

Total Annual Responses: 905.
Total Annual Burden Hours: 8,218.
Total Annual Non-Hour Burden
Costs: \$1,456.

November 30, 2005.

John R. Craynon,

Chief, Division of Regulatory Support.

[FR Doc. 05-23786 Filed 12-8-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-385 and 386
(Second Review)]

Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy and Japan

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in these subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on granular polytetrafluoroethylene resin from Italy and Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

Background

On December 1, 2004, the Commission determined that responses to its notice of institution of the subject five-year reviews were such that full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act should proceed (69 FR 69954, December 1, 2004). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on May 4, 2005 (70 FR 24613). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on October 25, 2005, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on December 13, 2005. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3823 (December 2005), entitled *Granular*

Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin from Italy and Japan: Investigation Nos. 731-TA-385 and 386 (Second Review).

Issued: December 2, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E5-7024 Filed 12-7-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-556]

In the Matter of Certain High-Brightness Light Emitting Diodes and Products Containing Same; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on November 4, 2005, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC of San Jose, California. A supplemental letter was filed on November 23, 2005. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain high-brightness light emitting diodes and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 5,008,718, claims 1-3, 8-9, 16, 18, and 23-28 of U.S. Patent No. 5,376,580, and claims 12-16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,502,316. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a permanent limited exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will

need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas S. Fusco, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-205-2571.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2005).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on December 1, 2005, *Ordered that—*

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain high-brightness light emitting diodes or products containing same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 5,008,718, claims 1-3, 8-9, 16, 18, and 23-28 of U.S. Patent No. 5,376,580, and claims 12-16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,502,316, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—Lumileds Lighting U.S., LLC, 370 West Trimble Road, San Jose, CA 95131.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: Epistar Corporation, 5 Li-Hsin 5th Road, Science-Based Industrial Park, Hsinchu, Taiwan. United Epitaxy Company, 9F, No. 10, Li-Hsin Road, Science-Based Industrial Park, Hsinchu, Taiwan.

(c) Thomas S. Fusco, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² Vice Chairman Deanna Tanner Okun and Commissioner Daniel R. Pearson dissent with regard to the determination concerning Japan.

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Sidney Harris is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondents, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 2, 2005.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E5-7076 Filed 12-7-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-519]

In the Matter of Certain Personal Computers, Monitors, and Components Thereof; Notice of Commission Decision to Review-In-Part an Initial Determination Finding No Violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 and to Remand Portions of the Investigation to the Administrative Law Judge

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review-in-part the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ's") initial determination

("ID") issued on October 6, 2005, in the above-captioned investigation and to remand portions of the investigation to the ALJ to make additional factual findings and determinations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3065. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This patent-based section 337 investigation was instituted by the Commission on August 6, 2004, based on a complaint filed by Gateway, Inc. of Poway, California ("Gateway"). 69 FR 47956 (August 6, 2004). The complainant alleged violations of section 337 in the importation and sale of certain personal computers, monitors, and components thereof, by reason of infringement of three U.S. patents. The complainant named Hewlett-Packard Company of Palo Alto, California as a respondent. Claims 9-11 and 15-19 of U.S. Patent No. 5,192,999 ("the '999 patent") remain at issue in this investigation.

The evidentiary hearing was held from May 23 through May 26, 2005. On October 6, 2005, the ALJ issued a final ID finding no violation of section 337. All the parties to the investigation, including the Commission investigative attorney, filed timely petitions for review of various portions of the final ID. Respondent's petition is contingent upon a Commission determination to review the ALJ's findings on the issue of inequitable conduct. HP's Petition at

1. The parties all filed timely responses to all the petitions

Having reviewed the record in this investigation, including the parties' written submissions, the Commission has determined to: (1) Review the ALJ's determination on induced infringement of Claim 19 and remand for further

factual findings and analysis; (2) review the ALJ's determination on obviousness solely for the purpose of clarifying the ID's discussion of *Sakraida v. AG Pro, Inc.*, 425 U.S. 273 (1976); (3) review the ALJ's determination on enablement; and (4) review the issue of inequitable conduct and remand for further factual findings and analysis. The Commission has further determined not to review the remainder of the ID.

Written Submissions: The Commission does not request any written submissions at this time.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42-45 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42-45).

Issued: December 1, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E5-7026 Filed 12-7-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-860 (Review)]

Tin- and Chromium-Coated Steel Sheet From Japan

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of a full five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on tin- and chromium-coated steel sheet from Japan.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on tin- and chromium-coated steel sheet from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 2, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Olympia DeRosa Hand (202-205-3182) or Douglas Corkran (202-205-3057), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain