

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Drug Enforcement Administration**

**Importer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration**

By Notice dated June 6, 2005 and published in the **Federal Register** on June 13, 2005, (70 FR 34152), Boehringer Ingelheim Chemicals, Inc., 2820 N. Normandy Drive, Petersburg, Virginia 23805, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as an importer of Phenylacetone (8501), a basic class of controlled substance listed in Schedule II.

The company plans to import the listed controlled substance to bulk manufacturer amphetamine.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and 952(a) and determined that the registration of Boehringer Ingelheim Chemicals, Inc. to import the basic class of controlled substance is consistent with the public interest and with United States obligations under international treaties, conventions, or protocols in effect on May 1, 1971, at this time. DEA has investigated Boehringer Ingelheim Chemicals, Inc. to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 952(a) and 958(a), and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.34, the above named company is granted registration as an importer of the basic class of controlled substance listed.

Dated: October 12, 2005.

**Joseph T. Rannazzisi,**  
*Acting Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.*  
[FR Doc. 05-20950 Filed 10-19-05; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4410-09-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Drug Enforcement Administration**

**Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration**

By Notice dated March 25, 2005, and published in the **Federal Register** on April 4, 2005, (70 FR 17124), Johnson Matthey, Inc., Custom Pharmaceuticals Department, 2003 Nolte Drive, West Deptford, New Jersey 08066, made

application by letter to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of Methamphetamine (1105), a basic class of controlled substance listed in Schedule II.

The company plans to manufacture the listed controlled substance in bulk for distribution to its customers.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and determined that the registration of Johnson Matthey, Inc. to manufacture the listed basic class of controlled substance is consistent with the public interest at this time. DEA has investigated Johnson Matthey, Inc. to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823, and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33, the above named company is granted registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic class of controlled substance listed.

Dated: October 12, 2005.

**Joseph T. Rannazzisi,**  
*Acting Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.*  
[FR Doc. 05-20949 Filed 10-19-05; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4410-09-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Drug Enforcement Administration**

**Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration**

By Notice dated March 25, 2005, and published in the **Federal Register** on April 5 2005, (70 FR 17262), Rhodes Technologies, 498 Washington Street, Coventry, Rhode Island 02816, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed in Schedule I and II:

Drug	Schedule
Tetrahydrocannabinols (7370) .....	I
Methylphenidate (1724) .....	II
Codeine (9050) .....	II
Dihydrocodeine (9120) .....	II
Oxycodone (9143) .....	II
Hydromorphone (9150) .....	II
Hydrocodone (9193) .....	II
Thebaine (9333) .....	II
Noroxymorphone (9668) .....	II

Drug	Schedule
Fentanyl (9801) .....	II

The company plans to manufacture the listed controlled substances in bulk for conversion and distribution to its customers.

No comments or objections have been received. DEA has considered the factors in 21 U.S.C. 823(a) and determined that the registration of Rhodes Technologies to manufacture the listed basic class of controlled substance is consistent with the public interest at this time. DEA has investigated Rhodes Technologies to ensure that the company's registration is consistent with the public interest. The investigation has included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823, and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.33, the above named company is granted registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic class of controlled substance listed.

Dated: October 12, 2005.

**Joseph T. Rannazzisi,**  
*Acting Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.*  
[FR Doc. 05-20948 Filed 10-19-05; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4410-09-P**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[Release No. 34-52598; File No. SR-Amex-2005-098]

**Self-Regulatory Organizations; American Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Adoption of an Options Licensing Fee for the First Trust Dow Jones Select MicroCap Index Fund (FDM)**

October 13, 2005.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) <sup>1</sup> of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"), and Rule 19b-4 <sup>2</sup> thereunder, notice is hereby given that on September 29, 2005, the American Stock Exchange LLC ("Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") a proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange.

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

Amex has designated the proposed rule change as establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge, pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,<sup>3</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(2)<sup>4</sup> thereunder, which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

### **I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

The Exchange proposes to modify its Options Fee Schedule by adopting a per contract license fee in connection with the orders of specialists, registered options traders ("ROTs"), firms, non-member market makers and broker-dealers in connection with options transactions in the First Trust Dow Jones Select MicroCap Index Fund ("FDM").

The text of the proposed rule change is available on Amex's Web site at <http://www.amex.com>, the Office of the Secretary, Amex and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

### **II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

In its filing with the Commission, Amex included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

#### *A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change*

##### **1. Purpose**

The Exchange has entered into numerous agreements with issuers and owners of indexes for the purpose of trading options on certain exchange-traded funds ("ETFs") and securities indexes. The requirement to pay an index license fee to third parties is a condition to the listing and trading of these ETF and index options. In many cases, the Exchange is required to pay a significant licensing fee to issuers or index owners that may not be reimbursed. In an effort to recoup the costs associated with certain index licenses, the Exchange has established a

per contract licensing fee for the orders of specialists, registered options traders ("ROTs"), firms, non-member market makers and broker-dealers, that is collected on every option transaction in designated products in which such market participant is a party.<sup>5</sup>

The purpose of the proposal is to establish an options licensing fee in connection with options on FDM. Specifically, Amex seeks to charge an options licensing fee of \$0.12 per contract side in connection with FDM options for specialist, ROT, firm, non-member market maker and broker-dealer orders executed on the Exchange. In all cases, the fees set forth in the Options Fee Schedule are charged only to Exchange members through whom the orders are placed.

The proposed options licensing fee will allow the Exchange to recoup its costs in connection with the index license fee for the trading of FDM options. The fees will be collected on every order of a specialist, ROT, firm, non-member market maker and broker-dealer executed on the Exchange. The Exchange believes that requiring the payment of a per contract licensing fee in connection with FDM options by those market participants that are the beneficiaries of Exchange index license agreements is justified and consistent with the rules of the Exchange.

The Exchange notes that in recent years it has revised a number of fees to better align Exchange fees with the actual cost of delivering services and reduce Exchange subsidies of such services.<sup>6</sup> Implementation of this proposal is consistent with the reduction and/or elimination of these subsidies. Amex believes that these fees will help to allocate to those market participants engaging in FDM options a fair share of the related costs of offering such options.

The Exchange asserts that the proposal is equitable as required by Section 6(b)(4) of the Act.<sup>7</sup> In connection with the adoption of an options licensing fee for FDM options, the Exchange notes that charging an options licensing fee, where applicable, to all market participant orders except for customer orders is reasonable given the competitive pressures in the

industry. Accordingly, the Exchange seeks, through this proposal, to better align its transaction charges with the cost of providing products.

##### **2. Statutory Basis**

Amex believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act<sup>8</sup> because it is an equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among exchange members and other persons using exchange facilities.

#### *B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition*

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

#### *C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others*

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

### **III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

The foregoing proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,<sup>9</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(2)<sup>10</sup> thereunder, because it establishes or changes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

### **IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### *Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-Amex-2005-098 on the subject line.

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

<sup>5</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 52493 (September 22, 2005), 70 FR 56941 (September 29, 2005) (SR-Amex-2005-087).

<sup>6</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 45360 (January 29, 2002), 67 FR 5626 (February 6, 2002) (SR-Amex-2001-102) and 44286 (May 9, 2001), 66 FR 27187 (May 16, 2001) (SR-Amex-2001-22).

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4). Seciton 6(b)(4) states that the rules of a national securities exchange provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members and issuers and other persons using its facilities.

<sup>8</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>10</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

*Paper Comments*

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-9303. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Amex-2005-098. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of Amex. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Amex-2005-098 and should be submitted on or before November 10, 2005.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>11</sup>

**Jonathan G. Katz,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E5-5785 Filed 10-19-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-52601; File No. SR-CHX-2005-24]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Odd-Lot Order Processing Fees

October 13, 2005.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(“Act”)<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on September 1, 2005, the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. (“CHX” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared by CHX. CHX has designated the proposed rule change as establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act<sup>3</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder,<sup>4</sup> which renders the proposal effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

CHX, pursuant to Rule 19b-4 of the Act, proposes to amend its Participant Fee Schedule (the “Fee Schedule”) to modify the order processing fees charged for odd-lot transactions.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

Under the current Fee Schedule, the Exchange charges its members for transaction and order processing fees.<sup>5</sup> These charges are denoted in the Fee Schedule according to the type of order

and, in certain cases, the type of issue being traded. The Exchange's order processing fees include a fee for the processing of odd-lot orders, subject to a monthly maximum. The odd-lot order processing fees have not been amended since 1991, whereas other order processing and transaction fees have consistently been updated.

In this proposal, the Exchange seeks to update the odd-lot order processing fee by decreasing the per trade fee to \$0.30 per trade, subject to an increased monthly maximum of \$800.00. Additionally, the proposal would eliminate the existing order processing fee exemption for odd-lot orders in the stocks comprising the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Price Index. The Exchange has represented that these fees are charged only to members.

Finally, this proposal deletes a reference to a transaction fee exemption for transactions that take place during the “E-Session,” which was an extended trading session from 3:30 p.m. to 5:30 p.m., Central Time. When the Exchange eliminated this trading session, the Exchange amended its rules to delete references to the E-Session. Accordingly, this remaining provision of the Fee Schedule is obsolete.

###### 2. Statutory Basis

CHX believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act<sup>6</sup> in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members.

##### B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

CHX does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

##### C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

#### II. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act<sup>7</sup> and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder<sup>8</sup> because it establishes or

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

<sup>5</sup> The Exchange has confirmed that it assesses order processing fees only against its members and not against non-members. Persons holding trading permits are “members” for the purposes of the Act, which CHX characterizes as “participants.” Telephone conversation between Leah Mesfin, Special Counsel, Division of Market Regulation, Commission, and Kathleen M. Boege, Vice President & Associate General Counsel, CHX, on September 26, 2005.

<sup>6</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>8</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

<sup>11</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).