Frequency: The information is conducted on an as-needed basis.

Estimated Average Burden Per Response: Approximately 14 hours per response.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: An estimated 33,116 hours annually.

Abstract: The non-Federal navigation facilities are electrical/electronic aids to air navigation which are purchased, installed, operated, and maintained by an entity other than the FAA and are available for use by the flying public. These aids may be located at unattended remote sites or airport terminals. The information kept is used by the FAA as proof that the facility is maintained within certain specified tolerances.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention FAA Desk Officer.

Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimates of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 14, 2005.

## Judith D. Street,

FAA Information Collection Clearance Officer, Information Systems and Technology Services Staff, ABA–20.

[FR Doc. 05–21001 Filed 10–19–05; 8:45am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review, Request for Comments; Renewal of an Approved Information Collection Activity, Use of Certain Personal Oxygen Concentrator (POC) Devices on Board Aircraft

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) renewal of a current information

collection. The rule requires passengers who intend to use an approved POC to present a physician statement before boarding. The flight crew must then inform the pilot-in-command that a POC is on board.

**DATES:** Please submit comments by November 21, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Judy Street on (202) 267–9895.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)

Title: Use of Certain Personal Oxygen Concentrator (POC) Devices on Board Aircraft.

Type of Request: Renewal of an approved collection.

*OMB Control Number:* 2120–0702. *Forms(s):* None.

Affected Public: A total of 1,735,000 airline passengers and personnel.

Frequency: The information is conducted on an as-needed basis.

Estimated Average Burden Per Response: Approximately 0.1 hours per response.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: An estimated 172,694 hours annually.

Abstract: The rule requires passengers who intend to use an approved POC to present a physician statement before boarding. The flight crew must then inform the pilot-in-command that a POC is on board.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention FAA Desk Officer.

Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimates of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 14, 2005.

# Judith D. Street,

FAA Information Collection Clearance Officer, Information Systems and Technology Services Staff, ABA–20.

[FR Doc. 05–21003 Filed 10–19–05; 8:45am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

Notice of Availability of Record of Decision (ROD) for the Final Environmental Impact Statement, Washington Dulles International Airport, Chantilly, VA

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of record of decision.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is issuing this notice to advise the public that it has issued a Record of Decision (ROD) for the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) that evaluated

proposed New Runways and Associated Development at Washington Dulles International Airport, Chantilly, VA.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has completed and issued its Record of Decision (ROD) for proposed New Runways and Associated Development at Washington Dulles International Airport, Chantilly, VA. FAA had published its Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) containing a Final Air Quality General Conformity Determination (FGCD), (Preliminary) Final Section 106 Historic Resources Report and a Virginia Coastal Zone Consistency Determination on August 11, 2005. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) was a cooperating Federal agency, having jurisdiction by law because the proposed project has the potential for significant wetland impacts.

The FEIS presented the purpose and need for the proposed project, a comprehensive analysis of the alternatives to the proposed project, including the No-Action Alternative and potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed development of two new air carrier runways and related improvements at IAD. The FEIS also identified the FAA's Preferred Alternative (Build Alternative 3) and described the proposed Mitigation Program for the Preferred Alternative that will be implemented by the Metropolitan Washington Airports Authority (MWAA) to off-set unavoidable environmental impacts.

In accordance with section 176(c) of the Federal Clean Air Act, FAA has assessed whether the air emissions that would result from FAA's action in approving the proposed projects conform to the State Implementation Plan (SIP). The results of this assessment indicated that the Preferred Alternative has demonstrated