the petitions. On October 6, 2005, the Commission issued a notice indicating that it had determined to extend the deadline for determining whether to review the final ID by 8 days, i.e., from October 6, 2005, until October 14, 2005.

On October 20, 2005, the Commission issued a notice indicating that it had determined to review the final ID in its entirety. 70 FR 61157 (October 20, 2005). In connection with its review, the Commission requested written submissions on the issues under review and the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. On October 27. 2005, Gateway filed a motion to stay the Commission's review of the ID and remand to the ALI for additional findings concerning a license agreement related to the patents at issue in this investigation. On November 7, 2005, HP and the IA filed separate responses to Gateway's motion.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the final ID and the submissions of the parties, the Commission has determined to reverse the ALJ's finding of literal infringement with respect to claims 7, 24, and 41 of the '184 patent and claim 9 of the '976 patent and to remand the investigation to the ALJ for findings concerning infringement of these claims under the doctrine of equivalents and whether the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement has been met in regard to the '184 and '976 patents. The Commission has also determined to vacate that portion of the ID which concerns infringement of claim 1 of the '318 patent under the doctrine of equivalents. The Commission has determined to affirm the remainder of the ID. The Commission has also directed the ALJ to consider and rule on Motion Docket No. 52C, filed by Gateway on October 27, 2005, which concerns a license agreement related to the patents at issue in this investigation. Finally, the Commission has directed the ALJ to extend the target date of the investigation as may be necessary to conclude the proceedings and to issue his findings on remand two months before the new target date.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and section 210.45 of the Commission's Interim Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.45).

Issued: December 8, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

### Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E5–7350 Filed 12–14–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Antitrust Division**

# Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—American Society of Mechanical Engineers

Notice is hereby given that, on November 25, 2005, pursuant to section 6(a) of the national Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), American Society of Mechanical Engineers ("ASME") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, since July 29, 2005, ASME has published several new standards, has initiated several new standards development projects, and has initiated a new conformity assessment program, all within the general nature and scope of ASME's standards development activities, as specified in its original notification. More detail regarding these changes can be found at http:// www.asme.org.

On September 15, 2004, ASME filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on October 13, 2004 (69 FR 60895).

The last notification was filed with the Department on August 2, 2005. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on August 26, 2005 (70 FR 50406).

#### Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 05–24092 Filed 12–14–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4418–11–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## **Antitrust Division**

## Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Polyurea Development Association

Notice is hereby given that, on November 21, 2005, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Polyurea Development Association ("PDA") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damage under specified circumstances. Specifically, PDA has added a new development activity to include a voluntary consensus standard for Polyurea/Geotextile Elastomeric Lining Systems.

On May 9, 2005, PDA filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on June 13, 2005 (70 FR 34151).

#### Dorothy B. Fountain,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 05–24095 Filed 12–14–05; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4418–11–M** 

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Antitrust Division**

# Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—USB Implementers Forum, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on November 23, 2005, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), USB Implementers Forum, Inc. ("USB-IF") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization and (2) the nature and scope of its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization is: USB Implementers forum, Inc., Portland, OR. The nature and scope of USB–IF's standards development activities are: providing a support organization and forum for the advancement and adoption of USB technology, by facilitating the development of high quality compatible USB devices and promoting USB to accelerate end-user demand for USB