.168(i)–(3)(d)(1), lines 4 thru 6, the language, “public utility property after [DATE OF PUBLICATION OF FINAL RULE IN THE **Federal Register**].” is corrected to read “public utility property after December 21, 2005.”

8. On page 75765, column 2, § 1.168(i)–(3)(d)(2), lines 10 thru 14, the language, “rate order in effect on [DATE OF PUBLICATION OF FINAL RULE IN THE **Federal Register**], or [DATE 2 YEARS AFTER PUBLICATION OF FINAL RULE IN THE **Federal Register**].” is corrected to read “rate order in effect on December 21, 2005, or December 21, 2007.”

#### Guy R. Traynor,

*Federal Register Liaison, Publications and Regulations Branch, Legal Processing Division, Associate Chief Counsel, (Procedure and Administration).*

[FR Doc. 05–24482 Filed 12–21–05; 2:14 pm]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

## POSTAL SERVICE

### 39 CFR Part 111

#### New Marking Requirement for Bound Printed Matter Machinable Parcels

**AGENCY:** Postal Service.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Postal Service proposes a new marking requirement for Bound Printed Matter machinable parcels consisting of multiple pieces secured with transparent shrinkwrap. Under our proposal, mailers must use a firm optional endorsement line or apply a pressure-sensitive firm bundle Label F. The new marking will enable our automated equipment to recognize that a Bound Printed Matter parcel is intended for a single address.

**DATES:** We must receive your comments on or before January 26, 2006. We propose to implement these changes on May 11, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Mail or deliver written comments to the Manager, Mailing Standards, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Room 3436, Washington DC 20260–3436. You may inspect and photocopy all written comments at USPS Headquarters Library, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., 11th Floor N, Washington DC between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joel Walker, 202–268–7266.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Our new Automated Package Processing System (APPS) simultaneously sorts parcels and bundles of mail. When APPS sees a Bound Printed Matter (BPM) machinable parcel that consists of multiple pieces, such as catalogs, shrinkwrapped together and destined for a single address, APPS is programmed to identify the parcel as a presort destination bundle. When APPS fails to find an optional endorsement line (OEL) or bundle label it diverts the parcel to a reject bin.

Our proposal would require mailers to place either a firm OEL or a firm bundle Label F on BPM machinable parcels that APPS otherwise might mistake as bundles. If using a firm OEL, mailers must place it and the 5-digit destination ZIP Code of the BPM parcel in the address block in the same location designated for all OELs.

The firm OEL or bundle Label F will indicate to APPS that the parcel is destined for a single address, allowing APPS to properly sort the parcel. This new marking requirement is for BPM machinable parcels only.

In addition to our proposal for the firm OEL or bundle Label F, mailers

must make the delivery address information and the bundle Label F or OEL visible and readable by the naked eye. We published these readability standards in the **Federal Register** on October 20, 2005 (70 FR 61037).

We provide the new standards below. We propose to implement these changes on May 11, 2006.

Although we are exempt from the notice and comment requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 410 (a)), we invite comments on the following proposed revisions to Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM), incorporated by reference in the Code of Federal Regulations. See 39 CFR Part 111.

#### List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 111

Administrative practice and procedure, Postal Service.

#### PART 111—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 39 CFR part 111 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 552(a); 39 U.S.C. 101, 401, 403, 404, 414, 3001–3011, 3201–3219, 3403–3406, 3621, 3626, 5001.

2. Revise the following sections of Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM), as follows:

#### 400 Discount Mail Parcels

\* \* \* \* \*

#### 402 Elements on the Face of a Mailpiece

\* \* \* \* \*

#### 2.0 Placement and Content of Markings

\* \* \* \* \*

#### 2.2 Parcel Post, Bound Printed Matter, Media Mail, and Library Mail Markings

\* \* \* \* \*

[ReNUMBER 2.2.5 and 2.2.6 as 2.2.6 and 2.2.7 Add new 2.2.5, as follows:]

#### 2.2.5 Address and Firm Designation on Bound Printed Matter Machinable Parcels

When a BPM machinable parcel consists of multiple copies for a single address secured with transparent shrinkwrap, the delivery address information and barcoded pressure-sensitive bundle label or optional endorsement line must be visible and readable by the naked eye. Mailers must label the parcel using one of the following options:

a. A firm optional endorsement line under 708.7.0, followed by the 5-digit destination ZIP Code of the parcel.

b. A blue, pressure-sensitive, barcoded bundle Label F on the address side of the bundle.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### 700 Special Standards

\* \* \* \* \*

#### 708 Technical Specifications

\* \* \* \* \*

#### 7.0 Optional Endorsement Lines (OELs)

\* \* \* \* \*

#### 7.1 OEL Use

\* \* \* \* \*

##### Exhibit 7.1.1 OEL Formats

[Revise Exhibit 7.1.1 by adding an OEL example for BPM parcels, as follows:]

Sortation level	OEL example
Firm—BPM machinable parcels.	FIRM 12345.

We will publish an appropriate amendment to 39 CFR Part 111 to reflect these changes if our proposal is adopted.

**Neva R. Watson,**

*Attorney, Legislative.*

[FR Doc. E5-7857 Filed 12-23-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-12-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[R04-OAR-2005-TN-0005; FRL-8015-1]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Tennessee; Nitrogen Oxides Budget and Allowance Trading Program, Phase II

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is proposing to approve State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Tennessee on May 6, 2005. The revision responds to the EPA's regulation entitled, "Interstate Ozone Transport: Response to Court Decisions on the NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call, NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call Technical Amendments, and Section 126 Rules," otherwise known as the "NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call Phase II." This revision satisfies EPA's rule that requires Tennessee to submit NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call Phase II revisions needed to achieve the necessary incremental reductions of nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>). The intended effect of this SIP revision is to reduce emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> in order

to help attain the national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) for ozone. Specifically, this revision addresses compliance plans for NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from stationary internal combustion engines.

In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a non-controversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no significant, material, and adverse comments are received in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before January 26, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted by mail to: James Hou, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960.

Comments may also be submitted electronically, or through hand delivery/courier. Please follow the detailed instructions described in the direct final rule. **ADDRESSES** section which is published in the Rules Section of this **Federal Register**.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** James Hou, Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. The telephone number is (404) 562-8965. Mr. Hou can also be reached via electronic mail at [hou.james@epa.gov](mailto:hou.james@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: December 9, 2005.

**A. Stanley Meiburg,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.*

[FR Doc. 05-24414 Filed 12-23-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Health Resources and Services Administration

#### 42 CFR Part 51a

RIN # 0906-AA70

#### Healthy Tomorrows Partnership for Children Program (HTPC)

**AGENCY:** Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** This notice sets forth the Secretary's proposal to require HTPC grant recipients to contribute non-Federal matching funds in years 2 through 5 of the project period equal to two times the amount of the Federal Grant Award or such lesser amount determined by the Secretary for good cause shown.

**DATES:** To be considered, comments on this proposed rule must be submitted by February 27, 2006. Subject to consideration of the comments submitted, the Department intends to publish final regulations.

**ADDRESSES:** See Supplementary Information Request for Comments section for addresses for submitting all comments concerning this proposed rule.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jose Belardo, J.D., 301-443-0757.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Background

Authorized by 42 U.S.C. 701(a)(3), the HTPC is a grant program funded and administered by the Health Resources and Services Administration's (HRSA) Maternal and Child Health Bureau (MCHB). Its purpose is to stimulate innovative community-based programs that employ prevention strategies to promote access to health care for children and their families nationwide by providing grant funds to implement a new or enhance an existing child health initiative. Currently, there are 58 HTPC funded projects. In fiscal year (FY) 2005 48 projects are continuing grantees and 10 are newly funded.

Since the inception of this grant program in 1989, the HTPC has issued a programmatic requirement in its guidance that grant applicants must demonstrate the capability to meet cost participation goals by securing non-Federal matching funds and/or in-kind resources for the second through fifth years of the project. One of the key goals of this initiative is that funded programs are to be sustainable beyond the 5-year