participation in the subsequent environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft statement must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519,553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980).

Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate during the scoping period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the development of the alternatives. To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the proposed action should be as specific as possible.

Dated: November 18, 2005.

Catherine Kahlow,

Acting Forest Supervisor, White River National Forest.

[FR Doc. 05-23262 Filed 11-29-05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-583-008]

Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstance Review

AGENCY: Import Administration. International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On April 4, 2005, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of changed circumstance review of the antidumping order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Taiwan to determine whether Yieh Phui Enterprise, Ltd. (Yieh Phui) is a successor-in-interest to Yieh Hsing Enterprise, Ltd. (Yieh Hsing). See Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From Taiwan:

Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstance Review, 70 FR 17063 (April 4, 2005) (Initiation Notice). On October 17, 2005, the Department published a notice of preliminary results of this changed circumstance review in which we preliminarily determined that Yieh Phui is the successor-in-interest to Yieh Hsing for purposes of determining antidumping liability. We gave interested parties the opportunity to comment after publication of the Preliminary Results. See Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Taiwan: Prelminary Results of Antidumping duty Changed Circumstance Review, 70 FR 60279 (October 17, 2005) (Preliminary Results). No comments were received; thus, the Department is adopting its preliminary determination for these final results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 30, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Angela Strom or Robert James at (202)
482–2704 or (202) 482–0649,
respectively; AD/CVD Operations,
Office 7, Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce, 14th Street
and Constitution Ave. NW., Washington
DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 15, 2005, Yieh Phui requested the Department to conduct an expedited changed circumstance review of the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Taiwan. The Department determined that the information submitted by Yieh Phui was sufficient to warrant initiation of changed circumstance review and, on April 4, 2005, the Department published the *Initiation Notice* for this review. Yieh Phui claims to be a successor-ininterest to Yieh Hsing after it acquired Yieh Hsing's pipe production facilities. On April 6, 2005, the Department issued Yieh Phui a questionnaire requesting further details on the acquisition of the pipe facilities. Yieh Phui responded on April 29, 2005. On May 17, 2005, the Department issued a second supplemental questionnaire, to which Yieh Phui responded on June 13, 2005.

The evidence on the record shows that Yieh Phui operates in essentially the same manner in terms of production, management and customer base as did Yieh Hsing prior to the transfer of its pipe facilities. In analyzing the totality of the factors on the record with respect to the transfer of the pipe operations, the Department preliminarily concluded that Yieh Phui

was the successor—in-interest to Yieh Hsing and ought to be accorded the same antidumping duty treatment as its predecessor. *See Preliminary Results*.

Scope of the Order

Imports covered by this order are shipments of certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes. The Department defines such merchandise as welded carbon steel pipes and tubes of circular cross section, with walls not thinner than 0.065 inch and 0.375 inch or more but not over 4.5 inches in outside diameter. These products are commonly referred to in the industry as "standard pipe" and are produced to various American Society for Testing Materials specifications, most notably A-53, A-120 and A-135. Standard pipe is currently classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers 7306.30.5025, 7306.30.5032, 7306.30.5040, and 7306.30.5055. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under the order is dispositive.

Final Results of Changed Circumstance Review

For the reasons stated in the Preliminary Results, we determine that Yieh Phui is the successor—in-interest to Yieh Hsing for antidumping duty purposes. The Department did not receive any comments or requests for a hearing from either party within the time frames established in the Preliminary Results. As a result, Yieh Phui will receive the same antidumping duty cash-deposit rate (i.e., 1.61 percent) that was calculated and applied to Yieh Hsing during the most recent administrative review under this order. See Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Taiwan: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 69 FR 58390 (September 30, 2004). The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection to collect cash deposits at a rate of 1.61 percent for all entries made by Yieh Phui of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this changed circumstance review

Notification Regarding APOs

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversation to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

The notice is in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(I)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.216.

Dated: November 23, 2005.

Joseph A. Spetrini

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–6711 Filed 11–30–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-506]

Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 30, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: P. Lee Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–1655.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 1, 2004, the Department published an opportunity to request a review for porcelain-on-steel cooking ware from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for the period of December 1, 2003, to November 30, 2004. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Filing, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review, 69 FR 69889 (December 1, 2004). On December 28, 2004, respondent Shanghai Watex Metal Products Co., Ltd. ("Watex"), an exporter of the subject merchandise, requested a review. No other interested parties requested a review. On January 31, 2005, the Department published its notice of initiation of an antidumping administrative review on porcelain-onsteel cooking ware from the PRC. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 70 FR 4818 (January 31, 2005). On

August 11, 2005, the Department published a notice of extension of time limit for the preliminary results of this administrative review extending the time limit for the preliminary results by 90 days until December 1, 2005. See Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 70 FR 46813 (August 11, 2005). The preliminary results of this administrative review are currently due December 1, 2005.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department shall issue preliminary results in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the date of publication of the order for which a review is requested and the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the specified time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

Completion of the preliminary results within the originally anticipated time limit, December 1, 2005, is impracticable because this review requires the Department to analyze complex issues regarding Watex's corporate structure and its affiliations and corporate relationships. Because it is not practicable to complete the review within the time specified under the Act, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results by 14 days to December 15, 2005, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. The deadline for the final results of this administrative review continues to be 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results. We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with section 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 22, 2005.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–6714 Filed 11–29–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-351-806]

Notice of Extension of Final Results of the 2003–2004 Administrative Review of Silicon Metal from Brazil

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 30, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maisha Cryor, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

482-5831.

On August 8, 2005, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of this administrative review of silicon metal from Brazil. See Silicon Metal From Brazil: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 70 FR 45665 (August 8, 2005) ("Preliminary Results"). In the Preliminary Results we stated that we would make our final determination for the antidumping duty review no later than 120 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results (i.e., December 6, 2005).

Extension of Time Limit for Final Results

The Department is extending the time limit for the final results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil. This review covers the period July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2004.

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act states that if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time specified, the administering authority may extend the 120-day period, following the date of publication of the preliminary results, to issue its final results by an additional 60 days. Completion of the final results within the 120-day period is not practicable due to a delay in the schedule for submission of interested party arguments and given the number and complexity of issues raised in this review segment, including issues regarding depreciation and financial expenses.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for issuing