Association ("C.D.A.") 200 Series or the Unified Numbering System ("U.N.S.") C2000. This order does not cover products with chemical compositions that are defined by anything other than either the C.D.A. or U.N.S. series. In physical dimensions, the product covered by this order has a solid rectangular cross section over 0.0006 inches (0.15 millimeters) through 0.1888 inches (4.8 millimeters) in finished thickness or gauge, regardless of width. Coiled, wound-on-reels (traverse wound), and cut-to-length products are included. The merchandise is currently classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") item numbers 7409.21.00 and 7409.29.00. The HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of this order remains dispositive.

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Brass Sheet and Strip from Germany; Preliminary Results," from Gary Taverman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Joseph A. Spetrini, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated October 18, 2005 ("Decision Memo"), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail if the antidumping duty order were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this sunset review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memo, which is on file in room B-099 of the main Department Building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn, under the heading "October 2005." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

#### **Preliminary Results of Review**

The Department preliminarily determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from Germany is likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted average margins:

Manufacturers/Pro-	Weighted–Average
ducers/Exporters	Margin (Percent)
Wieland-Werke AG	3.81

Manufacturers/Pro-	Weighted–Average
ducers/Exporters	Margin (Percent)
All Others	7.30

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(c). Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i). Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than 5 days after the case briefs, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1). Any hearing, if requested, will be held two days after rebuttal briefs are due, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(d)(1). The Department will issue a notice of final results of this sunset review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such briefs, no later than February 27, 2006.

This five-year ("sunset") review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752,and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 17, 2005.

# Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretaryfor Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E5–5987 Filed 10–27–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# International Trade Administration

A-533-809

# Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India; Final Results of New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On August 3, 2005, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of new shipper review of certain forged stainless steel flanges from India. The review covers one manufacturer/ exporter, Hilton Forge (Hilton). Although interested parties had an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results, we received no comments. The Department has not made any changes in its analysis following publication of the preliminary results. Therefore, the final results of review are unchanged from those presented in the preliminary results of review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 28, 2005. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Fred Baker or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2924 and (202) 482–0649, respectively.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Background

On August 3, 2005, the Department published its preliminary results of new shipper review of certain forged stainless steel flanges from India. *See Certain Forged Stainless Steel Flanges from India; Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review*, 70 FR 44560 (August 3, 2005). No party submitted comments on the preliminary results.

#### **Period of Review**

The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2004, through July 31, 2004.

#### **Scope of the Review**

The products covered by this order are certain forged stainless steel flanges, both finished and not finished, generally manufactured to specification ASTM A–182, and made in alloys such as 304, 304L, 316, and 316L. The scope includes five general types of flanges. They are weld-neck, used for butt-weld line connection; threaded, used for threaded line connections; slip-on and lap joint, used with stub-ends/buttweld line connections; socket weld, used to fit pipe into a machined recession; and blind, used to seal off a line. The sizes of the flanges within the scope range generally from one to six inches; however, all sizes of the abovedescribed merchandise are included in the scope. Specifically excluded from the scope of this order are cast stainless steel flanges. Cast stainless steel flanges generally are manufactured to specification ASTM A-351. The flanges subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 7307.21.1000 and 7307.21.5000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). Although the HTS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under review is dispositive of whether or not the merchandise is covered by the scope of the order.

# **Final Results of Review**

We determine that a margin of 0.89 percent exists for Hilton for the period February 1, 2004, through July 31, 2004.

### Assessment

The Department will determine, and the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b). We have calculated importer–specific duty assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the examined sales for each importer. We will direct CBP to assess the resulting assessment rates against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise on each of the importer's entries during the review period. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to the CBP within 15 days of publication of these final results of review.

#### **Cash Deposit Requirements**

Bonding is no longer permitted to fulfill security requirements for shipments from Hilton of stainless steel flanges from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of new shipper review. The following cash-deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this new shipper review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption on or after the publication date as provided for by section 751(a)(1) and 751 (a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): for subject merchandise manufactured and exported by Hilton the cash deposit rate shall be 0.89 percent; for subject merchandise exported by Hilton but not manufactured by Hilton the cash-deposit rate will continue to be the "all others" rate or the rate applicable to the manufacturer, if so established;

• the cash deposit rate for exporters who received a rate in a prior segment of the proceeding will continue to be the rate assigned in that segment of the proceeding;

• if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the manufacturer in the most recent segment of these proceedings in which that manufacturer participated; • if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this review or in any previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will be 162.14 percent, the all others rate established in the less–than-fair– value investigation. (See Amended Final Determination and Antidumping Duty Order; Certain Forged Stainless Steel

Flanges from India, 59 FR 5994 (February 9, 1994)).

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR § 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR § 351.305. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this new shipper review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 21, 2005.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. [FR Doc. 05–21580 Filed 10–27–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

# [A-570-803]

#### Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles, From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the review of Heavy Forged Hand Tools, Finished or Unfinished, With or Without Handles ("HFHTs") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). This review covers the period February 1, 2004 through January 31, 2005.

# **EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 28, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hallie Noel Zink, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3208.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Statutory Time Limits**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department of Commerce ("the Department") to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month.

#### Background

On March 23, 2005, the Department published a notice of initiation of a review of HFHTs from the PRC covering the period February 1, 2004 through January 31, 2005. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 70 FR 14643 (March 23, 2005).

# **Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results**

The Department determines that completion of the preliminary results of this review within the 245-day period is not practicable. This review covers four companies, and to conduct the sales and factor analyses for each requires the Department to gather and analyze a significant amount of information pertaining to each company's sales practices, manufacturing methods and corporate relationships. The Department also requires additional time in order to analyze complicated potential affiliation issues between some of the companies participating in this review.

Therefore, given the number and complexity of issues in this case, and in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 120 days until February 28, 2006. The final results continue to be due 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.