

of trade adjustment. Nevertheless, we considered whether home market sales were at a more advanced LOT than the CEP sales, thus warranting a CEP offset under section 773(a)(7)(B) of the Act. In order to determine whether NV is at a more advanced LOT than the CEP transactions, the Department compared home market selling activities with those for CEP transactions after deducting the expenses identified in section 772(d) of the Act. After making these deductions, the Department determined that the differences between the home and U.S. market selling activities support a finding that DSM's sales in the home market were at a more advanced LOT than the CEP sales. See Memorandum from Malcolm Burke to the File, concerning Level of Trade and CEP Offset Analysis, dated concurrently with this notice. Thus, in calculating NV, we reduced DSM's home market sales prices in accordance with the CEP offset provision.

**Currency Conversion**

Pursuant to section 773A(a) of the Act, we converted amounts expressed in foreign currencies into U.S. dollar amounts based on the exchange rates in effect on the dates of the relevant U.S. sales, as certified by the Federal Reserve Bank.

**Preliminary Results of Review**

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period February 1, 2004, through January 31, 2005:

Manufacturer/Exporter	Margin (percent)
Dongkuk Steel Mill Co., Ltd. ....	0.51

**Public Comment**

Within 10 days of publicly announcing the preliminary results of this review, we will disclose, to interested parties, any calculations performed in connection with the preliminary results. See 19 CFR § 351.224(b). Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. See 19 CFR § 351.310(c). If requested, a hearing will be held 44 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, or the first workday thereafter. Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results of this review. The Department will consider case briefs filed by interested parties within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Also, interested parties may file rebuttal

briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs. The Department will consider rebuttal briefs filed not later than five days after the time limit for filing case briefs. Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with each argument: (1) a statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and, (3) a table of authorities cited. Further, the Department requests that parties submitting written comments provide the Department with a diskette containing the public version of those comments. Unless the deadline for issuing the final results of review is extended, the Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in the written comments, within 120 days of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

**Assessment Rates**

In accordance with 19 CFR § 351.212(b)(1), in these preliminary results of review we calculated importer-specific assessment rates for DSM's subject merchandise. Within 15 days of publication of the final results of review, the Department will issue instructions to CBP directing it to assess the final importer-specific assessment rates (if above *de minimis*) uniformly on the entered value of all entries of subject merchandise made by the relevant importer during the POR.

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act. In the instant matter: (1) the cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate established in the final results of this review (except that if that rate is *de minimis*, i.e., less than 0.5 percent, no cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be the "all others" rate of 0.98 percent, which is the "all others"

rate established in the LTFV investigation, adjusted for the export subsidy rate in the companion countervailing-duty investigation. These cash deposit rates, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review. See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR § 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the concomitant assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 31, 2005.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 05-22137 Filed 11-4-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

(A-357-802, A-583-803)

**Light-Walled Welded Rectangular Carbon Steel Tubing from Argentina and Taiwan; Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On July 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the second sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on light-walled welded rectangular carbon steel tubing from Argentina and Taiwan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive responses filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and no responses from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews. See section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act. As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would lead (or likely lead)

to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed in the "Final Results of Reviews" section below.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 7, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Zev Primor or Edythe Artman, AD/CVD Enforcement 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4114 or (202) 482-3931.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background:**

On July 1, 2005, the Department initiated the second sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on light-walled welded rectangular carbon steel tubing from Argentina and Taiwan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See *Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 38101 (July 1, 2005). The Department received a notice of intent to participate from Allied Tube and Conduit, Hannibal Industries, Leavitt Tube Company, Northwest Pipe Company, Searing Industries, and Western Tube and Conduit (collectively the domestic interested parties) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i) pertaining to sunset reviews. The domestic interested parties claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as manufacturers of a domestic like product in the United States. We received complete substantive responses from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received no responses from the respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department has conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of these orders.

**Scope of the Orders:**

The product covered by these orders is light-walled welded carbon steel pipes and tubes of rectangular (including square) cross-section having a wall thickness of less than 0.156 inch. This merchandise is classified under item number 7306.60.50.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States. It was formerly classified under item number 610.4928 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States.

**Analysis of Comments Received:**

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated October 31, 2005, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the orders were to be revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

**Final Results of Reviews:**

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on light-walled welded rectangular carbon steel tubing from Argentina and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted-Average Margin (percent)
<b>Argentina.</b>	
All Manufacturers/Producers/Exporters .....	56.26
<b>Taiwan.</b>	
Ornatube Enterprise .....	5.51
Vulcan Industrial Corp. ....	40.97
Yieh Hsing Industries, Ltd. ....	40.97
All Other Manufacturers/Producers/Exporters .....	29.15

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 31, 2005.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 05-22152 Filed 11-4-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**Paper Clips from the People's Republic of China; Notice of Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order**

A-570-826

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On July 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on paper clips from the People's Republic of China ("China"). See *Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 38101 (July 1, 2005). On the basis of Notices of Intent to Participate, adequate substantive responses filed on behalf of domestic interested parties, and a lack of response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review. As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to the continuation or recurrence of dumping. The dumping margins are identified in the *Final Results of Review* section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 7, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Hilary Sadler, Esq. or Maureen Flannery, AD/CVD Operations, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4340 or (202) 482-3020, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On July 1, 2005, the Department published the notice of initiation of the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on paper clips from China pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See *Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 70 FR 38101 (July 1, 2005). On July 11, 2005 and July 16, 2005, the Department received a Notice of Intent to Participate from Officemate International Corporation and ACCO Brands, Inc., the domestic interested