

base box coating, 70 pound/base box (0.0077 inch) thickness, and 32.9375 inch, 33.125 inch, or 35.1875 inch ordered width.

- Electrolytically tin coated steel having differential coating with 1.00 pound/base box equivalent on the heavy side, with varied coating equivalents on the lighter side (detailed below), with a continuous cast steel chemistry of type MR, with a surface finish of type 7B or 7C, with a surface passivation of 0.5 mg/square foot of chromium applied as a cathodic dichromate treatment, with ultra flat scroll cut sheet form, with CAT 5 temper with 1.00/0.10 pound/base box coating, with a lithograph logo printed in a uniform pattern on the 0.10 pound coating side with a clear protective coat, with both sides waxed to a level of 15–20 mg/216 sq. in., with ordered dimension combinations of 1) 75 pound/base box (0.0082 inch) thickness and 34.9375 inch x 31.748 inch scroll cut dimensions; or 2) 75 pound/base box (0.0082 inch) thickness and 34.1875 inch x 29.076 inch scroll cut dimensions; or 3) 107 pound/base box (0.0118 inch) thickness and 30.5625 inch x 34.125 inch scroll cut dimension.
- Tin-free steel coated with a metallic chromium layer between 100–200 mg/square meter and a chromium oxide layer between 5–30 mg/square meter; chemical composition of 0.05% maximum carbon, 0.03% maximum silicon, 0.60% maximum manganese, 0.02% maximum phosphorous, and 0.02% maximum sulfur; magnetic flux density (“Br”) of 10 kg minimum and a coercive force (“Hc”) of 3.8 Oe minimum.
- Tin-free steel laminated on one or both sides of the surface with a polyester film, consisting of two layers (an amorphous layer and an outer crystal layer), that contains no more than the indicated amounts of the following environmental hormones: 1 mg/kg BADGE (BisPhenol A Di-glycidyl Ether), 1 mg/kg BFDGE (BisPhenol F Di-glycidyl Ether), and 3 mg/kg BPA (BisPhenol A).

The merchandise subject to this order is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”), under HTSUS subheadings 7210.11.0000, 7210.12.0000, 7210.50.0000, 7212.10.0000, and 7212.50.0000 if of non-alloy steel and under HTSUS subheadings 7225.99.0090, and 7226.99.0000 if of alloy steel. Although the subheadings are provided for convenience and

customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum” from Stephen J. Claeys, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated October 31, 2005, (“Decision Memorandum”), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail if the order were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in room B-099 of the main Department building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>, under the heading “November 2005.” The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on tin mill products from Japan would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margins:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted-Average Margin (Percent)
Nippon Steel Corporation	95.29
Kawasaki Steel Corporation	95.29
NKK Corporation	95.29
Toyo Kohan Co., Ltd. ...	95.29
All Other Japanese Manufacturers and Exporters	32.52

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 351.305 of the Department’s regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with

sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 31, 2005.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 05–22141 Filed 11–4–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether an instrument of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instrument shown below is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building, 1099 14th Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 05–041. Applicant: Georgia Institute of Technology, 711 Marietta St., Atlanta, GA 30332. Instrument: Dual Beam SEM/FIB Electron Microscope System, Model Quanta 200 3D Nanolab. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to improve understanding of molecular mechanisms and functional assemblies, initiate development of new materials, and facilitate advances in environmental analysis and detection. New research and creative concepts will include: (1) multifunctional scanning nanoprobe and quantum cascade laser-based sensing systems, (2) stimulated surface chemistry using metal-insulator-metal (MIM) devices containing nano-scale field emission arrays, (3) optically gated single molecule transistors, (4) shape-preserving chemical conversion of 3-D bioclastic structures, (5) impedance mapping AFM cantilever arrays and (6) nanobelts as nanobiosensors and nanocantilevers. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: September 15, 2005.

Docket Number: 05–042. Applicant: Georgia Institute of Technology, 711 Marietta St., Atlanta, GA 30332. Instrument: Dual Beam SEM/FIB Electron Microscope System, Model Nova 200 Nanolab. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to improve understanding of molecular mechanisms and functional assemblies, initiate development of new materials, and facilitate advances in environmental analysis and detection. New research and creative concepts will include: (1) multifunctional scanning nanoprobe and quantum cascade laser-based sensing systems, (2) stimulated surface chemistry using metal-insulator-metal (MIM) devices containing nano-scale field emission arrays, (3) optically gated single molecule transistors, (4) shape-preserving chemical conversion of 3-D bioclastic structures, (5) impedance mapping AFM cantilever arrays and (6) nanobelts as nanobiosensors, and nanocantilevers. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: September 15, 2005.

Docket Number: 05–043. Applicant: Massachusetts General Hospital, 55 Fruit Street, Boston, MA 02114. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM–1011. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used by the professional laboratory staff at Massachusetts General Hospital for the advancement of scientific knowledge relating to U.S. government funded medical research projects using electron microscopy, electron microtomy and ultracryomicrotomy techniques. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: September 12, 2005.

Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 05–22151 Filed 11–4–05; 8:45 am]

Billing Code: 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of California, San Diego, et al., Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Suite 4100W, Franklin Court Building,

U.S. Department of Commerce, 1099 14th Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as each is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Docket Number: 05–038. Applicant: University of California, San Diego. Instrument: Low-Temperature Ultra-High Vacuum Scanning Tunneling Microscope. Manufacturer: Omicron NanoTechnology, GmbH, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 70 FR 54366, September 14, 2005. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) a scanning tunneling microscope (STM) mounted inside a 4K liquid helium reservoir (8-hour time between liquid He refills), (2) operation at an equilibrium temperature of 4 K (including both tip and sample), (3) in-situ sample manipulation and tip transfer capabilities, (4) low drift rates of 1.0 angstrom/hour (5) RMS vibration amplitudes of <0.005 angstrom in a 300 Hz bandwidth and (6) sample surface facing downwards during STM imaging for easy dosing. Advice received from: A university research laboratory for advanced microstructures and devices.

Docket Number: 05–039. Applicant: University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire. Instrument: Automatic Fusion Machine, Model Autofluxer 4. Manufacturer: Breitlander, GmbH, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 70 FR . Reasons: The foreign instrument provides dissolution of whole rock powder by a combination fusion/acid digestion for trace element analysis by ICP mass spectrometry. No apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign apparatus, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. This is a compatible accessory for an existing instrument purchased for the use of the applicant. The accessory is pertinent to the intended uses and we know of no domestic accessory which can be readily adapted for use with the existing instrument.

Docket Number: 05–040. Applicant: National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, CO, 80401. Instrument: Dual Beam Focused Ion Beam Electron Microscope, Model Nova 200 NanoLab. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 70 FR 54366, September 14, 2005. Reasons: The foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring it. We know of no instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of the instrument.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus being manufactured in the United States which is of equivalent scientific value to any of the foreign instruments.

Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 05–22150 Filed 11–4–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A–570–803)

Heavy Forged Hand Tools (i.e., Axes & Adzes, Bars & Wedges, Hammers & Sledges, and Picks & Mattocks) from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Orders

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 1, 2005, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty (“AD”) orders on Heavy Forged Hand Tools (i.e., Axes & Adzes, Bars & Wedges, Hammers & Sledges, and Picks & Mattocks) (“HFHTs”) from the People’s Republic of China pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”). On the basis of notices of intent to participate and adequate substantive responses filed on behalf of the domestic interested parties and lack of response from respondent interested parties, the Department conducted an expedited sunset review of the AD orders pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and section 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2) of the Department’s regulations. As a result of this sunset review, the Department finds that revocation of the AD orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 7, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maureen Flannery, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3020.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2005, the Department initiated a sunset review of the AD