Community Bank, Bluffton, South Carolina (in organization).

**B. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago** (Patrick M. Wilder, Assistant Vice President) 230 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60690-1414:

*1. Capitol Bancorp LTD, and Capital Development Bancorp Limited, III*, both of Lansing, Michigan; to acquire 51 percent of the voting shares of Community Bank of Rowan, Salisbury, North Carolina (in organization).

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, November 28, 2005.

**Robert deV. Frierson,**

*Deputy Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. E5–6740 Filed 11–30–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6210–01–S**

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Notice of Proposals to Engage in Permissible Nonbanking Activities or to Acquire Companies that are Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The companies listed in this notice have given notice under section 4 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843) (BHC Act) and Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225) to engage *de novo*, or to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company, including the companies listed below, that engages either directly or through a subsidiary or other company, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.28 of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.28) or that the Board has determined by Order to be closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Each notice is available for inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notice also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether the proposal complies with the standards of section 4 of the BHC Act. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center website at *www.ffiec.gov/nic/*.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than December 27, 2005.

**A. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis** (Glenda Wilson, Community Affairs Officer) 411 Locust Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63166-2034:

*1. Reliance Bancshares, Inc.*, Des Peres, Missouri; to engage *de novo* through its subsidiary Reliance Bank, FSB, Fort Myers, Florida, in operating a savings association, pursuant to section 225.28(b)(4)(ii) of Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, November 28, 2005.

**Robert deV. Frierson,**

*Deputy Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. E5–6741 Filed 11–30–05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6210–01–S**

## GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

### Peace Arch Port of Entry, Blaine, Washington, Draft Environmental Impact Statement

**AGENCY:** Public Buildings Service, General Services Administration (GSA).

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The US General Services Administration (GSA) hereby gives notice that it has prepared and is distributing a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) pursuant to the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, and the President's Council on Environmental Quality Regulations, for the construction of a new Peace Arch Port of Entry facility in the City of Blaine, Whatcom County, Washington. This project is at the planning and design stage, and site acquisition funding has been approved by Congress.

The US Dept of Homeland Security is currently located in the existing Peace Arch Port of Entry facility. The existing facility does not currently meet the tenant agencies space or mission requirements. The existing facility cannot be adapted to accommodate the required future space needs of the agency tenants. GSA, assisted by Herrera Environmental Consultants, will prepare the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). GSA is the lead agency in conducting the NEPA study with US Department of Transportation - Federal Highways Administration and Washington State Department of Transportation serving as cooperating agencies. GSA invites interested individuals, organizations, and federal, state, and local agencies to participate in defining and identifying any significant impacts and issues to be studied in the EIS.

**DATES:** Interested parties should submit comments in writing on or before January 17, 2006 to be considered in the formulation of the final rule.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments to U.S. General Services Administration, Regional Environmental Program Analyst (10PTTB), 400 – 15th Street SW, Auburn, WA 98001, ATTN: Michael Levine.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Art Campbell at Herrera Environmental Consultants at (206) 441-9080, 2200 Sixth Ave, Suite 1100, Seattle, WA 98121, or Michael Levine, Regional Environmental Program Analyst, GSA, at (253)931-7263.

*Mailing List:* If you wish to be placed on the project mailing list to receive further information as the EIS process develops, contact Art Campbell at the address and telephone number noted above.

**Purpose:**

On November 30, 2004, a third public NEPA scoping meeting was held to gather comments from the public. On December 8, 2005 at 6:00pm, an informal open house will be held to inform the public about the status of the project and to answer any questions. On December 13, 2005 at 6:00pm, an official NEPA DEIS public comment meeting will be held to ensure that the public has an opportunity to give comments about the DEIS that will become part of the official record. A court stenographer will transcribe all comments. Both meetings will be held at the Blaine Community Senior Center, 763 G Street in Blaine, WA. With the printing of this Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register**, the 45 day NEPA DEIS comment period begins. The notice of the dates of the informal open house meeting and formal comment meeting will be accomplished through direct mailing correspondence to interested persons, agencies, tribes and organizations, and notices in local newspapers.

The DEIS will evaluate the proposed project, including all reasonable alternatives identified through the scoping process and a no-action alternative. GSA will respond to all relevant comments to the draft EIS received during the 45-day public comment period and they will become part of the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS). With the release to the public of the FEIS, GSA will identify its preferred alternative.

An additional public informal comment meeting will be held after the release of the Final Environmental Impact Statement. After a minimum 30-day period following publication of the Final Environmental Impact Statement, GSA will issue a Record of Decision (ROD) that will identify all alternatives considered by GSA in reaching its decision, specifying the alternative which is environmental preferable. GSA may discuss preferences among alternatives based on relevant factors

including economic and technical considerations and agency statutory missions. GSA shall identify and discuss all such factors including any essential considerations of national policy which is balanced by GSA in making its decision and state how those considerations entered into its decision.

**Supplemental Information:**

GSA invites interested individuals, organizations, and federal, state, and local agencies to participate in defining and identifying any significant impacts and issues to be studied in the EIS. The EIS will examine the short and long-term impacts on the natural and physical environment. The assessment will include but not be limited to impacts such as social environment, changes in land use, aesthetics, changes in adjacent park land, changes in traffic patterns and access to the ''D'' street intersection, economic impacts, and consideration of City planning and zoning requirements. The EIS will examine measures to mitigate significant adverse impacts resulting from the proposed action. Concurrent with NEPA implementation, GSA will also implement its consultation responsibilities under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act to identify potential impacts to existing historic or cultural resources. GSA will consult with Native American tribes through-out the NEPA process.

The EIS will consider a no-action alternative and action alternatives. The no-action alternative would continue the occupancy in the existing Peace Arch Port of Entry facility in Blaine. The action alternatives will consist of three different configurations for construction of a new port of Entry facility.

Dated: 11/18/2005.

**William L. DuBray,**

*Executive Director, Region 10.*

[FR Doc. 05–23522 Filed 11–30–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–EP–S

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

**[Docket No. 2005N–0335]**

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for Office of Management and Budget Review; Comment Request; Medical Device Recall Authority

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that a proposed collection of information has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Fax written comments on the collection of information by January 3, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** OMB is still experiencing significant delays in the regular mail, including first class and express mail, and messenger deliveries are not being accepted. To ensure that comments on the information collection are received, OMB recommends that comments be faxed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Attn: Fumie Yokota, Desk Officer for FDA, FAX: 202–395–6974.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Peggy Robbins, Office of Management Programs (HFA–250), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–827–1223.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In compliance with 44 U.S.C. 3507, FDA has submitted the following proposed collection of information to OMB for review and clearance.

**Medical Device Recall Authority—21 CFR Part 810 (OMB Control Number 0910–0432)—Extension**

This collection implements medical device recall authority provisions under section 518(e) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) (21 U.S.C. 360h) and part 810 (21 CFR part 810). Section 518(e) of the act gives FDA the authority to issue an order requiring the appropriate person, including manufacturers, importers, distributors, and retailers of a device, to immediately cease distribution of such device, to immediately notify health professionals and device-user facilities of the order, and to instruct such professionals and facilities to cease use of such device, if FDA finds that there is reasonable probability that the device intended for human use would cause serious adverse health consequences or death.

Section 518(e) of the act sets out a three-step procedure for issuance of a mandatory device recall order. First, if there is a reasonable probability that a device intended for human use would cause serious, adverse health consequences or death, FDA may issue a cease distribution and notification order requiring the appropriate person to immediately do the following: (1) Cease distribution of the device, (2) notify health professionals and device user facilities of the order, and (3) instruct those professionals and facilities to cease use of the device. Second, FDA will provide the person named in the cease distribution and notification order with the opportunity for an informal hearing on whether the order should be modified, vacated, or amended to require a mandatory recall of the device. Third, after providing the opportunity for an informal hearing, FDA may issue a mandatory recall order if the agency determines that such an order is necessary.

The information collected under the recall authority will be used by FDA to ensure that all devices entering the market are safe and effective, to accurately and immediately detect serious problems with medical devices, and to remove dangerous and defective devices from the market.

The respondents to this proposed collection of information are manufacturers, importers, distributors, and retailers of medical devices.

In the **Federal Register** of September 2, 2005 (70 FR 52397), FDA published a 60-day notice requesting public comment on the information collection provisions. No comments were received FDA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

TABLE 1.—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN[1]

| 21 CFR Section | No. of Respondents | Annual Frequency per Response | Total Annual Responses | Hours per Response | Total Hours |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 810.10(d) | 2 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 16 |
| 810.11(a) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 8 |
| 810.12(a) through (b) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 8 |